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Assignment to Static Base Class

- Assume Graduate is derived from Person
- Assignment from derived class object to base class object is legal

```cpp
Person person("Joe", 19);
Graduate graduate("Michael", 24, 6000, "8899 Storkes");
person.display();
person = graduate;
person.display();
Person person2 = graduate;
person2.display();
```

- What happens:
  A derived object, by definition, contains everything the base class has plus some extra elements.
  The extra elements are lost in the assignment.
  If the base class has overloaded the assignment operator or the copy ctor, these will be called.

Assignment to Static Derived Class

- Assignment from base class object to derived class object is illegal

```cpp
graduate = person;
Graduate graduate2 = person;
```

- What would happen if the above is allowed?
  The extra fields in the derived class would remain uninitialized.

- Summary of assignment of static objects
  From derived class to base class loses data (but is legal).
  From base class to derived class leave data uninitialized (not legal).
Assignment to Base Pointer

- Assignment from a derived pointer to a base pointer is legal

```cpp
Person *person = new Person("Joe", 19);
Graduate *graduate = new Graduate("Michael", 24, 6000, "8899 Storkes");
person->display();
person = graduate;
person->display();
```

- What happens:
  - `person->display()` calls `Person::display()` that shows the private data of the Base part of the object pointed by `graduate`
  - `Person::display()` can not access `Graduate::m_stipend` and `Graduate::m_office`
  - Output:
    - Joe is 19 years old.
    - Michael is 24 years old.

Assignment to Derived Pointer

- Assignment from a base pointer to a derived pointer is illegal, but you certainly can coerce it with an explicit type cast

```cpp
Person *person = new Person("Joe", 19);
Graduate *graduate = new Graduate("Michael", 24, 6000, "8899 Storkes");
generate = (Graduate *) person;
generate->display();
```

- This is called a downcast.
  - Downcast is dangerous. It is only correct when the object pointed by `person` is an object of `Graduate`.
  - What happens:
    - `graduate->display()` calls `Graduate::display()`, which access `m_name`, `m_age`, `m_stipend`, and `m_office` to display them, but the latter two are not valid for this `Person` object

Heterogeneous Container

- We would like to store all the objects in a single database.

```cpp
Person *database[3];
database[0] = new Undergraduate("Bob", 18);
database[2] = new Faculty("Ron", 34, "Gates 199", "associate professor");
for (int i=0; i<3; i++)
  database[i]->display();
```

- What we called by the above is `Person::display()` which shows only the Base part of each object instead of the `display()` member function of the derived class which shows all detail information of the derived class.

  Note: in the above program, we can use static objects `Person database[3];` as well, but the result would be the same.

- Is there a method that can display all detail information of the derived class in a uniform way?

A Solution with Data Tag

- Create an enumerated type for each base type:

```cpp
text
enum ObjectType {undergrad, grad, professor};
```

- Add this type to the base class

```cpp
class Person {
public:
  Person();
  Person(char *name, int age, ObjectType typeTag);
  ~Person();
  ObjectType getType();
  void display() const;
private:
  char *m_name;
  int m_age;
  ObjectType m_typeTag;
};
```

- Make the necessary changes in the constructor

```cpp
Person::Person(char *name, int age, ObjectType typeTag) :
  m_age(age), m_typeTag(typeTag) {
  m_name = new char[strlen(name)+1];
  strcpy(m_name, name);
}
```
A Solution with Data Tag (Cont’d)

Person *database[3], *temp;
database[0] = new Undergraduate("Bob", 18);
database[2] = new Faculty("Ron", 34, "Gates 199", "associate professor");
for (int i=0; i<3; i++)
{
    temp = database[i];
    switch (temp->getType())
    {
        case undergrad:
            ((Undergraduate *) temp)->display(); // this is down cast
            break;
        case grad:
            ((Graduate *) temp)->display(); // this is down cast
            break;
        case professor:
            ((Faculty *) temp)->display(); // this is down cast
            break;
    }
}

Virtual vs. Nonvirtual Functions

Nonvirtual function

Virtual function

Virtual Function

Virtual Function

The keyword virtual is not required in any derived class.

Virtual Function

The keyword virtual is not required in any derived class.

class Undergraduate: public Person {
    public:
        Undergraduate(char *name, int age);
        virtual void display() const; // optional here
    private:
        char *m_name;
        int m_age;
    };

Some C++ programmers consider it good style to include the keyword for clarity

Virtual Function

The keyword virtual must not be used in the function definition, only in the declaration

Virtual Function

Some C++ programmers consider it good style to include the keyword for clarity

Virtual Function

The keyword virtual must not be used in the function definition, only in the declaration

Virtual Function

Some C++ programmers consider it good style to include the keyword for clarity
Function Pointer

- Increasing the flexibility of your program
- Making the process / mechanism an adjustable parameter (you can pass a function pointer to a function) ex. qsort(), find(), sort()
- Syntax:
  \[
  \text{return_type} \ (\text{*function_pointer_variable})(\text{parameters});
  \]
- Example:
  ```
  int func1(int x) {
      ...return 0;
  }

  int (*fp)(int);fp = func1;(*fp)(123); // calling function func1(), i.e. func1(123)
  ```

Function Pointer (cont’d)

- Increasing the flexibility of the program
- Example continued
  Consider the following function:
  ```
  void service(int (*proc)(int), int data) {
      ...(*proc)(data);
  }
  ...
  fp = fun2;
  ...
  service(fp, x);
  ```

Virtual Function Table

- C++ use function pointers to implement the late binding (runtime binding) mechanism of virtual functions: the address of virtual member functions are stored in each object as a data structure “virtual function table” as follows

```
vtbl ptr
m_name
m_age

Person::display

vtbl ptr
m_name
m_age
m_stipend
m_office

Graduate::display
```

- a Person object
- a Graduate object

Virtual Function vs. Overloading

- Overloading (static polymorphism or compile-time polymorphism)
  ```
  void Person::display() const;
  void Person::display(bool showDetail) const;
  ```
  The arguments of the overloaded functions must differ.
- Virtual functions (dynamic polymorphism)
  ```
  virtual void Person::display() const;
  virtual void Faculty::display() const;
  ```
  The arguments must be identical.
- What happens if the arguments are not identical?
  ```
  virtual void Person::display() const;
  virtual void Faculty::display(bool showDetail) const;
  ```
  - In Faculty class, display(bool) does not override Person::display().
  - It does not overload Person::display() also.
  - This is called hiding
  - There is only one Faculty::display(bool), there is no Faculty::display(), although there is a Person::display() function in its base class
Member Function Calling Mechanism

Faculty *prof = new Faculty("Ron", 34, "Gates 199", "associate professor");
Person *person = prof;

prof->display(); // dynamically bound, calling Person::display()

prof->display(true); // compiler error, display() does not take 1 param

prof->display(); // dynamically bound, calling Faculty::display(bool)

The member function resolution and binding rules in C++:
1. Search in the scope of the static type of the referrer pointer/reference/object to find the specified function
2. If it is a virtual function and referrer is a pointer or reference, use dynamic binding otherwise use static binding

What functions are explicit in the scope of a class?
1. Defined in the class declaration
2. Search upward the inheritance tree, match all functions not hidden previously (by any function having the same name)

Function defined in a Class

```cpp
class Base {
public:
    void func1() { cout << "Base::func1() #1n"; }
    virtual void func2() { cout << "Base::func2() #2n"; }
    void func3() { cout << "Base::func3() #3n"; }
    virtual void func4() { cout << "Base::func4() #4n"; }
    virtual void func5() { cout << "Base::func5() #5n"; }
    virtual void func5(int, int) { cout << "Base::func5(int,int) #6n"; }
};
```

```cpp
class Derived: public Base {
public:
    void func3() {
        cout << "Derived::func3() #7n";
    }
    void func4() {
        cout << "Derived::func4() #8n";
    }
    void func5(int) {
        cout << "Derived::func5(int) #9n";
    }
};
```

Virtual functions: 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11
Explicit: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6
Implicit: 3, 4, 5, 6

Virtual functions: 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11
Explicit: 1, 2, 7, 8, 9
Implicit: 3, 4, 5, 6

Function Call Resolving

```cpp
class Base {
public:
    void func();
};
```

```cpp
class Derived: public Base {
};
```

```cpp
void main() {
    Derived d, *dp=&d;
    Base b, *bp1=&b, *bp2=&d;

    b.func(); // static binding
    bp1->func(); // static binding
    bp2->func(); // static binding
    d.func(); // static binding
    dp->func(); // static binding
}
```

Virtual functions: 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11
Explicit: 1, 2, 7, 8, 9
Implicit: 3, 4, 5, 6

Virtual functions: 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11
Explicit: 1, 2, 7, 8, 9
Implicit: 3, 4, 5, 6
Function Call Resolving

class Base {
public:
    virtual void func();
};

class Derived: public Base {
public:
    virtual void func();
};

void main() {
    Derived d, *dp=&d;
    Base b, *bp1=&b, *bp2=&d;
    b.func(); // static binding
    bp1->func(); // dynamic binding Base::func()
    bp2->func(); // dynamic binding Derived::func()
    d.func(); // static binding
    dp->func(); // dynamic binding Derived::func()
}

Function Call Resolving

class Base {
public:
    virtual void func();
};

class Derived: public Base {
private:
    virtual void func();
};

void main() {
    Derived d, *dp=&d;
    Base b, *bp1=&b, *bp2=&d;
    b.func(); // static binding
    bp1->func(); // dynamic binding Base::func()
    bp2->func(); // dynamic binding Derived::func() violate the access restriction
    d.func(); // error in accessing private member
    // dp->func(); // error in accessing private member
}

Function Call Resolving

class Base {
public:
    virtual void func();
};

class Derived: public Base {
public:
    virtual void func(int);
};

void main() {
    Derived d, *dp=&d;
    Base b, *bp1=&b, *bp2=&d;
    b.func(); // static binding
    bp1->func(); // dynamic binding Base::func()
    bp2->func(); // dynamic binding Derived::func()
    d.func(); // static binding, Derived::func()
    dp->func(); // dynamic binding Derived::func()
    // b.func(1); // error func() does not take zero param
    // dp->func(1); // error func() does not take one param
    // bp1->func(1); // error func() does not take one param
    // bp2->func(1); // error func() does not take one param
    d.func(1); // static binding
    dp->func(1); // dynamic binding Derived::func(int)
}

Function Call Resolving

class Base {
public:
    virtual void func();
};

class Derived: public Base {
public:
    virtual void func();
};

void main() {
    Derived d, *dp=&d;
    Base b, *bp1=&b, *bp2=&d;
    b.func(); // static binding
    bp1->func(); // dynamic binding Base::func()
    bp2->func(); // dynamic binding Derived::func()
    d.func(); // static binding, Derived::func()
    dp->func(); // dynamic binding Derived::func()
    // b.func(1); // error func() does not take zero param
    // dp->func(1); // error func() does not take one param
    // bp1->func(1); // error func() does not take one param
    // bp2->func(1); // error func() does not take one param
    d.func(1); // static binding
    dp->func(1); // dynamic binding Derived::func(int)
Function Call Resolving

class Base {
public:
virtual void func();
virtual void func(int);
};

class Derived: public Base {
virtual void func();
virtual void func(int);
};

void main() {
Derived d, *dp=&d;
Base b, *bp1=&b, *bp2=&d;
b.func(); // static binding
bp1->func(); // dynamic binding Base::func()
bp2->func(); // dynamic binding Derived::func()
d.func(); // static binding Derived::func()
// dp->func(); // dynamic binding Derived::func()

b.func(1); // static binding Base::func(int)
bp1->func(1); // dynamic binding Base::func(int)
bp2->func(1); // dynamic binding Derived::func(int)
d.func(1); // static binding Derived::func(int)
// dp->func(1); // dynamic binding Derived::func(int)
}

Function Call Resolving

void main() {
Derived d, *dp=&d;
Base b, *bp1=&b, *bp2=&d;
b.func(); // static binding
bp1->func(); // dynamic binding Base::func()
bp2->func(); // dynamic binding Derived::func()
d.func(); // static binding Derived::func()
// dp->func(); // dynamic binding Derived::func()
// bp1->func(1); // static binding Base::func(int)
// bp2->func(1); // static binding Derived::func(int)
// d.func(1); // static binding Derived::func(int)
// dp->func(1); // dynamic binding Derived::func(int)

b.func(1); // static binding Base::func(int)
bp1->func(1); // dynamic binding Base::func(int)
bp2->func(1); // dynamic binding Derived::func(int)
// d.func(1); // error func() does not take 1 param
// dp->func(1); // error func() does not take 1 param
// bp1->func(1, 1); // error func() does not take 1 param
// bp2->func(1, 1); // error func() does not take 1 param
// d.func(1, 1); // static binding Derived::func(int)
// dp->func(1, 1); // dynamic binding Derived::func(int)
}

Function Call Resolving

void main() {
FurtherDerived fd, *fdp=&fd;
Derived d, *dp=&d;
Base b, *bp1=&b, *bp2=&d, *bp3=&d;
b.func(); // static binding
bp1->func(); // dynamic binding Base::func()
bp2->func(); // dynamic binding Derived::func()
// d.func(); // error func() does not take 0 param
// dp->func(); // error func() does not take 0 param
// bp1->func(1); // static binding Derived::func(int)
// bp2->func(1); // dynamic binding Derived::func(int)
// d.func(1); // error func() does not take 1 param
// dp->func(1); // error func() does not take 1 param
// bp1->func(1, 1); // error func() does not take 1 param
// bp2->func(1, 1); // error func() does not take 1 param
// d.func(1, 1); // static binding Derived::func(int)
// dp->func(1, 1); // dynamic binding Derived::func(int)
}

Function Call Resolving

void main() {
Derived d, *dp=&d;
Base b, *bp1=&b, *bp2=&d;
b.func(); // static binding
bp1->func(); // dynamic binding Base::func()
bp2->func(); // dynamic binding Base::func()
// d.func(); // error func() does not take 0 param
// dp->func(); // error func() does not take 0 param
// bp1->func(1); // static binding Derived::func(int)
// bp2->func(1); // dynamic binding Derived::func(int)
// d.func(1); // error func() does not take 1 param
// dp->func(1); // error func() does not take 1 param
// bp1->func(1, 1); // error func() does not take 1 param
// bp2->func(1, 1); // error func() does not take 1 param
// d.func(1, 1); // static binding Derived::func(int)
// dp->func(1, 1); // dynamic binding Derived::func(int)
}
Function Call Resolving

```cpp
//b.func(1, 2); // error func() does not take 2 param
//bp1->func(1, 2); // error func() does not take 2 param
d.func(1, 2); // static binding Derived::func(int, int)
dp1->func(1, 2); // dynamic binding Derived::func(int, int)
dp2->func(1, 2); // dynamic binding Derived::func(int, int)
//dp2->func(1, 2); // error func() does not take 2 param
//fd.func(1, 2); // error func() does not take 2 param
//fdp->func(1, 2); // error func() does not take 2 param
//bp3->func(1, 2); // error func() does not take 2 param
```

### Polymorphism

- Polymorphism: a single identity stands for different things
- C++ implements polymorphism in three ways
  - Overloading – ad hoc polymorphism (static polymorphism)
    one name can stand for several functions
  - Templates – parameterized polymorphism
    one name can stand for several types or functions
  - Virtual functions – pure polymorphism (dynamic polymorphism)
    one pointer can represent any base or derived class
    (use object to select code)
- Is there any drawback to pure polymorphism?
  Virtual function calls are less efficient than non-virtual functions

### Program Reuse

- There are basically two major types of program reuse:
  - Library subroutine calls: put all repeated procedures into a function and call it whenever necessary. The codes gathered into the function is to be reused.
  - Note: basic inheritance syntax would automatically include all data members and member functions of parent classes into the child class. This is also a similar type of program reuse.
  - Factoring: sometimes, we substitute a particular module in a program with a replacement. In this case, the other part of system is reused.
  - Note: ex. 1. OS patches or device drivers replace the old module and reuse the overall architecture.
  - 2. Application frameworks provide the overall application architectures while programmer supply minor modifications and features.
  - interface inheritance also reuses the other part of program.

### Old Codes Call New Codes

- Using old codes to call non-existent new codes
- Using data (object) to select codes
- While writing the following codes, the programmer might not know which display() function is to be called. The actual code be called might not existent at the point of writing. He only knows that the object pointed by database[i] must be inherited from Person. The semantics of the virtual function display() is largely determined in designing the class Person. The derived class should not change it.
  ```cpp
  void show(Person *database[3]) {
      for (int i=0; i<3; i++)
          database[i]-->display();
  }
  ```
  Later, if we derive a class Staff from Person, and implement a new member function Staff::display(),
  ```cpp
database[0] = new Staff(...);
... show(database);
  ```
Two Major Code Reuses of Inheritance

- Code inheritance: reuse the data and codes in the base class
- Interface inheritance: reuse the codes that use the base class objects

Comparing the above two types of code reuse, the first one reuses only considerable amount of old codes. The second one usually reuses a bulk amount of old codes.

Interface inheritance is a very important and effective way of reusing existent codes. This feature makes Object Oriented programming successful in the framework design, in which the framework provides a common software platform, e.g., Window GUI environment, math environment, or scientific simulation environment. Using predefined interfaces (abstract classes in C++), a framework can support all utility functions to an empty application project.

Using C++ Polymorphism

- Should you make every (non-private) function virtual?
  - Some C++ programmers do.
  - Others do so only when compelled by necessity.
  - Java’s member function are all virtual.
  - Doing so ensures the pure OO semantics and have good semantic compatibility if you are using multiple OO languages.
  - You can change to non-virtual when profiling shows that the overhead is on the virtual function calls

Virtual Destructors

- Base and derived classes may each have destructors

  ```c++
  Person::~Person() {
    delete[] m_name;
  }
  Faculty::~Faculty() {
    delete[] m_rank;
  }
  ```

- What happens in this scenario?

  ```c++
  Person *database[3];
  Faculty *prof = new Faculty("Ron", 40, "6000 Holister", "professor");
  database[0] = prof;
database[0] = prof;
  delete database[0];
  ```

  If the destructor of Person is non-virtual, only the destructor for Person will be called, the Faculty part of the object will not be destructed suitably.

- The solution is simple

  ```c++
  virtual ~Person(); // virtual destructor
  ```

  Note: This syntax makes every destructor of every derived class virtual even though the names do not match.