- •
- •
- •
- •
- •

RSA Cryptosystem



۲

密碼學與應用 海洋大學資訊工程系 丁培毅

Encryption and decryption algorithm are not the same

- Encryption and decryption algorithm are not the same
- Public/private key pair: private key is related to public key but can not be easily derived from public key

- Encryption and decryption algorithm are not the same
- Public/private key pair: private key is related to public key but can not be easily derived from public key
- ♦ Illustrating example:

 $m \in Z_{11}^*$

- Encryption and decryption algorithm are not the same
- Public/private key pair: private key is related to public key but can not be easily derived from public key
- ♦ Illustrating example:

 $m \in Z_{11}^{*}$ m * 1 = m (mod 11)

- Encryption and decryption algorithm are not the same
- Public/private key pair: private key is related to public key but can not be easily derived from public key
- ♦ Illustrating example:

 $m \in Z_{11}^{*}$ $m * 1 = m \pmod{11}$ $m * 8 * 8^{-1} = m \pmod{11}$

- Encryption and decryption algorithm are not the same
- Public/private key pair: private key is related to public key but can not be easily derived from public key
- ♦ Illustrating example:

 $m \in Z_{11}^{*}$ $m * 1 = m \pmod{11}$ $m * 8 * 8^{-1} = m \pmod{11}$ encryption

- Encryption and decryption algorithm are not the same
- Public/private key pair: private key is related to public key but can not be easily derived from public key
- ♦ Illustrating example:

 $m \in Z_{11}^{*}$ $m * 1 = m \pmod{11}$ $m * 8 * 8^{-1} = m \pmod{11}$ encryption
decryption

- Encryption and decryption algorithm are not the same
- Public/private key pair: private key is related to public key but can not be easily derived from public key
- ♦ Illustrating example:

 $m \in Z_{11}^{*}$ $m * 1 = m \pmod{11}$ $m * 8 * 8^{-1} = m \pmod{11}$ encryption
decryption

8 is the public key

- Encryption and decryption algorithm are not the same
- Public/private key pair: private key is related to public key but can not be easily derived from public key
- ♦ Illustrating example:

 $m \in Z_{11}^{*}$ $m * 1 = m \pmod{11}$ $m * 8 * 8^{-1} = m \pmod{11}$ encryption
decryption

8 is the public keym * 8 is the ciphertext

- Encryption and decryption algorithm are not the same
- Public/private key pair: private key is related to public key but can not be easily derived from public key
- ♦ Illustrating example:

 $m \in Z_{11}^{*}$ $m * 1 = m \pmod{11}$ $m * 8 * 8^{-1} = m \pmod{11}$ encryption
decryption

8 is the public key
m * 8 is the ciphertext
8⁻¹ is the private key (if nobody can derive this from the public key, then this system is secure)

- - * a good application of an NP problem on designing public key cryptosystem; no longer secure

- - * a good application of an NP problem on designing public key cryptosystem; no longer secure
- ♦ Super-increasing sequence:
 {a₁, a₂, ... a_n} such that a_i > $\sum_{i=0}^{i-1} a_i$

e.g. 1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40

- - * a good application of an NP problem on designing public key cryptosystem; no longer secure
- ♦ Super-increasing sequence:
 ${a_1, a_2, ..., a_n}$ such that
 $a_i > \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a_j$ e.g. 1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40

♦ Note: 1. Given a number c, finding a subset {a_j} s.t. $c = \sum_{j} a_{j}$ is an easy problem, e.g. 48 = 40 + 5 + 3

- - * a good application of an NP problem on designing public key cryptosystem; no longer secure
- ♦ Super-increasing sequence:
 ${a_1, a_2, ..., a_n}$ such that
 $a_i > \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a_j$ e.g. 1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40

♦ Note: 1. Given a number c, finding a subset {a_j} s.t. $c = \sum_{j} a_{j}$ is an easy problem, e.g. 48 = 40 + 5 + 3

2. Sum of every subset S, $a_j < 2 \cdot a_M$ where $a_M = \max_{j \in S} \{a_j\}$

- - * a good application of an NP problem on designing public key cryptosystem; no longer secure
- ♦ Super-increasing sequence:
 ${a_1, a_2, ..., a_n}$ such that
 $a_i > \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a_j$ e.g. 1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40

♦ Note: 1. Given a number c, finding a subset {a_j} s.t. $c = \sum_{j} a_{j}$ is an easy problem, e.g. 48 = 40 + 5 + 3

2. Sum of every subset S, $a_j < 2 \cdot a_M$ where $a_M = \max_{j \in S} \{a_j\}$ 3. Every possible subset sum is unique pf: given x, assume $x = a_j = \sum_{j \in S} a_j$, where S T, assume $\max_{j \in S} \{a_j\}_{j \in T}$ $\max\{a_j\}$

♦ choose a number **b** in Z_p^* , e.g. p = 101, **b** = 23, and convert the super-increasing sequence to a normal knapsack sequence $B=\{b_1, b_2, ..., b_n\} \text{ where } b_i \equiv a_i \cdot b \pmod{p}$

♦ choose a number **b** in Z_p^* , e.g. p = 101, **b** = 23, and convert the super-increasing sequence to a normal knapsack sequence
B={b₁, b₂, ..., b_n} where **b_i = a_i · b** (mod p)
e.g. A={1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40} B={23, 69, 14, 28, 56, 11}

choose a number b in Z_p^{*}, e.g. p = 101, b = 23, and convert the super-increasing sequence to a normal knapsack sequence B={b₁, b₂, ..., b_n} where b_i ≡ a_i · b (mod p)
e.g. A={1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40} B={23, 69, 14, 28, 56, 11}
Since gcd(b, p)=1, this conversion is invertible, i.e.
a_i ≡ b_i · b⁻¹ (mod p)

choose a number b in Z_p^{*}, e.g. p = 101, b = 23, and convert the super-increasing sequence to a normal knapsack sequence B={b₁, b₂, ..., b_n} where b_i = a_i · b (mod p)
e.g. A={1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40} B={23, 69, 14, 28, 56, 11}
Since gcd(b, p)=1, this conversion is invertible, i.e.
a_i ≡ b_i · b⁻¹ (mod p)
e.g. b⁻¹ 22 (mod 101) such that b · b⁻¹ ≡ 1 (mod p)

 \diamond choose a number **b** in Z_p^* , e.g. p = 101, **b** = 23, and convert the super-increasing sequence to a normal knapsack sequence $B=\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ where $b_i \equiv a_i \cdot b \pmod{p}$ e.g. $A = \{1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40\}$ $B = \{23, 69, 14, 28, 56, 11\}$ \diamond Since gcd(b, p)=1, this conversion is invertible, i.e. $a_i \equiv b_i \cdot b^{-1} \pmod{p}$ e.g. $b^{-1} = 22 \pmod{101}$ such that $b \cdot b^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ♦ Given a number d, finding a subset $\{b_i\} \subseteq B$ s.t. $d = \sum_{i} b_{j} \pmod{p}$

is an NP-complete problem, e.g. 94 = 11 + 14 + 69

♦ Encryption:

 \bullet

♦ Encryption:

* public key: normal knapsack seq. B={23, 69, 14, 28, 56, 11}

♦ Encryption:

*** public key:** normal knapsack seq. B={23, 69, 14, 28, 56, 11}

* message m, $0 \le m \le 2^6$, e.g. $(60)_{10} = (111100)_2$

♦ Encryption:

- * public key: normal knapsack seq. $B = \{23, 69, 14, 28, 56, 11\}$
- * message m, $0 \le m \le 2^6$, e.g. $(60)_{10} = (111100)_2$
- * sum up the corresponding elements of '1' bits, e.g. 23 + 69 + 14 + 28 = 134 is the ciphertext

♦ Encryption:

- * **public key**: normal knapsack seq. B={23, 69, 14, 28, 56, 11}
- * message m, $0 \le m \le 2^6$, e.g. $(60)_{10} = (111100)_2$
- * sum up the corresponding elements of '1' bits, e.g. 23 + 69 + 14 + 28 = 134 is the ciphertext

♦ Encryption:

- * **public key:** normal knapsack seq. B={23, 69, 14, 28, 56, 11}
- * message m, $0 \le m \le 2^6$, e.g. $(60)_{10} = (1\overline{11100})_2$
- * sum up the corresponding elements of '1' bits, e.g. 23 + 69 + 14 + 28 = 134 is the ciphertext

♦ Decryption:

*** private key**: b⁻¹=22, p=101, A={1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40}

♦ Encryption:

- * **public key:** normal knapsack seq. B={23, 69, 14, 28, 56, 11}
- * message m, $0 \le m \le 2^6$, e.g. $(60)_{10} = (111100)_2$
- * sum up the corresponding elements of '1' bits, e.g. 23 + 69 + 14 + 28 = 134 is the ciphertext

- *** private key:** $b^{-1}=22$, p=101, $A=\{1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40\}$
- * calculate 134 * 22 mod 101 = 19

♦ Encryption:

- * **public key**: normal knapsack seq. B={23, 69, 14, 28, 56, 11}
- * message m, $0 \le m \le 2^6$, e.g. $(60)_{10} = (111100)_2$
- * sum up the corresponding elements of '1' bits, e.g. 23 + 69 + 14 + 28 = 134 is the ciphertext

- *** private key**: b⁻¹=22, p=101, A={1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40}
- * calculate 134 * 22 mod 101 = 19
- * use the corresponding super-increasing knapsack seq. A={1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40} to decrypt as follows:

♦ Encryption:

- * **public key:** normal knapsack seq. B={23, 69, 14, 28, 56, 11}
- * message m, $0 \le m \le 2^6$, e.g. $(60)_{10} = (111100)_2$
- * sum up the corresponding elements of '1' bits, e.g. 23 + 69 + 14 + 28 = 134 is the ciphertext

- *** private key:** b⁻¹=22, p=101, A={1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40}
- * calculate 134 * 22 mod 101 = 19
- use the corresponding super-increasing knapsack seq. A={1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40} to decrypt as follows:
 - ⇒ 19 < 40, mark a '0'

♦ Encryption:

- * **public key**: normal knapsack seq. B={23, 69, 14, 28, 56, 11}
- * message m, $0 \le m \le 2^6$, e.g. $(60)_{10} = (111100)_2$
- * sum up the corresponding elements of '1' bits, e.g. 23 + 69 + 14 + 28 = 134 is the ciphertext

- *** private key:** b⁻¹=22, p=101, A={1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40}
- * calculate 134 * 22 mod 101 = 19
- * use the corresponding super-increasing knapsack seq. A={1,
 3, 5, 10, 20, 40} to decrypt as follows:

♦ Encryption:

- * **public key**: normal knapsack seq. B={23, 69, 14, 28, 56, 11}
- * message m, $0 \le m \le 2^6$, e.g. $(60)_{10} = (111100)_2$
- * sum up the corresponding elements of '1' bits, e.g. 23 + 69 + 14 + 28 = 134 is the ciphertext

- *** private key**: b⁻¹=22, p=101, A={1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40}
- * calculate 134 * 22 mod 101 = 19
- * use the corresponding super-increasing knapsack seq. $A=\{1,$
 - 3, 5, 10, 20, 40} to decrypt as follows:
 - \Rightarrow 19 < 40, mark a '0'

 - \Rightarrow 19 ≥ 10, mark a '1' and subtract 10 from 19

♦ Encryption:

- * **public key:** normal knapsack seq. B={23, 69, 14, 28, 56, 11}
- * message m, $0 \le m \le 2^6$, e.g. $(60)_{10} = (111100)_2$
- * sum up the corresponding elements of '1' bits, e.g. 22 + 60 + 14 + 28 = 124 is the sinhertest

23 + 69 + 14 + 28 = 134 is the ciphertext

- *** private key**: b⁻¹=22, p=101, A={1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40}
- * calculate 134 * 22 mod 101 = 19
- * use the corresponding super-increasing knapsack seq. $A=\{1,$
 - 3, 5, 10, 20, 40} to decrypt as follows:
 - \Rightarrow 19 < 40, mark a '0'

 - \Rightarrow 19 ≥ 10, mark a '1' and subtract 10 from 19
 - \Rightarrow 9 ≥ 5, mark a '1' and subtract 5 from 9

♦ Encryption:

- * **public key:** normal knapsack seq. B={23, 69, 14, 28, 56, 11}
- * message m, $0 \le m \le 2^6$, e.g. $(60)_{10} = (111100)_2$
- * sum up the corresponding elements of '1' bits, e.g.

23 + 69 + 14 + 28 = 134 is the ciphertext

- *** private key**: b⁻¹=22, p=101, A={1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40}
- * calculate 134 * 22 mod 101 = 19
- * use the corresponding super-increasing knapsack seq. $A=\{1,$
 - 3, 5, 10, 20, 40} to decrypt as follows:
 - \Rightarrow 19 < 40, mark a '0'
 - ⇒ 19 < 20, mark a '0'
 - \Rightarrow 19 ≥ 10, mark a '1' and subtract 10 from 19
 - \Rightarrow 9 \geq 5, mark a '1' and subtract 5 from 9
 - \Rightarrow 4 \geq 3, mark a '1' and subtract 3 from 4

♦ Encryption:

- * **public key**: normal knapsack seq. B={23, 69, 14, 28, 56, 11}
- * message m, $0 \le m \le 2^6$, e.g. $(60)_{10} = (111100)_2$
- * sum up the corresponding elements of '1' bits, e.g.

23 + 69 + 14 + 28 = 134 is the ciphertext

♦ Decryption:

- *** private key**: b⁻¹=22, p=101, A={1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40}
- * calculate 134 * 22 mod 101 = 19
- * use the corresponding super-increasing knapsack seq. $A=\{1,$
 - 3, 5, 10, 20, 40} to decrypt as follows:

 - \Rightarrow 19 < 20, mark a '0'
 - \Rightarrow 19 ≥ 10, mark a '1' and subtract 10 from 19
 - \Rightarrow 9 \geq 5, mark a '1' and subtract 5 from 9
 - \Rightarrow 4 \geq 3, mark a '1' and subtract 3 from 4

* recovered message is $(111100)_2 = (60)_{10}$
♦ Why does it work?

۲

♦ Why does it work? let the plaintext be $(111100)_2$ ciphertext $c = b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4$

♦ Why does it work? let the plaintext be (111100)₂ ciphertext $c = b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4$ $a_1 b + a_2 b + a_3 b + a_4 b \pmod{p}$

 $\Rightarrow \text{ Why does it work?}$ $\text{let the plaintext be (111100)}_2$ $\text{ciphertext } c = b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4$ $a_1 b + a_2 b + a_3 b + a_4 b \pmod{p}$ $\text{decryption: } c b^{-1} \pmod{p} \qquad a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 \pmod{p}$

♦ Why does it work? let the plaintext be (111100)₂ ciphertext $c = b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4$ $a_1 b + a_2 b + a_3 b + a_4 b \pmod{p}$ decryption: $c b^{-1} \pmod{p}$ $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 \pmod{p}$ is a subset sum problem of a

♦ Why does it work? let the plaintext be (111100)₂ ciphertext $c = b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4$ $a_1 b + a_2 b + a_3 b + a_4 b \pmod{p}$ decryption: $c b^{-1} \pmod{p}$ $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 \pmod{p}$ is a subset sum problem of a super-increasing sequence

 two important cryptosystems based on the difficulty of integer factoring (an NP problem) are introduced as follows:

 two important cryptosystems based on the difficulty of integer factoring (an NP problem) are introduced as follows:

 two important cryptosystems based on the difficulty of integer factoring (an NP problem) are introduced as follows:

Solving e-th root modulo n is difficult

 $y = x^e \pmod{n}$

 two important cryptosystems based on the difficulty of integer factoring (an NP problem) are introduced as follows:

V

Solving e-th root modulo n is difficult

RSA function

 $x^e \pmod{n}$

 two important cryptosystems based on the difficulty of integer factoring (an NP problem) are introduced as follows:

Solving e-th root modulo n is difficult

RSA function

y $x^e \pmod{n}$

A Rabin's underlying problem

 two important cryptosystems based on the difficulty of integer factoring (an NP problem) are introduced as follows:

Solving e-th root modulo n is difficult

 $x^{e} \pmod{n}$

RSA function

A Rabin's underlying problem

V

y

Solving square root modulo n is difficult

 $x^2 \pmod{n}$

Rabin function —

 two important cryptosystems based on the difficulty of integer factoring (an NP problem) are introduced as follows:

RSA's underlying problem
 Solving e-th root modulo n is difficult

 $y x^e \pmod{n}$

A Rabin's underlying problem

Solving square root modulo n is difficult

 $x^2 \pmod{n}$

Rabin function —

RSA function

 $n = p \cdot q$

 two important cryptosystems based on the difficulty of integer factoring (an NP problem) are introduced as follows:

RSA's underlying problem
 Solving e-th root modulo n is difficult

 $y x^e \pmod{n}$

A Rabin's underlying problem

Solving square root modulo n is difficult

 $x^2 \pmod{n}$

Rabin function –

RSA function

both functions are candidates for trapdoor one way function

 $n = p \cdot q$

Solving e-th root of y modulo n is difficult!!!

♦ Solving e-th root of y modulo n is difficult!!!
y $x^e \pmod{n}$, where gcd(e, (n)) = 1

Solving e-th root of y modulo n is difficult!!!
y x^e (mod n), where gcd(e, (n)) = 1
Why don't we take (e⁻¹)-th power of y?

◇ Solving e-th root of y modulo n is difficult!!!
y x^e (mod n), where gcd(e, (n)) = 1
Why don't we take (e⁻¹)-th power of y?
where e⁻¹ · e 1 (mod (n))

♦ Solving e-th root of y modulo n is difficult!!! y x^e (mod n), where gcd(e, (n)) = 1 Why don't we take (e⁻¹)-th power of y? where e⁻¹ · e 1 (mod (n)) e.g. n = 11 · 13 = 143, e = 7

♦ Solving e-th root of y modulo n is difficult!!! y x^e (mod n), where gcd(e, (n)) = 1 Why don't we take (e⁻¹)-th power of y? where e⁻¹ · e 1 (mod (n)) e.g. n = 11 · 13 = 143, e = 7 $\phi(n) = 10 \cdot 12 = 120, e^{-1} = 103$

♦ Solving e-th root of y modulo n is difficult!!! y x^e (mod n), where gcd(e, (n)) = 1
Why don't we take (e⁻¹)-th power of y? where e⁻¹ · e 1 (mod (n)) e.g. n = 11 · 13 = 143, e = 7 $\phi(n) = 10 \cdot 12 = 120, e^{-1} = 103$ Trouble: How do we know $\phi(n)$?

♦ Solving e-th root of y modulo n is difficult!!! y x^e (mod n), where gcd(e, (n)) = 1
Why don't we take (e⁻¹)-th power of y? where e⁻¹ · e 1 (mod (n)) e.g. n = 11 · 13 = 143, e = 7 $\phi(n) = 10 \cdot 12 = 120, e^{-1} = 103$ Trouble: How do we know $\phi(n)$?

Solving square root of y modulo n is difficult!!!

♦ Solving e-th root of y modulo n is difficult!!! y x^e (mod n), where gcd(e, (n)) = 1
Why don't we take (e⁻¹)-th power of y? where e⁻¹ · e 1 (mod (n)) e.g. n = 11 · 13 = 143, e = 7 $\phi(n) = 10 \cdot 12 = 120, e^{-1} = 103$ Trouble: How do we know $\phi(n)$?

Solving square root of y modulo n is difficult!!! y x² (mod n)

♦ Solving e-th root of y modulo n is difficult!!! y x^e (mod n), where gcd(e, (n)) = 1
Why don't we take (e⁻¹)-th power of y? where e⁻¹ · e 1 (mod (n)) e.g. n = 11 · 13 = 143, e = 7 $\phi(n) = 10 \cdot 12 = 120, e^{-1} = 103$ Trouble: How do we know $\phi(n)$?

Solving square root of y modulo n is difficult!!!
 y x² (mod n)
 Why don't we take (2⁻¹)-th power of y?

♦ Solving e-th root of y modulo n is difficult!!! y x^e (mod n), where gcd(e, (n)) = 1
Why don't we take (e⁻¹)-th power of y? where e⁻¹ · e 1 (mod (n)) e.g. n = 11 · 13 = 143, e = 7 $\phi(n) = 10 \cdot 12 = 120, e^{-1} = 103$ Trouble: How do we know $\phi(n)$?

Solving square root of y modulo n is difficult!!! y x² (mod n)
Why don't we take (2⁻¹)-th power of y? where 2⁻¹ · 2 1 (mod (n))

♦ Solving e-th root of y modulo n is difficult!!! y x^e (mod n), where gcd(e, (n)) = 1
Why don't we take (e⁻¹)-th power of y? where e⁻¹ · e 1 (mod (n)) e.g. n = 11 · 13 = 143, e = 7 $\phi(n) = 10 \cdot 12 = 120, e^{-1} = 103$ Trouble: How do we know $\phi(n)$?

Solving square root of y modulo n is difficult!!! y x² (mod n)
Why don't we take (2⁻¹)-th power of y? where 2⁻¹ · 2 1 (mod (n)) e.g. n = 11 · 13 = 143

Solving e-th root of y modulo n is difficult!!! y x^e (mod n), where gcd(e, (n)) = 1
Why don't we take (e⁻¹)-th power of y? where e⁻¹ · e 1 (mod (n)) e.g. n = 11 · 13 = 143, e = 7 \$\phi(n) = 10 · 12 = 120, e⁻¹ = 103\$
★ Solving square root of y modulo n is difficult!!!

♦ Solving square root of y modulo n is difficult??
y $x^2 \pmod{n}$ Why don't we take (2⁻¹)-th power of y?
where 2⁻¹ · 2 1 (mod (n))
e.g. $n = 11 \cdot 13 = 143$ (n) = 10 · 12 = 120, gcd(2, (n)) = 2

♦ Solving e-th root of y modulo n is difficult!!! y $x^{e} \pmod{n}$, where gcd(e, (n)) = 1Why don't we take (e⁻¹)-th power of y? Trouble: How do we where $e^{-1} \cdot e = 1 \pmod{(n)}$ know $\phi(n)$? e.g. $n = 11 \cdot 13 = 143, e = 7$ $\phi(n) = 10 \cdot 12 = 120, e^{-1} = 103$ ♦ Solving square root of y modulo n is difficult!!! y $x^2 \pmod{n}$ Why don't we take (2^{-1}) -th power of y? where $2^{-1} \cdot 2 = 1 \pmod{(n)}$ e.g. $n = 11 \cdot 13 = 143$ $(n) = 10 \cdot 12 = 120, gcd(2, (n)) = 2$ Trouble: $d \cdot 2 = 1 \pmod{(n)}$ has no solution

♦ Solving e-th root of y modulo n is difficult!!! y $x^{e} \pmod{n}$, where gcd(e, (n)) = 1Why don't we take (e⁻¹)-th power of y? Trouble: How do we where $e^{-1} \cdot e = 1 \pmod{(n)}$ know $\phi(n)$? e.g. $n = 11 \cdot 13 = 143, e = 7$ $\phi(n) = 10 \cdot 12 = 120, e^{-1} = 103$ ♦ Solving square root of y modulo n is difficult!!! $y x^2 \pmod{n}$ Why don't we take (2^{-1}) -th power of y? where $2^{-1} \cdot 2 = 1 \pmod{(n)}$ **Remember solving square** root of y modulo a prime e.g. $n = 11 \cdot 13 = 143$ number p is very easy $(n) = 10 \cdot 12 = 120, gcd(2, (n)) = 2$ Trouble: $d \cdot 2 = 1 \pmod{(n)}$ has no solution

 R. Rivest, A. Shamir and L. Adleman, "A Method for Obtaining Digital Signatures and Public-Key Cryptosystems," Comm. ACM, pp.120-126, 1978

♦ R. Rivest, A. Shamir and L. Adleman, "A Method for Obtaining Digital Signatures and Public-Key Cryptosystems," Comm. ACM, pp.120-126, 1978
♦ Based on the *Integer Factorization* problem

- R. Rivest, A. Shamir and L. Adleman, "A Method for Obtaining Digital Signatures and Public-Key Cryptosystems," Comm. ACM, pp.120-126, 1978
- ♦ Based on the Integer Factorization problem
- \diamond Choose two large prime numbers: p, q (keep them secret!!)

- R. Rivest, A. Shamir and L. Adleman, "A Method for Obtaining Digital Signatures and Public-Key Cryptosystems," Comm. ACM, pp.120-126, 1978
- ♦ Based on the Integer Factorization problem
- \diamond Choose two large prime numbers: p, q (keep them secret!!)
- ♦ Calculate the modulus $n = p \cdot q$ (make it public)

- R. Rivest, A. Shamir and L. Adleman, "A Method for Obtaining Digital Signatures and Public-Key Cryptosystems," Comm. ACM, pp.120-126, 1978
- ♦ Based on the *Integer Factorization* problem
- ♦ Choose two large prime numbers: p, q (keep them secret!!)
 ♦ Calculate the modulus n = p·q (make it public)
- ↔ Calculate $Φ(n) = (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$

(keep it secret)

- R. Rivest, A. Shamir and L. Adleman, "A Method for Obtaining Digital Signatures and Public-Key Cryptosystems," Comm. ACM, pp.120-126, 1978
- ♦ Based on the *Integer Factorization* problem
- \diamond Choose two large prime numbers: p, q (keep them secret!!)
- ♦ Calculate the modulus $n = p \cdot q$
- ↔ Calculate Φ(n) = (p-1)·(q-1) (keep it secret)
- ♦ Select a random integer such that $e < \Phi$ and $gcd(e, \Phi) = 1$

(make it public)

- R. Rivest, A. Shamir and L. Adleman, "A Method for Obtaining Digital Signatures and Public-Key Cryptosystems," Comm. ACM, pp.120-126, 1978
- ♦ Based on the *Integer Factorization* problem
- \diamond Choose two large prime numbers: p, q (keep them secret!!)
- ♦ Calculate the modulus $n = p \cdot q$ (make it public)
- ↔ Calculate Φ(n) = (p-1)·(q-1) (keep it secret)
- ♦ Select a random integer such that $e < \Phi$ and $gcd(e, \Phi) = 1$
- ♦ Calculate the unique integer *d* such that $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi}$
RSA Public Key Cryptosystem

- ♦ R. Rivest, A. Shamir and L. Adleman, "A Method for **Obtaining Digital Signatures and Public-Key** Cryptosystems," Comm. ACM, pp.120-126, 1978
- ♦ Based on the Integer Factorization problem
- \Rightarrow Choose two large prime numbers: p, q (keep them secret!!) \diamond Calculate the modulus $n = p \cdot q$ (make it public) ♦ Calculate $\Phi(n) = (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$ (keep it secret) \diamond Select a random integer such that $e < \Phi$ and $gcd(e, \Phi) = 1$ \diamond Calculate the unique integer d such that $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi}$ Private key: d
- \Rightarrow Public key: (n, e)

9

\diamond Alice wants to encrypt a message *m* for Bob

Alice wants to encrypt a message *m* for Bob
Alice obtains Bob's authentic public key (*n*, *e*)

RSA Encryption & DecryptionAlice wants to encrypt a message *m* for Bob Alice obtains Bob's authentic public key (*n*, *e*) Alice represents the message as an integer *m* in the interval [0, *n* -1]

RSA Encryption & Decryption \diamond Alice wants to encrypt a message *m* for Bob \diamond Alice obtains Bob's authentic public key (*n*, *e*) \diamond Alice represents the message as an integer *m* in the interval [0, n-1] Alice computes the modular exponentiation $c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$

RSA Encryption & Decryption \diamond Alice wants to encrypt a message *m* for Bob \diamond Alice obtains Bob's authentic public key (*n*, *e*) \diamond Alice represents the message as an integer *m* in the interval [0, n-1] Alice computes the modular exponentiation $c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$ \diamond Alice sends the ciphertext *c* to Bob

RSA Encryption & Decryption \diamond Alice wants to encrypt a message *m* for Bob \diamond Alice obtains Bob's authentic public key (*n*, *e*) \diamond Alice represents the message as an integer *m* in the interval [0, n-1] Alice computes the modular exponentiation $c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$ \diamond Alice sends the ciphertext *c* to Bob \diamond Bob decrypts c with his private key (n, d) by computing the modular exponentiation $c^d \pmod{n}$ \hat{m}

♦ Why does RSA work?

Why does RSA work?

* Fact 1: $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi} \Rightarrow e \cdot d = 1 + k \Phi$

$\Rightarrow \text{ Why does RSA work?} \\ * \text{ Fact 1: } e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi} \Rightarrow e \cdot d = 1 + k \Phi \\ * \text{ Fact 2: } \forall m, \text{ gcd}(m,n) = 1, m^{\Phi} \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \\ \text{ (by Euler's theorem)} \end{aligned}$

RSA Encryption & Decryption ♦ Why does RSA work? * Fact 1: $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi} \Rightarrow e \cdot d = 1 + k \Phi$ * Fact 2: $\forall m, \gcd(m,n) = 1, m^{\Phi} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ (by Euler's theorem) * From Fact 2: $\forall m$, gcd(m,n)=1, $c^{d} m^{ed} m^{1+k} \Phi \equiv m^{1+k} (p-1)(q-1)$ $m \pmod{n}$ note: 1. This only proves that for all *m* that are not multiples of *p* or q can be recovered after RSA encryption and decryption.

RSA Encryption & Decryption \diamond Why does RSA work? * Fact 1: $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi} \Rightarrow e \cdot d = 1 + k \Phi$ * Fact 2: $\forall m, \gcd(m,n) = 1, m^{\Phi} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ (by Euler's theorem) * From Fact 2: $\forall m$, gcd(m,n)=1, $c^{d} m^{ed} m^{1+k} \Phi \equiv m^{1+k} (p-1)(q-1)$ $m \pmod{n}$ note: 1. This only proves that for all *m* that are not multiples of *p* or q can be recovered after RSA encryption and decryption. 2. For those *m* that are multiples of *p* or *q*, the Euler's theorem simply does not hold because $p^{\Phi} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $p^{\Phi} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ which means that $p^{\Phi} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ from CRT.

RSA Encryption & Decryption * Fact 1: $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi} \Rightarrow e \cdot d = 1 + k \Phi$ * Fact 2: $\forall m, \gcd(m,n) = 1, m^{\Phi} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ (by Euler's theorem) * From Fact 2: $\forall m$, gcd(m,n)=1, c^{d} m^{ed} $m^{1+k}\Phi \equiv m^{1+k}(p-1)(q-1)$ $m \pmod{n}$ note: 1. This only proves that for all *m* that are not multiples of *p* or q can be recovered after RSA encryption and decryption. 2. For those *m* that are multiples of *p* or *q*, the Euler's theorem simply does not hold because $p^{\Phi} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $p^{\Phi} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ which means that $p^{\Phi} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ from CRT. 11

Why does RSA work?

↔ Why does RSA work?★ Fact 1: e ⋅ d ≡ 1 (mod Φ) ⇒ e ⋅ d = 1 + k Φ

♦ Why does RSA work? *** Fact 1:** $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi} \Rightarrow e \cdot d = 1 + k \Phi$ *** Fact 2:** $\forall m$, gcd(m,p)=1, $m^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ (by Fermat's Little theorem)

♦ Why does RSA work? *** Fact 1:** $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi} \Rightarrow e \cdot d = 1 + k \Phi$ *** Fact 2:** $\forall m$, gcd(m,p)=1, $m^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ (by Fermat's Little theorem) *** From Fact 2:** $\forall m$, gcd(m,p)=1

♦ Why does RSA work?
★ Fact 1: e·d ≡ 1 (mod Φ) ⇒ e·d = 1 + k Φ
★ Fact 2: ∀m, gcd(m,p)=1, m^{p-1} ≡ 1 (mod p) (by Fermat's Little theorem)
★ From Fact 2: ∀m, gcd(m,p)=1 m^{1+k (p-1) (q-1)} ≡ m (mod p)

♦ Why does RSA work? *** Fact 1:** $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi} \Rightarrow e \cdot d = 1 + k \Phi$ *** Fact 2:** $\forall m$, gcd(m,p)=1, $m^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ (by Fermat's Little theorem) *** From Fact 2:** $\forall m$, gcd(m,p)=1note: this equation is $m^{1+k}(p-1)(q-1) \equiv m \pmod{p}$

m = kp

Why does RSA work?

* Fact 1: $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi} \Rightarrow e \cdot d = 1 + k \Phi$

* Fact 2: $\forall m, \gcd(m,p) = 1, m^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ (by Fermat's Little theorem)

* From Fact 2: $\forall m$, gcd(m,p)=1note: this equation is trivially true when m = kp* From Fact 2: $\forall m$, gcd(m,q)=1

Why does RSA work?

* Fact 1: $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi} \Rightarrow e \cdot d = 1 + k \Phi$

* Fact 2: $\forall m, \gcd(m,p) = 1, m^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ (by Fermat's Little theorem)

* From Fact 2: $\forall m$, gcd(m,p)=1note: this equation is trivially true when $m^{1+k}(p-1)(q-1) \equiv m \pmod{p}$ * From Fact 2: $\forall m$, gcd(m,q)=1 $m^{1+k}(p-1)(q-1) \equiv m \pmod{q}$

♦ Why does RSA work?

m = kq

* Fact 1: $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi} \Rightarrow e \cdot d = 1 + k \Phi$

* Fact 2: $\forall m, \gcd(m,p) = 1, m^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ (by Fermat's Little theorem)

♦ Why does RSA work?

* Fact 1: $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi} \Rightarrow e \cdot d = 1 + k \Phi$

* Fact 2: $\forall m, \gcd(m,p) = 1, m^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ (by Fermat's Little theorem)

* From Fact 2: $\forall m$, gcd(m,p)=1note: this equation is m = kp* From Fact 2: $\forall m$, gcd(m,q)=1note: this equation is trivially true when m = kq $m ^{1+k}(p-1)(q-1) \equiv m \pmod{p}$

*** From CRT**: $\forall m$,

Why does RSA work?

* Fact 1: $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi} \Rightarrow e \cdot d = 1 + k \Phi$

* Fact 2: $\forall m, \gcd(m,p)=1, m^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ (by Fermat's Little theorem)

* From Fact 2: $\forall m$, gcd(m,p)=1note: this equation is trivially true when $m^{1+k(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv m \pmod{p}$

* From Fact 2: $\forall m$, gcd(m,q)=1

note: this equation is trivially true when m = kq

$$m^{1+k(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv m \pmod{q}$$

*** From CRT**: $\forall m$,

 c^d m^{ed} $m^{1+k\Phi} \equiv m^{1+k(p-1)(q-1)}$ $m \pmod{n}$

Why does RSA work?

* Fact 1: $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi} \Rightarrow e \cdot d = 1 + k \Phi$

* Fact 2: $\forall m, \gcd(m,p)=1, m^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ (by Fermat's Little theorem)

* From Fact 2: $\forall m$, gcd(m,p)=1note: this equation is trivially true when $m^{1+k}(p-1)(q-1) \equiv m \pmod{p}$ * From Fact 2: $\forall m$, gcd(m,q)=1

*** From Fact 2:** $\forall m$, gcd(m,q)=1

note: this equation is trivially true when m = kq

 $\mathbf{k} m^{1+k} \overline{(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv m \pmod{q}$

*** From CRT**: $\forall m$,

 c^d m^{ed} $m^{1+k\Phi} \equiv m^{1+k(p-1)(q-1)}$ $m \pmod{n}$

RSA Function is a Permutation

♦ RSA function is a permutation: (1-1 and onto, bijective)

RSA Function is a Permutation♦ RSA function is a permutation: (1-1 and onto, bijective)♦ Goal: "∀x₁, x₂ ∈ Z_n if x₁^e = x₂^e (mod n) then x₁ = x₂"

RSA Function is a Permutation \diamond RSA function is a permutation: (1-1 and onto, bijective) \diamond Goal: " $\forall x_1, x_2 \in Z_n$ if $x_1^e \equiv x_2^e \pmod{n}$ then $x_1 \equiv x_2$ "
 $\forall x \neq r \cdot p, x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \forall x \neq s \cdot q, x^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$

RSA Function is a Permutation \diamond RSA function is a permutation: (1-1 and onto, bijective) \diamond Goal: " $\forall x_1, x_2 \in Z_n$ if $x_1^e \equiv x_2^e \pmod{n}$ then $x_1 \equiv x_2$ "
 $\forall x \neq r \cdot p, x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \forall x \neq s \cdot q, x^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $\Rightarrow \forall k, \forall x \neq r \cdot p, x^{k\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \forall x \neq s \cdot q, x^{k\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$

RSA Function is a Permutation \diamond RSA function is a permutation: (1-1 and onto, bijective) \diamond Goal: " $\forall x_1, x_2 \in Z_n$ if $x_1^e \equiv x_2^e \pmod{n}$ then $x_1 \equiv x_2$ "
 $\forall x \neq r \cdot p, x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \forall x \neq s \cdot q, x^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $\Rightarrow \forall k, \forall x \neq r \cdot p, x^{k\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \forall x \neq s \cdot q, x^{k\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $CRT \Rightarrow \forall k, \forall x, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{p}, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{q}$ $\Rightarrow \forall k, \forall x, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{p}, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{q}$

RSA Function is a Permutation \Rightarrow RSA function is a permutation: (1-1 and onto, bijective) \Leftrightarrow Goal: " $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ if $x_1^e \equiv x_2^e \pmod{n}$ then $x_1 \equiv x_2$ " $\forall x \neq r \cdot p, x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \forall x \neq s \cdot q, x^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $\Rightarrow \forall k, \forall x \neq r \cdot p, x^{k\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \forall x \neq s \cdot q, x^{k\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $CRT \Longrightarrow \forall k, \forall x, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{p}, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{q}$ $\Rightarrow \forall k, \forall x, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{n}$ * $gcd(e,\phi(n))=1 \implies inverse of e \pmod{\phi(n)} exists$ \Rightarrow let d be the inverse s.t. $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$

RSA Function is a Permutation \Rightarrow RSA function is a permutation: (1-1 and onto, bijective) \Leftrightarrow Goal: " $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ if $x_1^e \equiv x_2^e \pmod{n}$ then $x_1 \equiv x_2$ " $\forall x \neq r \cdot p, x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \forall x \neq s \cdot q, x^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $\Rightarrow \forall k, \forall x \neq r \cdot p, x^{k\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \forall x \neq s \cdot q, x^{k\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $CRT \Longrightarrow \forall k, \forall x, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{p}, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{q}$ $\Rightarrow \forall k, \forall x, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{n}$ * $gcd(e,\phi(n))=1 \implies inverse of e \pmod{\phi(n)} exists$ \Rightarrow let d be the inverse s.t. $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ * $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ if $x_1^e \equiv x_2^e \pmod{n}$

RSA Function is a Permutation \Rightarrow RSA function is a permutation: (1-1 and onto, bijective) \Leftrightarrow Goal: " $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ if $x_1^e \equiv x_2^e \pmod{n}$ then $x_1 \equiv x_2$ " $\forall x \neq r \cdot p, x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \forall x \neq s \cdot q, x^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $\Rightarrow \forall k, \forall x \neq r \cdot p, x^{k\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \forall x \neq s \cdot q, x^{k\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $CRT \Longrightarrow \forall k, \forall x, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{p}, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{q}$ $\Rightarrow \forall k, \forall x, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{n}$ * $gcd(e,\phi(n))=1 \implies inverse of e \pmod{\phi(n)} exists$ \Rightarrow let d be the inverse s.t. $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ * $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ if $x_1^e \equiv x_2^e \pmod{n}$ $\Rightarrow (x_1^e)^d \equiv (x_2^e)^d \pmod{n}$
RSA Function is a Permutation \diamond RSA function is a permutation: (1-1 and onto, bijective) \Leftrightarrow Goal: " $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ if $x_1^e \equiv x_2^e \pmod{n}$ then $x_1 \equiv x_2$ " $\forall x \neq r \cdot p, x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \forall x \neq s \cdot q, x^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $\Rightarrow \forall k, \forall x \neq r \cdot p, x^{k\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \forall x \neq s \cdot q, x^{k\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $CRT \Longrightarrow \forall k, \forall x, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{p}, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{q}$ $\Rightarrow \forall k, \forall x, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{n}$ * $gcd(e,\phi(n))=1 \implies inverse of e \pmod{\phi(n)} exists$ \Rightarrow let d be the inverse s.t. $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ * $\forall x_1, x_2 \in Z_n$ if $x_1^e \equiv x_2^e \pmod{n}$ $\Rightarrow (x_1^e)^d \equiv (x_2^e)^d \pmod{n}$ \Rightarrow $(x_1)^{1+k} \phi(n) \equiv (x_2)^{1+k} \phi(n) \pmod{n}$

RSA Function is a Permutation \diamond RSA function is a permutation: (1-1 and onto, bijective) \Leftrightarrow Goal: " $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ if $x_1^e \equiv x_2^e \pmod{n}$ then $x_1 \equiv x_2$ " $\forall x \neq r \cdot p, x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \forall x \neq s \cdot q, x^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $\Rightarrow \forall k, \forall x \neq r \cdot p, x^{k\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \forall x \neq s \cdot q, x^{k\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $CRT \Longrightarrow \forall k, \forall x, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{p}, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{q}$ $\Rightarrow \forall k, \forall x, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{n}$ * $gcd(e,\phi(n))=1 \implies inverse of e \pmod{\phi(n)} exists$ \Rightarrow let d be the inverse s.t. $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ * $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ if $x_1^e \equiv x_2^e \pmod{n}$ $\Rightarrow (x_1^e)^d \equiv (x_2^e)^d \pmod{n}$ \Rightarrow $(x_1)^{1+k} \phi(n) \equiv (x_2)^{1+k} \phi(n) \pmod{n}$ \Rightarrow x₁ \equiv x₂ (mod n)

RSA Function is a Permutation \Rightarrow RSA function is a permutation: (1-1 and onto, bijective) \Leftrightarrow Goal: " $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ if $x_1^e \equiv x_2^e \pmod{n}$ then $x_1 \equiv x_2$ " $\forall x \neq r \cdot p, x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \forall x \neq s \cdot q, x^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $\Rightarrow \forall k, \forall x \neq r \cdot p, x^{k\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \forall x \neq s \cdot q, x^{k\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $CRT \Longrightarrow \forall k, \forall x, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{p}, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{q}$ $\Rightarrow \forall k, \forall x, x^{k\phi(n)+1} \equiv x \pmod{n}$ * $gcd(e,\phi(n))=1 \implies inverse of e \pmod{\phi(n)} exists$ \Rightarrow let d be the inverse s.t. $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ * $\forall x_1, x_2 \in Z_n$ if $x_1^e \equiv x_2^e \pmod{n}$ $\Rightarrow (x_1^e)^d \equiv (x_2^e)^d \pmod{n}$ Note: Euler Thm is valid only when $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^* \longrightarrow (X_1)^{1+k} \phi(n) \equiv (X_2)^{1+k} \phi(n) \pmod{n}$ $\Rightarrow x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{n}$

13

♦ Most popular PKC in practice

۲

- ♦ Most popular PKC in practice
- Tens of dedicated crypto-processors are specifically designed to perform modular multiplication in a very efficient way.

- ♦ Most popular PKC in practice
- Tens of dedicated crypto-processors are specifically designed to perform modular multiplication in a very efficient way.
- Disadvantage: long key length,

complex key generation scheme, deterministic encryption

- ♦ Most popular PKC in practice
- Tens of dedicated crypto-processors are specifically designed to perform modular multiplication in a very efficient way.
- Disadvantage: long key length,
 1

complex key generation scheme, deterministic encryption

 For acceptable level of security in commercial applications, 1024bit (300 digits) keys are used. For a symmetric key system with comparable security, about 100 bits keys are used.

- ♦ Most popular PKC in practice
- Tens of dedicated crypto-processors are specifically designed to perform modular multiplication in a very efficient way.
- Disadvantage: long key length, complex key generation scheme, deterministic encryption
- ♦ For acceptable level of security in commercial applications, 1024bit (300 digits) keys are used. For a symmetric key system with comparable security, about 100 bits keys are used.
- In constrained devices such as smart cards, cellular phones and PDAs, it is hard to store, communicate keys or handle operations involving large integers

\diamond rsatest.m

 \bullet

15

\diamond rsatest.m

۲

* maple('p := nextprime(1897345789)')

\diamond rsatest.m

* maple('p := nextprime(1897345789)')
* maple('q := nextprime(278478934897)')

\diamond rsatest.m

* maple('p := nextprime(1897345789)')
* maple('q := nextprime(278478934897)')
* maple('n := p*q');

♦ rsatest.m

- * maple('p := nextprime(1897345789)')
- * maple('q := nextprime(278478934897)')
- * maple('n := p*q');
- * maple('x := 101');

\diamond rsatest.m

- * maple('p := nextprime(1897345789)')
- * maple('q := nextprime(278478934897)')
- * maple('n := p*q');
- * maple('x := 101');
- * maple('e := nextprime(12345678)')

\diamond rsatest.m

- * maple('p := nextprime(1897345789)')
- * maple('q := nextprime(278478934897)')
- * maple('n := p*q');
- * maple('x := 101');
- * maple('e := nextprime(12345678)')

Very likely to be relatively prime with (p-1)(q-1)

\diamond rsatest.m

- * maple('p := nextprime(1897345789)')
- * maple('q := nextprime(278478934897)')
- * maple('n := p*q');
- * maple('x := 101');
- * maple('e := nextprime(12345678)')
- * maple('d := $e^{(-1)} \mod ((p-1)^{*}(q-1))'$)

Very likely to be relatively prime with (p-1)(q-1)

\diamond rsatest.m

- * maple('p := nextprime(1897345789)')
- * maple('q := nextprime(278478934897)')
- * maple('n := p*q');
- * maple('x := 101');
- * maple('e := nextprime(12345678)')
- * maple('d := $e^{(-1)} \mod ((p-1)^{*}(q-1))'$)

extended Euclidean algo.

Very likely to be relatively

prime with (p-1)(q-1)

\diamond rsatest.m

- * maple('p := nextprime(1897345789)')
- * maple('q := nextprime(278478934897)')
- * maple('n := p*q');
- * maple('x := 101');
- * maple('e := nextprime(12345678)')
- * maple('d := $e^{(-1)} \mod ((p-1)^{*}(q-1))'$)
- * maple('y := $x \&^{(e)} \mod n'$)

extended Euclidean algo.

Very likely to be relatively

prime with (p-1)(q-1)

\diamond rsatest.m

- * maple('p := nextprime(1897345789)')
- * maple('q := nextprime(278478934897)')
- * maple('n := p*q');
- * maple('x := 101');
- * maple('e := nextprime(12345678)')
- * maple('d := $e^{(-1)} \mod ((p-1)^{*}(q-1))'$)
- * maple('y := $x \& (e) \mod n'$)
- * maple('xp := $y\&^{(d)} \mod n'$) extended Euclidean algo.

Very likely to be relatively

prime with (p-1)(q-1)

 M.O. Rabin, "Digitalized Signatures and Public-key Functions As Intractable As Factorization", Tech. Rep. LCS/TR212, MIT, 1979

 M.O. Rabin, "Digitalized Signatures and Public-key Functions As Intractable As Factorization", Tech. Rep. LCS/TR212, MIT, 1979

 \diamond Choose two large prime numbers: p, q (keep them secret!!)

 M.O. Rabin, "Digitalized Signatures and Public-key Functions As Intractable As Factorization", Tech. Rep. LCS/TR212, MIT, 1979

♦ Choose two large prime numbers: p, q (keep them secret!!)
♦ Calculate the modulus $n = p \cdot q$ (make it public)

 M.O. Rabin, "Digitalized Signatures and Public-key Functions As Intractable As Factorization", Tech. Rep. LCS/TR212, MIT, 1979

♦ Choose two large prime numbers: p, q (keep them secret!!)
♦ Calculate the modulus n = p • q (make it public)
♦ Public Key n

 M.O. Rabin, "Digitalized Signatures and Public-key Functions As Intractable As Factorization", Tech. Rep. LCS/TR212, MIT, 1979

♦ Choose two large prime numbers: p, q (keep them secret!!)
♦ Calculate the modulus $n = p \cdot q$ (make it public)
♦ Public Key n
♦ Private Key p, q

Alice want to encrypt a message *m* (with some fixed format) for Bob

Alice want to encrypt a message *m* (with some fixed format) for Bob

 \diamond Alice obtains Bob's authentic public key *n*

- Alice want to encrypt a message *m* (with some fixed format) for Bob
- \diamond Alice obtains Bob's authentic public key *n*
- ♦ Alice represents the message as an integer *m* in the interval [0, *n* -1]

- Alice want to encrypt a message *m* (with some fixed format) for Bob
- \diamond Alice obtains Bob's authentic public key *n*
- ♦ Alice represents the message as an integer *m* in the interval [0, *n* -1]
- ♦ Alice computes the modular square $c \equiv m^2 \pmod{n}$

- Alice want to encrypt a message *m* (with some fixed format) for Bob
- \diamond Alice obtains Bob's authentic public key *n*
- ♦ Alice represents the message as an integer *m* in the interval [0, *n* -1]
- ♦ Alice computes the modular square $c \equiv m^2 \pmod{n}$
- \diamond Alice sends the ciphertext *c* to Bob

- Alice want to encrypt a message *m* (with some fixed format) for Bob
- \diamond Alice obtains Bob's authentic public key *n*
- ♦ Alice represents the message as an integer *m* in the interval [0, *n* -1]
- ♦ Alice computes the modular square $c \equiv m^2 \pmod{n}$
- \diamond Alice sends the ciphertext *c* to Bob
- \diamond Bob decrypts c using his private key p and q

- Alice want to encrypt a message *m* (with some fixed format) for Bob
- \diamond Alice obtains Bob's authentic public key *n*
- ♦ Alice represents the message as an integer *m* in the interval [0, n-1]
- ♦ Alice computes the modular square $c \equiv m^2 \pmod{n}$
- \diamond Alice sends the ciphertext *c* to Bob
- ♦ Bob decrypts c using his private key p and q
- ♦ Bob computes the four square roots ±m₁, ±m₂ using CRT, one of them satisfying the fixed message format is the recovered message

♦ The range of the Rabin function is not the whole set of Z_n^* (compare with RSA).

- ♦ The range of the Rabin function is not the whole set of Z_n^* (compare with RSA).
 - ★ The range covers all the quadratic residues. (for a prime modulus, the number of quadratic residues in Z_p^{*} is (p-1)/2; for a composite integer n=p·q, the number of quadratic residues in Z_n^{*} is (p-1)(q-1)/4)

- ♦ The range of the Rabin function is not the whole set of Z_n^* (compare with RSA).
 - The range covers all the quadratic residues. (for a prime modulus, the number of quadratic residues in Z_p* is (p-1)/2; for a composite integer n=p·q, the number of quadratic residues in Z_n* is (p-1)(q-1)/4)
 - ★ In order to let the Rabin function have inverse, it is necessary to make the Rabin function a permutation, ie. 1-1 and onto. Therefore, the number of elements in the domain of the Rabin function should also be (p-1)(q-1)/4 for n=p·q. There are 4 possible numbers with their square equal to y, and we have to make 3 of them illegal.

Number of Quadratic Residues

For a prime modulus p: number of QR_p's in Z_p* is (p-1)/2 pf: find a primitive g, at least {g², g⁴, ... g^{p-1}} are QR_p's assume there are (p+1)/2 QRs, since there are exactly two square roots of a QR modulo p there are p+1 square roots for these (p+1)/2 QRs, i.e. there must be at least two pairs of square roots are the same (pigeon-hole), i.e. two out of these (p+1)/2 QRs are the same, contradiction

Number of Quadratic Residues

For a prime modulus p: number of QR_p's in Z_p* is (p-1)/2 pf: find a primitive g, at least {g², g⁴, ... g^{p-1}} are QR_p's assume there are (p+1)/2 QRs, since there are exactly two square roots of a QR modulo p there are p+1 square roots for these (p+1)/2 QRs, i.e. there must be at least two pairs of square roots are the same (pigeon-hole), i.e. two out of these (p+1)/2 QRs are the same, contradiction

For a composite modulus p·q: number of QR_n's in Z_{p·q}* is (p-1)(q-1)/4 pf: find a common primitive in Z_p* and Z_q* g, at least {g², g⁴, ..., g^{p-1}..., g^{q-1}..., g^{λ(n)}} are QR_n's, where λ(n) = lcm(p-1,q-1) can be as large as (p-1)(q-1)/2, this set has (p-1)(q-1)/4 distinct elements assume there are (p-1)(q-1)/4+1 QR_n's in Z_n*, since there are four square roots of a QR modulo p·q, these QR_n's have (p-1)(q-1)+4 square roots in total. There must be some repeated elements in this QR_n, therefore, there are at most (p-1)(q-1)/4 QR_n's in Z_n*
$\Rightarrow maple('p:=nextprime(189734535789)') \qquad \% 189734535811 = 4 k + 3$

 \bullet

maple('p:= nextprime(189734535789)') % 189734535811 = 4 k + 3
 maple('p mod 4')

 \bullet

- \Rightarrow maple('p:= nextprime(189734535789)') % 189734535811 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('p mod 4')$

۲

 \Rightarrow maple('q:= nextprime(27847815934897)') % 27847815934931 = 4 k + 3

- \Rightarrow maple('p:= nextprime(189734535789)') % 189734535811 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('p mod 4')$

۲

- \Rightarrow maple('q:= nextprime(27847815934897)') % 27847815934931 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('q mod 4')$

- \Rightarrow maple('p:= nextprime(189734535789)') % 189734535811 = 4 k + 3
- $\diamond maple('p mod 4')$

۲

- \Rightarrow maple('q:= nextprime(27847815934897)') % 27847815934931 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('q mod 4')$
- $\diamond maple('n:=p*q');$

- \Rightarrow maple('p:= nextprime(189734535789)') % 189734535811 = 4 k + 3
- \Rightarrow maple('p mod 4')
- \Rightarrow maple('q:= nextprime(27847815934897)') % 27847815934931 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('q mod 4')$
- maple('n:=p*q');
- maple('x:=070411111422141711030000') % text2int('helloworld')

- $\Rightarrow maple('p:=nextprime(189734535789)') \qquad \% 189734535811 = 4 k + 3$
- $\Rightarrow maple('p mod 4')$
- \Rightarrow maple('q:= nextprime(27847815934897)') % 27847815934931 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('q mod 4')$
- maple('n:=p*q');
- maple('x:=070411111422141711030000') % text2int('helloworld')
- $\Rightarrow maple('c:= x \& ^2 \mod n')$

- \Rightarrow maple('p:= nextprime(189734535789)') % 189734535811 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('p mod 4')$
- \Rightarrow maple('q:= nextprime(27847815934897)') % 27847815934931 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('q mod 4')$
- maple('n:=p*q');
- maple('x:=070411111422141711030000') % text2int('helloworld')
- $\Rightarrow maple('c:= x \&^{2} \mod n')$
- $\Rightarrow maple('c1:=c \mod p')$

- \Rightarrow maple('p:= nextprime(189734535789)') % 189734535811 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('p mod 4')$
- ♦ maple('q:= nextprime(27847815934897)') % 27847815934931 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('q mod 4')$
- maple('n:=p*q');
- maple('x:=070411111422141711030000') % text2int('helloworld')
- $\Rightarrow maple('c:= x \&^{2} \mod n')$
- $\Rightarrow maple('c1:=c \mod p')$
- $\Rightarrow maple('r1:=c1\&^{(p+1)/4}) \mod p')$

- \Rightarrow maple('p:= nextprime(189734535789)') % 189734535811 = 4 k + 3
- \diamond maple('p mod 4')
- ♦ maple('q:= nextprime(27847815934897)') % 27847815934931 = 4 k + 3
- \diamond maple('q mod 4')
- ♦ maple('n:=p*q');
- * maple('x:=070411111422141711030000') % text2int('helloworld')
- \Rightarrow maple('c:= x&^2 mod n')
- \Rightarrow maple('c1:= c mod p')
- \Rightarrow maple('r1:= c1&^((p+1)/4) mod p') % maple('r1&^2 mod p')

 \Rightarrow maple('c2:= c mod q')

- \Rightarrow maple('p:= nextprime(189734535789)') % 189734535811 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('p mod 4')$
- \Rightarrow maple('q:= nextprime(27847815934897)') % 27847815934931 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('q mod 4')$
- maple('n:=p*q');
- maple('x:=070411111422141711030000') % text2int('helloworld')
- $\Rightarrow maple('c:= x \&^{2} \mod n')$
- $\Rightarrow maple('c1:=c \mod p')$
- $\Rightarrow maple('r1:=c1\&^{(p+1)/4}) \mod p')$

% maple('r1&^2 mod p')

- $\Rightarrow maple('c2:=c \mod q')$
- \Rightarrow maple('r2:= c2&^((q+1)/4) mod q')

- \Rightarrow maple('p:= nextprime(189734535789)') % 189734535811 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('p mod 4')$
- ♦ maple('q:= nextprime(27847815934897)') % 27847815934931 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('q mod 4')$
- maple('n:=p*q');
- maple('x:=070411111422141711030000') % text2int('helloworld')
- $\Rightarrow maple('c:= x \& ^2 \mod n')$
- $\Rightarrow maple('c1:=c \mod p')$
- \Rightarrow maple('r1:= c1&^((p+1)/4) mod p')

% maple('r1&^2 mod p')

- $\Rightarrow maple('c2:=c \mod q')$
- $\Rightarrow maple('r2:=c2\&^{((q+1)/4)} \mod q')$

% maple('r2&^2 mod q')

- \Rightarrow maple('p:=nextprime(189734535789)') % 189734535811 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('p mod 4')$
- ♦ maple('q:= nextprime(27847815934897)') % 27847815934931 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('q mod 4')$
- maple('n:=p*q');
- maple('x:=070411111422141711030000') % text2int('helloworld')
- $\Rightarrow maple('c:= x \&^2 \mod n')$
- $\Rightarrow maple('c1:=c \mod p')$
- $\Rightarrow maple('r1:=c1\&^{(p+1)/4}) \mod p')$

- $\Rightarrow maple('c2:=c \mod q')$
- \Rightarrow maple('r2:= c2&^((q+1)/4) mod q')

- % maple('r2&^2 mod q')
- $\Rightarrow maple('m1:=chrem([r1, r2], [p, q])') \% 3704440302544264662351219$ $\Rightarrow maple('m2:=chrem([-r1, r2], [p, q])') \% 70411111422141711030000$
- * maple('m2:= chrem([-r1, r2], [p, q])') % 70411111422141711030000

- \Rightarrow maple('p:= nextprime(189734535789)') % 189734535811 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('p mod 4')$
- ♦ maple('q:= nextprime(27847815934897)') % 27847815934931 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('q mod 4')$
- maple('n:=p*q');
- maple('x:=070411111422141711030000') % text2int('helloworld')
- $\Rightarrow maple('c:= x \& ^2 \mod n')$
- $\Rightarrow maple('c1:=c \mod p')$
- $\Rightarrow maple('r1:=c1\&^{(p+1)/4}) \mod p')$

- $\Rightarrow maple('c2:=c \mod q')$
- \Rightarrow maple('r2:= c2&^((q+1)/4) mod q')

- % maple('r2&^2 mod q')
- $\Rightarrow maple('m1:=chrem([r1, r2], [p, q])') \% 3704440302544264662351219$
- * maple('m2:= chrem([-r1, r2], [p, q])') % 70411111422141711030000
- ♦ maple('m3:= chrem([r1, -r2], [p, q])') % 5213281318342160554284041

- \Rightarrow maple('p:=nextprime(189734535789)') % 189734535811 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('p mod 4')$
- ♦ maple('q:= nextprime(27847815934897)') % 27847815934931 = 4 k + 3
- $\Rightarrow maple('q mod 4')$
- maple('n:=p*q');
- maple('x:=070411111422141711030000') % text2int('helloworld')
- $\Rightarrow maple('c:= x \&^2 \mod n')$
- $\Rightarrow maple('c1:=c \mod p')$
- $\Rightarrow maple('r1:=c1\&^{(p+1)/4}) \mod p')$

- $\Rightarrow maple('c2:=c \mod q')$
- \Rightarrow maple('r2:= c2&^((q+1)/4) mod q')

- % maple('r2&^2 mod q')
- $\Rightarrow maple('m1:=chrem([r1, r2], [p, q])') \ \% 3704440302544264662351219$
- * maple('m2:= chrem([-r1, r2], [p, q])') % 70411111422141711030000
- ♦ maple('m3:= chrem([r1, -r2], [p, q])') % 5213281318342160554284041
- * maple('m4:= chrem([-r1, -r2], [p, q])') % 1579252127220037602962822

 Break RSA means 'inverting <u>RSA function</u> without knowing the trapdoor'

♦ Break RSA means 'inverting <u>RSA function</u> without knowing the trapdoor' $y \equiv x^{e} \pmod{n}$

♦ Break RSA means 'inverting RSA function without knowing the trapdoor' $y \equiv x$

 \diamond Factor the modulus \Rightarrow Break RSA



- ♦ Break RSA means 'inverting <u>RSA function</u> without knowing the trapdoor' $y \equiv x^{e} \pmod{n}$
- \diamond Factor the modulus \Rightarrow Break RSA
 - * If we can factor the modulus, we can break RSA

- ♦ Break RSA means 'inverting <u>RSA function</u> without knowing the trapdoor' $y \equiv x^{e} \pmod{n}$
- \diamond Factor the modulus \Rightarrow Break RSA
 - * If we can factor the modulus, we can break RSA
 - If we can break RSA, we don't know whether we can factor the modulus...open problem (with negative evidences)

- \diamond Factor the modulus \Rightarrow Break RSA
 - * If we can factor the modulus, we can break RSA
 - If we can break RSA, we don't know whether we can factor the modulus...open problem (with negative evidences)
- \diamond Factor the modulus \Leftrightarrow Calculate private key d

- ♦ Break RSA means 'inverting <u>RSA function</u> without knowing the trapdoor' $y \equiv x^{e} \pmod{n}$
- \diamond Factor the modulus \Rightarrow Break RSA
 - * If we can factor the modulus, we can break RSA
 - If we can break RSA, we don't know whether we can factor the modulus...open problem (with negative evidences)
- \diamond Factor the modulus \Leftrightarrow Calculate private key d
 - * If we can factor the modulus, we can calculate the private exponent d (the trapdoor information).

- ♦ Break RSA means 'inverting <u>RSA function</u> without knowing the trapdoor' $y \equiv x^{e} \pmod{n}$
- \diamond Factor the modulus \Rightarrow Break RSA
 - * If we can factor the modulus, we can break RSA
 - If we can break RSA, we don't know whether we can factor the modulus...open problem (with negative evidences)
- \diamond Factor the modulus \Leftrightarrow Calculate private key d
 - * If we can factor the modulus, we can calculate the private exponent d (the trapdoor information).
 - * If we have the private exponent d, we can factor the modulus.

♦ Break RSA means 'inverting <u>RSA function</u> without knowing the trapdoor' $y \equiv x^{e} \pmod{n}$

 \diamond Factor the modulus \Rightarrow Break RSA

- * If we can factor the modulus, we can break RSA
- If we can break RSA, we don't know whether we can factor the modulus...open problem (with negative evidences)

 \diamond Factor the modulus \Leftrightarrow Calculate private key d

- * If we can factor the modulus, we can calculate the private exponent d (the trapdoor information).
- * If we have the private exponent d, we can factor the modulus.

will be illustrated later after factorization

Security of Rabin Function Security of Rabin function *is equivalent to* integer factoring

♦ Security of Rabin Function ♦ Security of Rabin function *is equivalent to* integer factoring ♦ inverting 'y = f(x) = x² (mod n)' without knowing p and q ⇔ factoring n

♦ Security of Rabin function *is equivalent to* integer factoring ♦ inverting 'y = f(x) = x² (mod n)' without knowing p and q ⇔ factoring n

if you can factor n = p · q in polynomial time
you can solve y ≡ x₁² (mod p) and y ≡ x₂² (mod q) easily
using CRT you can find x which is f⁻¹(y)

♦ Security of Rabin function *is equivalent to* integer factoring ♦ inverting 'y ≡ f(x) ≡ x² (mod n)' without knowing p and q ⇔ factoring n

if you can factor n = p · q in polynomial time
you can solve y ≡ x₁² (mod p) and y ≡ x₂² (mod q) easily
using CRT you can find x which is f⁻¹(y)

 $\star \Longrightarrow$

given a quadratic residue y if you can find the four square roots ±x₁ and ±x₂ for y in polynomial time
you can factor n by trying gcd(x₁-x₂, n) and gcd(x₁+x₂, n)

Let n be an integer and suppose there exist integers x and y with x² ≡ y² (mod n), but x ≠ ±y (mod n). Then **1** n is composite,
2 both gcd(x-y, n) and gcd(x+y, n) are nontrivial factors of n.

Let n be an integer and suppose there exist integers x and y with x² ≡ y² (mod n), but x ≠ ±y (mod n). Then ① n is composite,
2 both gcd(x-y, n) and gcd(x+y, n) are nontrivial factors of n.
<u>Proof</u>:

let d = gcd(x-y, n).

Let n be an integer and suppose there exist integers x and y with x² ≡ y² (mod n), but x ≠ ±y (mod n). Then ① n is composite,
2 both gcd(x-y, n) and gcd(x+y, n) are nontrivial factors of n.
<u>Proof</u>:

let d = gcd(x-y, n).

Case 1: assume $d = n \Rightarrow x \equiv y \pmod{n}$ contradiction

Let n be an integer and suppose there exist integers x and y with x² ≡ y² (mod n), but x ≠ ±y (mod n). Then ① n is composite,
2 both gcd(x-y, n) and gcd(x+y, n) are nontrivial factors of n.
<u>Proof</u>:

let d = gcd(x-y, n).

Case 1: assume $d = n \Rightarrow x \equiv y \pmod{n}$ contradiction

Case 2: assume d is 1 (the trivial factor)

Let n be an integer and suppose there exist integers x and y with x² ≡ y² (mod n), but x ≠ ±y (mod n). Then ① n is composite,
2 both gcd(x-y, n) and gcd(x+y, n) are nontrivial factors of n.
<u>Proof</u>:

let d = gcd(x-y, n).

Case 1: assume $d = n \Rightarrow x \equiv y \pmod{n}$ contradiction

Case 2: assume d is 1 (the trivial factor)

$$x^2$$
 $y^2 \pmod{n} \Rightarrow x^2 - y^2 = (x-y)(x+y) = k \cdot n$

Let n be an integer and suppose there exist integers x and y with x² ≡ y² (mod n), but x ≠ ±y (mod n). Then ① n is composite,
2 both gcd(x-y, n) and gcd(x+y, n) are nontrivial factors of n.
<u>Proof</u>:

let d = gcd(x-y, n).

Case 1: assume $d = n \Rightarrow x \equiv y \pmod{n}$ contradiction

Case 2: assume d is 1 (the trivial factor)

 x^2 $y^2 \pmod{n} \Rightarrow x^2 - y^2 = (x-y)(x+y) = k \cdot n$

d=1 means gcd(x-y, n)=1 \Rightarrow

Let n be an integer and suppose there exist integers x and y with x² ≡ y² (mod n), but x ≠ ±y (mod n). Then ① n is composite,
2 both gcd(x-y, n) and gcd(x+y, n) are nontrivial factors of n.
<u>Proof</u>:

let d = gcd(x-y, n).

Case 1: assume $d = n \Rightarrow x \equiv y \pmod{n}$ contradiction

Case 2: assume d is 1 (the trivial factor)

 $x^{2} y^{2} \pmod{n} \Rightarrow x^{2} - y^{2} = (x-y)(x+y) = k \cdot n$ d=1 means gcd(x-y, n)=1 n | x+y \Rightarrow x = -y (mod n) contradiction

Let n be an integer and suppose there exist integers x and y with x² ≡ y² (mod n), but x ≠ ±y (mod n). Then ① n is composite,
2 both gcd(x-y, n) and gcd(x+y, n) are nontrivial factors of n.
<u>Proof</u>:

let d = gcd(x-y, n).

Case 1: assume $d = n \Rightarrow x \equiv y \pmod{n}$ contradiction

Case 2: assume d is 1 (the trivial factor)

 $x^{2} y^{2} \pmod{n} \Rightarrow x^{2} - y^{2} = (x-y)(x+y) = k \cdot n$ d=1 means gcd(x-y, n)=1 n | x+y \Rightarrow x \equiv -y (mod n) contradiction Case 1 and 2 implies that 1 < d < n i.e. d must be a nontrivial factor of n
$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Basic Factoring Principle (2/4)} \\ \diamond \ x^2 \equiv y^2 \ (\text{mod } p) \ \text{implies } x \equiv \pm y \ (\text{mod } p) \ \text{since } p \ | \ (x+y)(x-y) \\ \text{implies } p \ | \ (x+y) \ \text{or } p \ | \ (x-y), \\ \text{i.e. } x \equiv -y \ (\text{mod } p) \ \text{or } x \equiv y \ (\text{mod } p) \end{array}$$

 \bullet

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Basic Factoring Principle (2/4)} \\ \diamond \ x^2 \equiv y^2 \ (\text{mod } p) \ \text{implies } x \equiv \pm y \ (\text{mod } p) \ \text{since } p \mid (x+y)(x-y) \\ \text{implies } p \mid (x+y) \ \text{or } p \mid (x-y), \\ \text{i.e. } x \equiv -y \ (\text{mod } p) \ \text{or } x \equiv y \ (\text{mod } p) \\ \diamond \ x^2 \equiv y^2 \ (\text{mod } n) \\ pq \mid (x+y)(x-y) \ \text{implies the following 4 possibilities} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Basic Factoring Principle (2/4)}\\ \Rightarrow x^2 \equiv y^2 \ (\text{mod } p) \ \text{implies } x \equiv \pm y \ (\text{mod } p) \ \text{since } p \mid (x+y)(x-y) \\ \text{implies } p \mid (x+y) \ \text{or } p \mid (x-y), \\ \text{i.e. } x \equiv -y \ (\text{mod } p) \ \text{or } x \equiv y \ (\text{mod } p) \\ \Rightarrow x^2 \equiv y^2 \ (\text{mod } n) \\ pq \mid (x+y)(x-y) \ \text{implies the following 4 possibilities} \\ 1. pq \mid (x+y) \ \text{i.e. } x \equiv -y \ (\text{mod } n) \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Basic Factoring Principle (2/4)} \\ \diamond \ x^2 \equiv y^2 \ (\text{mod } p) \ \text{implies } x \equiv \pm y \ (\text{mod } p) \ \text{since } p \mid (x+y)(x-y) \\ \text{implies } p \mid (x+y) \ \text{or } p \mid (x-y), \\ \text{i.e. } x \equiv -y \ (\text{mod } p) \ \text{or } x \equiv y \ (\text{mod } p) \\ \diamond \ x^2 \equiv y^2 \ (\text{mod } n) \\ pq \mid (x+y)(x-y) \ \text{implies the following 4 possibilities} \\ 1. pq \mid (x+y) \ \text{i.e. } x \equiv -y \ (\text{mod } n) \\ 2. pq \mid (x-y) \ \text{i.e. } x \equiv y \ (\text{mod } n) \end{array}$

Basic Factoring Principle (2/4) $\Rightarrow x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ implies $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{p}$ since $p \mid (x+y)(x-y)$ implies $p \mid (x+y)$ or $p \mid (x-y)$, i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{p}$ or $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$ $\Rightarrow x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ $pq \mid (x+y)(x-y)$ implies the following 4 possibilities 1. pq |(x+y)| i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{n}$ 2. pq | (x-y) i.e. $x \equiv y \pmod{n}$ 3. p | (x+y) and q | (x-y) i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{p}$ and $x \equiv y \pmod{q}$

Basic Factoring Principle (2/4) $\Rightarrow x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ implies $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{p}$ since $p \mid (x+y)(x-y)$ implies $p \mid (x+y)$ or $p \mid (x-y)$, i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{p}$ or $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$ $\Rightarrow x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ $pq \mid (x+y)(x-y)$ implies the following 4 possibilities 1. pq |(x+y)| i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{n}$ 2. pq | (x-y) i.e. $x \equiv y \pmod{n}$ 3. p | (x+y) and q | (x-y) i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{p}$ and $x \equiv y \pmod{q}$ 4. q | (x+y) and p | (x-y) i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{q}$ and $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$

Basic Factoring Principle (2/4) $\Rightarrow x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ implies $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{p}$ since $p \mid (x+y)(x-y)$ implies $p \mid (x+y)$ or $p \mid (x-y)$, i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{p}$ or $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$ $\Rightarrow x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ $pq \mid (x+y)(x-y)$ implies the following 4 possibilities 1. pq |(x+y)| i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{n}$ 2. pq | (x-y) i.e. $x \equiv y \pmod{n}$ 3. p | (x+y) and q | (x-y) i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{p}$ and $x \equiv y \pmod{q}$ 4. q (x+y) and p (x-y) i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{q}$ and $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$ * Case 1 and case 2 are useless for factorization

Basic Factoring Principle (2/4) $\Rightarrow x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ implies $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{p}$ since $p \mid (x+y)(x-y)$ implies $p \mid (x+y)$ or $p \mid (x-y)$, i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{p}$ or $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$ $\Rightarrow x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ $pq \mid (x+y)(x-y)$ implies the following 4 possibilities 1. pq |(x+y)| i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{n}$ 2. pq | (x-y) i.e. $x \equiv y \pmod{n}$ 3. p | (x+y) and q | (x-y) i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{p}$ and $x \equiv y \pmod{q}$ 4. q | (x+y) and p | (x-y) i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{q}$ and $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$ * Case 1 and case 2 are useless for factorization * Case 3 leads to the factorization of n, i.e. gcd(x+y, n) = p and gcd(x-y, n) = q

Basic Factoring Principle (2/4) $\Rightarrow x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ implies $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{p}$ since $p \mid (x+y)(x-y)$ implies $p \mid (x+y)$ or $p \mid (x-y)$, i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{p}$ or $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$ $\Rightarrow x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ $pq \mid (x+y)(x-y)$ implies the following 4 possibilities 1. pq |(x+y)| i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{n}$ 2. pq | (x-y) i.e. $x \equiv y \pmod{n}$ 3. p | (x+y) and q | (x-y) i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{p}$ and $x \equiv y \pmod{q}$ 4. q | (x+y) and p | (x-y) i.e. $x \equiv -y \pmod{q}$ and $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$ * Case 1 and case 2 are useless for factorization * Case 3 leads to the factorization of n, i.e. gcd(x+y, n) = p and gcd(x-y, n) = q* Case 4 leads to the factorization of n, i.e. gcd(x+y, n) = q and gcd(x-y, n) = p

♦ This principle is used in almost all factoring algorithms.

This principle is used in *almost all factoring algorithms*.
Why is it working?

- This principle is used in *almost all factoring algorithms*.
 Why is it working?
 - * take $n = p \cdot q$ (p and q are prime) for example

- This principle is used in *almost all factoring algorithms*.
 Why is it working?
 - * take $n = p \cdot q$ (p and q are prime) for example
 - * $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ implies $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ and $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{q}$

- This principle is used in *almost all factoring algorithms*.
 Why is it working?
 - * take $n = p \cdot q$ (p and q are prime) for example
 - * $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ implies $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ and $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{q}$
 - * we know ' $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{p}$ are the only solution to $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ ' and ' $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{q}$ are the only solution to $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{q}$ '

- This principle is used in *almost all factoring algorithms*.
 Why is it working?
 - * take $n = p \cdot q$ (p and q are prime) for example
 - * $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ implies $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ and $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{q}$
 - * we know ' $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{p}$ are the only solution to $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ ' and ' $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{q}$ are the only solution to $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{q}$ '
 - * therefore, from CRT we know $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ has four solutions,

- This principle is used in *almost all factoring algorithms*.
 Why is it working?
 - * take $n = p \cdot q$ (p and q are prime) for example

* $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ implies $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ and $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{q}$

- * we know ' $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{p}$ are the only solution to $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ ' and ' $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{q}$ are the only solution to $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{q}$ '
- ★ therefore, from CRT we know $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ has four solutions,
 ★ $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$ and $x \equiv y \pmod{q}$ ⇒ $x \equiv y \pmod{n}$

- This principle is used in *almost all factoring algorithms*.
 Why is it working?
 - * take $n = p \cdot q$ (p and q are prime) for example

* $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ implies $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ and $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{q}$

- * we know ' $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{p}$ are the only solution to $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ ' and ' $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{q}$ are the only solution to $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{q}$ '
- * therefore, from CRT we know $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ has four solutions,
 - $\Rightarrow x \equiv y \pmod{p} \text{ and } x \equiv y \pmod{q} \implies x \equiv y \pmod{n}$
 - $\Rightarrow x \equiv -y \pmod{p} \text{ and } x \equiv -y \pmod{q} \implies x \equiv -y \pmod{n}$



- This principle is used in *almost all factoring algorithms*.
 Why is it working?
 - * take $n = p \cdot q$ (p and q are prime) for example

* $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ implies $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ and $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{q}$

* we know ' $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{p}$ are the only solution to $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ ' and ' $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{q}$ are the only solution to $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{q}$ '

* therefore, from CRT we know $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ has four solutions,

- $\Rightarrow \qquad x \equiv y \pmod{n}$
- \Rightarrow $x \equiv -y \pmod{n}$

 \Rightarrow x = z (mod n)

 $\Rightarrow x \equiv y \pmod{p} \text{ and } x \equiv -y \pmod{q}$

 \Rightarrow x = -y (mod p) and x = -y (mod q)

 \Rightarrow x = y (mod p) and x = y (mod q)

- This principle is used in *almost all factoring algorithms*.
 Why is it working?
 - * take $n = p \cdot q$ (p and q are prime) for example

* $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ implies $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ and $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{q}$

* we know ' $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{p}$ are the only solution to $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ ' and ' $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{q}$ are the only solution to $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{q}$ '

* therefore, from CRT we know $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ has four solutions,

- $\Rightarrow \qquad x \equiv y \pmod{n}$
- $\implies \qquad x \equiv -y \pmod{n}$
- $\Rightarrow \qquad x \equiv z \pmod{n}$
- \Rightarrow x = -z (mod n)
- $\Rightarrow x \equiv y \pmod{p} \text{ and } x \equiv -y \pmod{q}$

 \Rightarrow x = -y (mod p) and x = -y (mod q)

 \Rightarrow x = y (mod p) and x = y (mod q)

 $\Rightarrow x \equiv -y \pmod{p} \text{ and } x \equiv y \pmod{q}$

- This principle is used in *almost all factoring algorithms*.
 Why is it working?
 - * take $n = p \cdot q$ (p and q are prime) for example

* $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ implies $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ and $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{q}$

- * we know ' $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{p}$ are the only solution to $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ ' and ' $x \equiv \pm y \pmod{q}$ are the only solution to $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{q}$ '
- * therefore, from CRT we know $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$ has four solutions,
 - $\Rightarrow x \equiv y \pmod{p} \text{ and } x \equiv y \pmod{q} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad x \equiv y \pmod{n}$
 - $\Rightarrow x \equiv -y \pmod{p} \text{ and } x \equiv -y \pmod{q} \implies x \equiv -y \pmod{n}$
 - $\Rightarrow x \equiv y \pmod{p} \text{ and } x \equiv -y \pmod{q} \implies x \equiv z \pmod{n}$
 - $\Rightarrow x \equiv -y \pmod{p} \text{ and } x \equiv y \pmod{q} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad x \equiv -z \pmod{n}$
- * as long as we have z (where $z \neq \pm y$), we can factor n into gcd(y-z, n) and gcd(y+z, n)

♦ Ex: Consider the roots of 4 (mod 35), i.e. solving x from $x^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{35}$

♦ Ex: Consider the roots of 4 (mod 35), i.e. solving x from x² ≡ 4 (mod 35)
★ try to take square root of both sides, we find x = ±2 or ±12

◆ Ex: Consider the roots of 4 (mod 35), i.e. solving x from x² ≡ 4 (mod 35)
* try to take square root of both sides, we find x = ±2 or ±12
* i.e. 12² ≡ 2² (mod 35), but 12 ≠ ±2 (mod 35)

♦ Ex: Consider the roots of 4 (mod 35), i.e. solving x from x² ≡ 4 (mod 35)
* try to take square root of both sides, we find x = ±2 or ±12
* i.e. 12² ≡ 2² (mod 35), but 12 ≠ ±2 (mod 35)
* therefore 35 is composite

♦ Ex: Consider the roots of 4 (mod 35), i.e. solving x from x² ≡ 4 (mod 35)
* try to take square root of both sides, we find x = ±2 or ±12
* i.e. 12² ≡ 2² (mod 35), but 12 ≠ ±2 (mod 35)
* therefore 35 is composite
* gcd(12-2, 35) = 5 is a nontrivial factor of 35

 \Rightarrow Ex: Consider the roots of 4 (mod 35), i.e. solving x from $x^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{35}$ * try to take square root of both sides, we find x = +2 or +12* i.e. $12^2 \equiv 2^2 \pmod{35}$, but $12 \neq \pm 2 \pmod{35}$ * therefore 35 is composite \star gcd(12-2, 35) = 5 is a nontrivial factor of 35 * gcd(12+2, 35) = 7 is a nontrivial factor of 35

Miller-Rabin Test Is *n* a composite number?

۲

Is *n* a composite number? \Rightarrow Let *n* > 1 be odd, write *n*-1 = 2^k · *m* with *m* being odd

- Is *n* a composite number? \Rightarrow Let *n* > 1 be odd, write *n*-1 = 2^k · *m* with *m* being odd
 - ♦ Choose a random integer *a* with 1 < a < n-1

Is *n* a composite number?

- ♦ Let n > 1 be odd, write $n-1 = 2^k \cdot m$ with *m* being odd
- ♦ Choose a random integer *a* with 1 < a < n-1
- ♦ Compute b₀ = $a^m \pmod{n}$ if b₀ = ±1 (mod n), stop, n is probably prime

Is *n* a composite number?

- ♦ Let n > 1 be odd, write $n-1 = 2^k \cdot m$ with *m* being odd
- ♦ Choose a random integer *a* with 1 < a < n-1
- ♦ Compute b₀ ≡ $a^m \pmod{n}$ if b₀ ≡ ±1 (mod n), stop, n is probably prime
- ♦ Compute b₁ = b₀² (mod n) if b₁ = 1 (mod n), stop, gcd(b₀-1, n) is a factor of n if b₁ = -1 (mod n), stop, n is probably prime

Is *n* a composite number?

- ♦ Let n > 1 be odd, write $n-1 = 2^k \cdot m$ with *m* being odd
- ♦ Choose a random integer *a* with 1 < a < n-1
- ♦ Compute $b_0 \equiv a^m \pmod{n}$ if $b_0 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$, stop, *n* is probably prime
- ♦ Compute b₁ ≡ b₀² (mod n) if b₁ ≡ 1 (mod n), stop, gcd(b₀-1, n) is a factor of n if b₁ ≡ -1 (mod n), stop, n is probably prime

$$\Rightarrow \text{ Compute } b_2 \equiv b_1^2 \pmod{n}$$

Is *n* a composite number?

- ♦ Let n > 1 be odd, write $n-1 = 2^k \cdot m$ with *m* being odd
- ♦ Choose a random integer *a* with 1 < a < n-1
- ♦ Compute b₀ ≡ $a^m \pmod{n}$ if b₀ ≡ ±1 (mod n), stop, n is probably prime
- ♦ Compute b₁ ≡ b₀² (mod n) if b₁ ≡ 1 (mod n), stop, gcd(b₀-1, n) is a factor of n if b₁ ≡ -1 (mod n), stop, n is probably prime
 ♦ Compute b = b² (mod n)
- $\Rightarrow \text{ Compute } \mathbf{b}_2 \equiv \mathbf{b}_1^2 \pmod{n}$

.

Is *n* a composite number?

- ♦ Let n > 1 be odd, write $n-1 = 2^k \cdot m$ with *m* being odd
- ♦ Choose a random integer *a* with 1 < a < n-1
- ♦ Compute b₀ ≡ $a^m \pmod{n}$ if b₀ ≡ ±1 (mod n), stop, n is probably prime
- ♦ Compute b₁ ≡ b₀² (mod n) if b₁ ≡ 1 (mod n), stop, gcd(b₀-1, n) is a factor of n if b₁ ≡ -1 (mod n), stop, n is probably prime
- $\Rightarrow \text{ Compute } b_2 \equiv b_1^2 \pmod{n}$

♦ Compute b_{k-1} ≡ b_{k-2}² (mod n) if b_{k-1} ≡ 1 (mod n), stop, gcd(b_{k-2}-1, n) is a factor of n if b_{k-1} ≡ -1 (mod n), stop, n is probably prime

Is *n* a composite number?

- ♦ Let n > 1 be odd, write $n-1 = 2^k \cdot m$ with *m* being odd
- ♦ Choose a random integer *a* with 1 < a < n-1
- ♦ Compute b₀ ≡ $a^m \pmod{n}$ if b₀ ≡ ±1 (mod n), stop, n is probably prime
- ♦ Compute b₁ ≡ b₀² (mod n) if b₁ ≡ 1 (mod n), stop, gcd(b₀-1, n) is a factor of n if b₁ ≡ -1 (mod n), stop, n is probably prime
- $\Rightarrow \text{ Compute } \mathbf{b}_2 \equiv \mathbf{b}_1^2 \pmod{n}$

Compute b_{k-1} ≡ b_{k-2}² (mod n) if b_{k-1} ≡ 1 (mod n), stop, gcd(b_{k-2}-1, n) is a factor of n if b_{k-1} ≡ -1 (mod n), stop, n is probably prime
Compute b_k ≡ b_{k-1}² (mod n) if b_k ≡ 1 (mod n), stop, gcd(b_{k-1}-1, n) is a factor of n otherwise n is composite (Fermat Little Thm, b_k ≡ aⁿ⁻¹ (mod n))

Is *n* a composite number?

- ♦ Let n > 1 be odd, write $n-1 = 2^k \cdot m$ with *m* being odd
- ♦ Choose a random integer *a* with 1 < a < n-1
- ♦ Compute b₀ ≡ $a^m \pmod{n}$ if b₀ ≡ ±1 (mod n), stop, n is probably prime <</p>
- ♦ Compute b₁ ≡ b₀² (mod n) if b₁ ≡ 1 (mod n), stop, gcd(b₀-1, n) is a factor of n if b₁ ≡ -1 (mod n), stop, n is probably prime

$$\diamond \quad \text{Compute } \mathbf{b}_2 \equiv \mathbf{b}_1^2 \pmod{n}$$

Compute b_{k-1} ≡ b_{k-2}² (mod n) if b_{k-1} ≡ 1 (mod n), stop, gcd(b_{k-2}-1, n) is a factor of n if b_{k-1} ≡ -1 (mod n), stop, n is probably prime
Compute b_k ≡ b_{k-1}² (mod n) if b_k ≡ 1 (mod n), stop, gcd(b_{k-1}-1, n) is a factor of n otherwise n is composite (Fermat Little Thm, b_k ≡ aⁿ⁻¹ (mod n))

n will pass Fermat test

with respect to base a

n is called pseudo prime
$n-1 = 2^k \cdot m$

 \bullet

 $n-1 \equiv 2^k \cdot m$ $b_0 \equiv a^m \pmod{n}$

 \bullet

 $n-1 \equiv 2^{k} \cdot m$ $b_{0} \equiv a^{m} \pmod{n}$ $b_{1} \equiv a^{2 \cdot m} \pmod{n}$

۲

 $n-1 = 2^{k} \cdot m$ $b_{0} \equiv a^{m} \pmod{n}$ $b_{1} \equiv a^{2 \cdot m} \pmod{n}$

 $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \mathbf{a}^{2^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{m}} \equiv \mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{1}} \pmod{\mathbf{n}}$

 $n-1 = 2^{k} \cdot m$ $b_{0} \equiv a^{m} \pmod{n}$ $b_{1} \equiv a^{2 \cdot m} \pmod{n}$...

 $b_k \equiv a^{2^{k} \cdot m} \equiv a^{n-1} \pmod{n}$ Consider 4 possible cases:

 $n-1 = 2^k \cdot m$ $b_0 \equiv a^m \pmod{n}$ $b_1 \equiv a^{2 \cdot m} \pmod{n}$ $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \mathbf{a}^{2^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{m}} \equiv \mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{n} - 1} \pmod{\mathbf{n}}$ Consider 4 possible cases: $\bigcirc b_0 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ all $b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, i=1,2,...kthere is no chance to use Basic Factoring Principle, abort

 $n-1 = 2^k \cdot m$ $b_0 \equiv a^m \pmod{n}$ $b_1 \equiv a^{2 \cdot m} \pmod{n}$ $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \mathbf{a}^{2^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{m}} \equiv \mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{n} - 1} \pmod{\mathbf{n}}$ Consider 4 possible cases: $\bigcirc b_0 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ all $b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, i=1,2,...kthere is no chance to use Basic Factoring Principle, abort \bigcirc \bigcirc is not true, $b_{i-1} \neq \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ and $b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}, i=1,2,...k$

Basic Factoring Principle applied, composite

 $n-1 = 2^{k} \cdot m$ $b_{0} \equiv a^{m} \pmod{n}$ $b_{1} \equiv a^{2 \cdot m} \pmod{n}$

 $b_{k} \equiv a^{2^{k} \cdot m} \equiv a^{n-1} \pmod{n}$ Consider 4 possible cases: (1) $b_{0} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ all $b_{i} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, i=1,2,...kthere is no chance to use Basic Factoring Principle, abort

2 ① is not true, $b_{i-1} \neq \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ and $b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, i=1,2,...kBasic Factoring Principle applied, **composite**

3 ① and ② are not true, $b_i \equiv -1 \pmod{n}, i=1,2,...k$ all subsequent $b_j \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, there is no chance to use Basic Factoring Principle, **abort**

 $n-1 = 2^{k} \cdot m$ $b_{0} \equiv a^{m} \pmod{n}$ $b_{1} \equiv a^{2 \cdot m} \pmod{n}$

 $b_{k} \equiv a^{2^{k} \cdot m} \equiv a^{n-1} \pmod{n}$ Consider 4 possible cases: $b_{0} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ all $b_{i} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, i=1,2,...kthere is no chance to use
Basic Factoring Principle, abort

2 ① is not true, $b_{i-1} \neq \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ and $b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, i=1,2,...kBasic Factoring Principle applied, composite

3 ① and ② are not true, $b_i \equiv -1 \pmod{n}, i=1,2,...k$ all subsequent $b_j \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, there is no chance to use Basic Factoring Principle, **abort**

(4) (1), (2), and (3) are not true, $b_k \equiv a^{n-1} \pmod{n}$

if $b_k \neq 1 \pmod{n}$ n is **composite** since if n is prime, $b_k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ ($b_k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ is covered by ②)

 Speed of light changes as it moves from one medium to another,

 Speed of light changes as it moves from one medium to another,

e.g., refraction caused by a prism

White Light

glass prism

 Speed of light changes as it moves from one medium to another,

e.g., refraction caused by a prism

White Light

glass prism

◆趣味競賽:兩人三腳,同心協力,...

 Speed of light changes as it moves from one medium to another,

e.g., refraction caused by a prism

White Light

glass prism

◆趣味競賽:兩人三腳,同心協力,...

 Squaring a number modulo a composite number (product of different prime numbers)

 Speed of light changes as it moves from one medium to another,

e.g., refraction caused by a prism

White Light

glass prism

- ◆趣味競賽:兩人三腳,同心協力,...
- Squaring a number modulo a composite number (product of different prime numbers)

	22	2 ³	24	2 ⁵	26	27	28
mod 11	4	8	5	10	9	7	3
mod 13	4	8	3	6	12	11	9

♦ When:

* explicitly: $b_{i-1} \neq \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ and $b_i \equiv b_{i-1}^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

♦ When:

* explicitly: $b_{i-1} \neq \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ and $b_i \equiv b_{i-1}^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

If n is not prime, sometimes $b_k \equiv a^{n-1} \pmod{n}$ but often $b_k \equiv a^{r\phi(n)} \pmod{n}$ as in universal exponent factoring

♦ When:

* explicitly: $b_{i-1} \neq \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ and $b_i \equiv b_{i-1}^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ If n is not prime, sometimes $b_k \equiv a^{n-1} \pmod{n}$ but often $b_k \equiv a^{r\phi(n)} \pmod{n}$ as in universal exponent factoring

♦ How:

* implicitly: let p | n and q | n (p, q be two factors of n) $b_{i-1}^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $b_{i-1}^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ but either $b_{i-1} \neq 1 \pmod{p}$ or $b_{i-1} \neq 1 \pmod{q}$

♦ When:

* explicitly: $b_{i-1} \neq \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ and $b_i \equiv b_{i-1}^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ If n is not prime, sometimes $b_k \equiv a^{n-1} \pmod{n}$ but often $b_k \equiv a^{r\phi(n)} \pmod{n}$ as in universal exponent factoring

♦ How:

* implicitly: let p | n and q | n (p, q be two factors of n) $b_{i-1}^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $b_{i-1}^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ but either $b_{i-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ or $b_{i-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$

* catching the moment that b_0, b_1, \dots behave differently while taking square in (mod p) component and (mod q) component

Miller-Rabin Test Example

♦ e.g. n = 561 n-1 = 560 = 16 · 35 = 2⁴ · 35

۲



* e.g.
$$n = 561$$

 $n-1 = 560 = 16 \cdot 35 = 2^4 \cdot 35$
let $a = 2$
 $b_0 \equiv 2^{35} \equiv 263 \pmod{561}$

 \bullet

* e.g.
$$n = 561$$

 $n-1 = 560 = 16 \cdot 35 = 2^4 \cdot 35$
let $a = 2$
 $b_0 \equiv 2^{35} \equiv 263 \pmod{561}$
 $b_1 \equiv b_0^2 \equiv 2^{2\cdot35} \equiv 166 \pmod{561}$

Ϊ

* e.g.
$$n = 561$$

 $n-1 = 560 = 16 \cdot 35 = 2^4 \cdot 35$
let $a = 2$
 $b_0 \equiv 2^{35} \equiv 263 \pmod{561}$
 $b_1 \equiv b_0^2 \equiv 2^{2\cdot35} \equiv 166 \pmod{561}$
 $b_2 \equiv b_1^2 \equiv 2^{22\cdot35} \equiv 67 \pmod{561}$

Miller-Rabin Test Example \diamond e.g. <u>n = 561</u> $n-1 = 560 = 16 \cdot 35 = 2^4 \cdot 35$ let a = 2 $b_0 \equiv 2^{35} \equiv 263 \pmod{561}$ $b_1 \equiv b_0^2 \equiv 2^{2 \cdot 35} \equiv 166 \pmod{561}$ $b_2 \equiv b_1^2 \equiv 2^{22 \cdot 35} \equiv 67 \pmod{561}$ $b_3 \equiv b_2^2 \equiv 2^{2_3 \cdot 35} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$ 561 is composite (3.11.17), $gcd(b_2-1, 561) = 33$ is a factor

Miller-Rabin Test Example \diamond e.g. <u>n = 561</u> $n-1 = 560 = 16 \cdot 35 = 2^4 \cdot 35$ 11 3 17 mod let a = 210 8 2 $b_0 \equiv 2^{35} \equiv 263 \pmod{561}$ 13 $b_1 \equiv b_0^2 \equiv 2^{2 \cdot 35} \equiv 166 \pmod{561}$ 16 $b_2 \equiv b_1^2 \equiv 2^{22 \cdot 35} \equiv 67 \pmod{561}$ 1 $b_3 \equiv b_2^2 \equiv 2^{23 \cdot 35} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$ 561 is composite (3.11.17), $gcd(b_2-1, 561) = 33$ is a factor $ord_{17}(2)=2^{-3}$

Miller-Rabin Test Example \diamond e.g. <u>n = 561</u> $n-1 = 560 = 16 \cdot 35 = 2^4 \cdot 35$ 11 3 17 mod let a = 210 8 2 $b_0 \equiv 2^{35} \equiv 263 \pmod{561}$ 13 $b_1 \equiv b_0^2 \equiv 2^{2 \cdot 35} \equiv 166 \pmod{561}$ 16 $b_2 \equiv b_1^2 \equiv 2^{2_2 \cdot 35} \equiv 67 \pmod{561}$ 1 $b_3 \equiv b_2^2 \equiv 2^{2_3 \cdot 35} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$ 561 is composite (3.11.17), $gcd(b_2-1, 561) = 33$ is a factor $ord_{17}(2) = 2^{3}$ Note: $3-1=2, 11-1=2\cdot 5, 17-1=2^4$

Miller-Rabin Test Example \diamond e.g. <u>n = 561</u> $n-1 = 560 = 16 \cdot 35 = 2^4 \cdot 35$ 11 mod 3 17 let a = 210 8 2 $b_0 \equiv 2^{35} \equiv 263 \pmod{561}$ 13 $b_1 \equiv b_0^2 \equiv 2^{2 \cdot 35} \equiv 166 \pmod{561}$ 16 $b_2 \equiv b_1^2 \equiv 2^{2_2 \cdot 35} \equiv 67 \pmod{561}$ 1 $b_3 \equiv b_2^2 \equiv 2^{2_3 \cdot 35} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$ 561 is composite (3.11.17), $gcd(b_2-1, 561) = 33$ is a factor $ord_{17}(2)=2^3$ Note: $3-1=2, 11-1=2\cdot 5, 17-1=2^4$ $\phi(561) = 561(1-1/3)(1-1/11)(1-1/17) = 2 \cdot 10 \cdot 16$

Miller-Rabin Test Example \diamond e.g. <u>n = 561</u> $n-1 = 560 = 16 \cdot 35 = 2^4 \cdot 35$ 11 3 17 mod let a = 210 8 2 $b_0 \equiv 2^{35} \equiv 263 \pmod{561}$ 13 $b_1 \equiv b_0^2 \equiv 2^{2 \cdot 35} \equiv 166 \pmod{561}$ 16 $b_2 \equiv b_1^2 \equiv 2^{2_2 \cdot 35} \equiv 67 \pmod{561}$ 1 $b_3 \equiv b_2^2 \equiv 2^{2_3 \cdot 35} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$ 561 is composite (3.11.17), $gcd(b_2-1, 561) = 33$ is a factor $ord_{17}(2)=2^3$ Note: $3-1=2, 11-1=2\cdot 5, 17-1=2^4$ $\phi(561) = 561(1-1/3)(1-1/11)(1-1/17) = 2 \cdot 10 \cdot 16$ $gcd(\phi(561), n-1)=80$, $ord_{561}(2) \mid 80$ in this case

Miller-Rabin Test Example A Carmichael number: pass the Fermat test for all bases \diamond e.g. n = 561 $n-1 = 560 = 16 \cdot 35 = 2^4 \cdot 35$ mod 3 11 17 let a = 28 10 2 $b_0 \equiv 2^{35} \equiv 263 \pmod{561}$ 13 $b_1 \equiv b_0^2 \equiv 2^{2 \cdot 35} \equiv 166 \pmod{561}$ 16 $b_2 \equiv b_1^2 \equiv 2^{2_2 \cdot 35} \equiv 67 \pmod{561}$ 1 $b_3 \equiv b_2^2 \equiv 2^{2_3 \cdot 35} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$ 561 is composite (3.11.17), $gcd(b_2-1, 561) = 33$ is a factor $ord_{17}(2)=2^{3}$ Note: $3-1=2, 11-1=2\cdot 5, 17-1=2^4$ $\phi(561) = 561(1-1/3)(1-1/11)(1-1/17) = 2 \cdot 10 \cdot 16$ $gcd(\phi(561), n-1)=80$, $ord_{561}(2) \mid 80$ in this case

Pseudo Prime and Strong Pseudo Prime

♦ If *n* is not a prime but satisfies $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ we say that *n* is a pseudo prime number for base *a*.

Pseudo Prime and Strong Pseudo Prime ♦ If *n* is not a prime but satisfies *aⁿ⁻¹* ≡ 1 (mod *n*) we say that *n* is a pseudo prime number for base *a*. * e.g. 2⁵⁶⁰ ≡ 1 (mod 561)

Pseudo Prime and Strong Pseudo Prime \Rightarrow If *n* is not a prime but satisfies $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ we say that *n* is a pseudo prime number for base *a*. * e.g. $2^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$ \Rightarrow If *n* is not a prime but passes the Miller-Rabin test with base *a* (without being identified as a composite), we say that *n* is a strong pseudo prime number for base *a*.

Pseudo Prime and Strong Pseudo Prime \diamond If *n* is not a prime but satisfies $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ we say that *n* is a pseudo prime number for base *a*.

* e.g. $2^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$

♦ If *n* is not a prime but passes the Miller-Rabin test with base *a* (without being identified as a composite), we say that *n* is a strong pseudo prime number for base *a*.

Up to 10¹⁰, there are 455052511 primes, there are 14884 pseudo prime numbers for the base 2, and 3291 strong pseudo prime numbers for the base 2



Composite Witness

♦ Note that the M-R test and probably together with the Lucas test leave the strong pseudo prime number *an extremely small set*.

Composite Witness

- ♦ Note that the M-R test and probably together with the Lucas test leave the strong pseudo prime number an extremely small set.
- In other words, these tests are very close to a *real 'primality test'* separating prime numbers and composite numbers.
- ♦ Note that the M-R test and probably together with the Lucas test leave the strong pseudo prime number an extremely small set.
- In other words, these tests are very close to a *real 'primality test'* separating prime numbers and composite numbers.
- ♦ If you have an RSA modulus n=p·q, you certainly can test it and find out that it is actually a composite number.

- ♦ Note that the M-R test and probably together with the Lucas test leave the strong pseudo prime number an extremely small set.
- In other words, these tests are very close to a *real 'primality test'* separating prime numbers and composite numbers.
- ♦ If you have an RSA modulus n=p·q, you certainly can test it and find out that it is actually a composite number.
- However, these tests do not necessarily give you the factors of n in order to tell you that n is a composite number. The factors of n, i.e. p or q, are certainly a kind of witness about the fact that n is composite.

- ♦ Note that the M-R test and probably together with the Lucas test leave the strong pseudo prime number an extremely small set.
- In other words, these tests are very close to a *real 'primality test'* separating prime numbers and composite numbers.
- ♦ If you have an RSA modulus n=p·q, you certainly can test it and find out that it is actually a composite number.
- However, these tests do not necessarily give you the factors of n in order to tell you that n is a composite number. The factors of n, i.e. p or q, are certainly a kind of witness about the fact that n is composite.
- ↔ However, there are other kind of witness that n is composite, e.g., "2ⁿ⁻¹ (mod n) does not equal to 1" is also a witness that n is composite.

- ♦ Note that the M-R test and probably together with the Lucas test leave the strong pseudo prime number an extremely small set.
- In other words, these tests are very close to a *real 'primality test'* separating prime numbers and composite numbers.
- ♦ If you have an RSA modulus n=p·q, you certainly can test it and find out that it is actually a composite number.
- However, these tests do not necessarily give you the factors of n in order to tell you that n is a composite number. The factors of n, i.e. p or q, are certainly a kind of witness about the fact that n is composite.
- However, there are other kind of witness that n is composite, e.g., "2ⁿ⁻¹ (mod n) does not equal to 1" is also a witness that n is composite.
- ♦ A composite number will be factored out by the M-R test only if it is a pseudo prime but it is not a strong pseudo prime number.

•

\Rightarrow primetest(n)

۲

* Miller-Rabin test for 30 randomly chosen base a

\Rightarrow primetest(n)

۲

- * Miller-Rabin test for 30 randomly chosen base a
- * output 0 if n is composite

\Rightarrow primetest(n)

- * Miller-Rabin test for 30 randomly chosen base a
- * output 0 if n is composite
- * output 1 if n is prime

\diamond primetest(n)

- * Miller-Rabin test for 30 randomly chosen base a
- * output 0 if n is composite
- * output 1 if n is prime
- * Matlab program can not be used for large n

\diamond primetest(n)

- * Miller-Rabin test for 30 randomly chosen base a
- * output 0 if n is composite
- * output 1 if n is prime
- * Matlab program can not be used for large n
- * use Maple isprime(n), one strong pseudo-primality test and one Lucas test

\diamond primetest(n)

- * Miller-Rabin test for 30 randomly chosen base a
- * output 0 if n is composite
- * output 1 if n is prime
- * Matlab program can not be used for large n
- * use Maple isprime(n), one strong pseudo-primality test and one Lucas test

\diamond primetest(n)

- * Miller-Rabin test for 30 randomly chosen base a
- * output 0 if n is composite
- * output 1 if n is prime
- * Matlab program can not be used for large n
- * use Maple isprime(n), one strong pseudo-primality test and one Lucas test
- \diamond primetest(2563)

ans=0

 $\Rightarrow factor(2563)$ ans = 11 233

♦ What is the probability that Miller-Rabin test fails???

۲

- ♦ What is the probability that Miller-Rabin test fails???
 - * If n is a prime number, it will not be recognized as a composite number

♦ What is the probability that Miller-Rabin test fails???

- * If n is a prime number, it will not be recognized as a composite number
- * If $n = p \cdot q$, but

♦ What is the probability that Miller-Rabin test fails???

- * If n is a prime number, it will not be recognized as a composite number
- * If $n = p \cdot q$, but $b_k \quad a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ meets Fermat test (pseudo prime number)

♦ What is the probability that Miller-Rabin test fails???

- * If n is a prime number, it will not be recognized as a composite number
- * If $n = p \cdot q$, but

 $b_k a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ meets Fermat test (pseudo prime number)

 $0 \le i \le k \ b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and $b_{i-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$

♦ What is the probability that Miller-Rabin test fails???

- * If n is a prime number, it will not be recognized as a composite number
- * If $n = p \cdot q$, but

 $b_k a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ meets Fermat test (pseudo prime number)

 $0 \le i \le k \ b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and $b_{i-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$

meets Miller-Rabin test (strong pseudo prime number)

♦ What is the probability that Miller-Rabin test fails???

- * If n is a prime number, it will not be recognized as a composite number
- * If $n = p \cdot q$, but

 $b_k a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ meets Fermat test (pseudo prime number)

 $0 \le i \le k \ b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and $b_{i-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$

meets Miller-Rabin test (strong pseudo prime number) or $b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$

♦ What is the probability that Miller-Rabin test fails???

- * If n is a prime number, it will not be recognized as a composite number
- * If $n = p \cdot q$, but

 $b_k a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ meets Fermat test (pseudo prime number)

 $0 \le i \le k \ b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and $b_{i-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$

meets Miller-Rabin test (strong pseudo prime number) or $b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $b_{i-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{n} \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$

♦ What is the probability that Miller-Rabin test fails???

- * If n is a prime number, it will not be recognized as a composite number
- * If $n = p \cdot q$, but

 $b_k a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ meets Fermat test (pseudo prime number)

 $0 \le i \le k \ b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and $b_{i-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$

meets Miller-Rabin test (strong pseudo prime number) or $b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $b_{i-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{n} \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$

* Note: $a^{pq-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

♦ What is the probability that Miller-Rabin test fails???

- * If n is a prime number, it will not be recognized as a composite number
- * If $n = p \cdot q$, but

 $b_k a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ meets Fermat test (pseudo prime number)

 $0 \le i \le k \ b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and $b_{i-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$

meets Miller-Rabin test (strong pseudo prime number) or $b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $b_{i-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{n} \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$

* Note: $a^{pq-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ $a^{(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

♦ What is the probability that Miller-Rabin test fails???

- * If n is a prime number, it will not be recognized as a composite number
- * If $n = p \cdot q$, but

 $b_k a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ meets Fermat test (pseudo prime number)

 $0 \le i \le k \ b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and $b_{i-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$

meets Miller-Rabin test (strong pseudo prime number) or $b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ $b_{i-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{n} \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$

* Note: $a^{pq-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ $a^{(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ $a^{lcm(p-1, q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

Primality testing is *different* from factoring

- ♦ Primality testing is *different* from factoring
 - * Kind of interesting that we can tell something is composite without being able to actually factor it

♦ Primality testing is *different* from factoring

- * Kind of interesting that we can tell something is composite without being able to actually factor it
- Recent result (2002) from IIT trio (Agrawal, Kayal, and Saxena)

- ♦ Primality testing is *different* from factoring
 - * Kind of interesting that we can tell something is composite without being able to actually factor it
- Recent result (2002) from IIT trio (Agrawal, Kayal, and Saxena)
 - Recently it was shown that deterministic primality testing could be done in polynomial time

- ♦ Primality testing is *different* from factoring
 - * Kind of interesting that we can tell something is composite without being able to actually factor it
- Recent result (2002) from IIT trio (Agrawal, Kayal, and Saxena)
 - * Recently it was shown that deterministic primality testing could be done in polynomial time
 - \Rightarrow Complexity was like O(n¹²), though it's been slightly reduced since then

- ♦ Primality testing is *different* from factoring
 - * Kind of interesting that we can tell something is composite without being able to actually factor it
- Recent result (2002) from IIT trio (Agrawal, Kayal, and Saxena)
 - * Recently it was shown that deterministic primality testing could be done in polynomial time
 - \Rightarrow Complexity was like O(n¹²), though it's been slightly reduced since then
 - * Does this meant that RSA was broken?

- ♦ Primality testing is *different* from factoring
 - * Kind of interesting that we can tell something is composite without being able to actually factor it
- Recent result (2002) from IIT trio (Agrawal, Kayal, and Saxena)
 - * Recently it was shown that deterministic primality testing could be done in polynomial time
 - \Rightarrow Complexity was like O(n¹²), though it's been slightly reduced since then
 - * Does this meant that RSA was broken?
- Randomized algorithms like Rabin-Miller are far more efficient than the IIT algorithm, so we'll keep using those

Find a prime of around 100 digits for cryptographic usage

- Find a prime of around 100 digits for cryptographic usage
- Prime number theorem (π(x) ≈ x/ln(x)) asserts that the density of primes around x is approximately 1/ln(x)

- Find a prime of around 100 digits for cryptographic usage
- Prime number theorem (π(x) ≈ x/ln(x)) asserts that the density of primes around x is approximately 1/ln(x)
- $\Rightarrow x = 10^{100}, 1/\ln(10^{100}) = 1/230$
 - if we skip even numbers, the density is about 1/115

- Find a prime of around 100 digits for cryptographic usage
- ♦ Prime number theorem (π(x) ≈ x/ln(x)) asserts that the density of primes around x is approximately 1/ln(x)
- $\Rightarrow x = 10^{100}, 1/\ln(10^{100}) = 1/230$
 - if we skip even numbers, the density is about 1/115
- pick a random starting point, throw out multiples of 2,
 3, 5, 7, and use Miller-Rabin test to eliminate most of the composites.

- Find a prime of around 100 digits for cryptographic usage
- ♦ Prime number theorem (π(x) ≈ x/ln(x)) asserts that the density of primes around x is approximately 1/ln(x)
- $x = 10^{100}, 1/\ln(10^{100}) = 1/230$
 - if we skip even numbers, the density is about 1/115
- pick a random starting point, throw out multiples of 2,
 3, 5, 7, and use Miller-Rabin test to eliminate most of the composites.

Factoring

۲

♦ General number field sieve (GNFS): fastest $e^{(1.923+O(1))(\ln(n))^{1/3} (\ln(\ln(n)))^{2/3}}$
General number field sieve (GNFS): fastest
 _e(1.923+O(1))(ln(n))^{1/3} (ln(ln(n)))^{2/3}
 Quadratic sieve (QS)

♦ General number field sieve (GNFS): fastest
 _e<sup>(1.923+O(1))(ln(n))^{1/3} (ln(ln(n)))^{2/3}
 ♦ Quadratic sieve (QS)
 ♦ Elliptic curve method (ECM), Lenstra (1985)
</sup>

♦ General number field sieve (GNFS): fastest (1.923+O(1))(ln(n))^{1/3} (ln(ln(n)))^{2/3}
♦ Quadratic sieve (QS)
♦ Elliptic curve method (ECM), Lenstra (1985)
♦ Pollard's Monte Carlo algorithm

General number field sieve (GNFS): fastest (1.923+O(1))(ln(n))^{1/3} (ln(ln(n)))^{2/3}
Quadratic sieve (QS)
Elliptic curve method (ECM), Lenstra (1985)
Pollard's Monte Carlo algorithm
Continued fraction algorithm

General number field sieve (GNFS): fastest (1.923+O(1))(ln(n))^{1/3} (ln(ln(n)))^{2/3}
Quadratic sieve (QS)
Elliptic curve method (ECM), Lenstra (1985)
Pollard's Monte Carlo algorithm
Continued fraction algorithm
Trial division, Fermat factorization

♦ General number field sieve (GNFS): fastest $e^{(1.923+O(1))(\ln(n))^{1/3}(\ln(\ln(n)))^{2/3}}$ \diamond Quadratic sieve (QS) Pollard's Monte Carlo algorithm
 Continued fraction algorithm
 Trial division, Fermat factorization
 \diamond Pollard's p-1 factoring (1974), Williams's p+1 factoring (1982)

♦ General number field sieve (GNFS): fastest $e^{(1.923+O(1))(\ln(n))^{1/3}(\ln(\ln(n)))^{2/3}}$ \diamond Quadratic sieve (QS) ♦ Elliptic curve method (ECM), Lenstra (1985) Continued fraction algorithm
 Trial division, Fermat factorization
 \diamond Pollard's p-1 factoring (1974), Williams's p+1 factoring (1982) ♦ Universal exponent factorization, exponent factorization

♦ Trial division:

۲

♦ Trial division:

* dividing an integer n by all primes $p \le \sqrt{n}$... too slow

♦ Trial division:

* dividing an integer n by all primes $p \le \sqrt{n}$... too slow \diamond Fermat factorization:

♦ Trial division:

* dividing an integer n by all primes $p \leq \sqrt{n}$... too slow

♦ Fermat factorization:

★ e.g. n = 295927 calculate n+1², n+2², n+3²... until finding a square, i.e. x² = n + y², therefore, n = (x+y) (x-y) ... if n = p·q, it takes on average |p-q|/2 steps ... too slow

♦ Trial division:

* dividing an integer n by all primes $p \leq \sqrt{n}$... too slow

♦ Fermat factorization:

★ e.g. n = 295927 calculate n+1², n+2², n+3²... until finding a square, i.e. x² = n + y², therefore, n = (x+y) (x-y) ... if n = p·q, it takes on average |p-q|/2 steps ... too slow

assume p>q, n+y² =p·q+((p-q)/2)²=(p²+2pq+q²)/4=((p+q)/2)² * in RSA or Rabin, avoid p, q with the same bit length

♦ Trial division:

- * dividing an integer n by all primes $p \leq \sqrt{n}$... too slow
- ♦ Fermat factorization:
 - ★ e.g. n = 295927 calculate n+1², n+2², n+3²... until finding a square, i.e. x² = n + y², therefore, n = (x+y) (x-y) ... if n = p·q, it takes on average |p-q|/2 steps ... too slow

assume p>q, n+y² =p·q+((p-q)/2)²=(p²+2pq+q²)/4=((p+q)/2)² * in RSA or Rabin, avoid p, q with the same bit length

By-product of Miller-Rabin primality test:

♦ Trial division:

* dividing an integer n by all primes $p \leq \sqrt{n}$... too slow

♦ Fermat factorization:

★ e.g. n = 295927 calculate n+1², n+2², n+3²... until finding a square, i.e. x² = n + y², therefore, n = (x+y) (x-y) ... if n = p·q, it takes on average |p-q|/2 steps ... too slow

assume p>q, $n+y^2 = p \cdot q + ((p-q)/2)^2 = (p^2 + 2pq+q^2)/4 = ((p+q)/2)^2$ * in RSA or Rabin, avoid p, q with the same bit length

 By-product of Miller-Rabin primality test:
 * if n is a pseudoprime and not a strong pseudoprime, Miller-Rabin test can factor it. about 10⁻⁶ chance

* if we have an exponent r, s.t. $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all $a \gcd(a,n) = 1$

* if we have an exponent r, s.t. a^r ≡1 (mod n) for all a gcd(a,n)=1
* write r = 2^k · m with m odd

* if we have an exponent *r*, s.t. $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all $a \gcd(a,n)=1$ * write $r = 2^k \cdot m$ with *m* odd \leftarrow r must be even since we a

r must be even since we can take $a=-1 \ (-1)^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ requires r being even

- * if we have an exponent *r*, s.t. $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all $a \gcd(a,n)=1$ * write $r = 2^k \cdot m$ with *m* odd \leftarrow r must be even since we a

* choose a random *a*, $1 \le a \le n-1$

r must be even since we can take $a=-1 \ (-1)^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ requires r being even

- * if we have an exponent r, s.t. $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all $a \gcd(a,n) = 1$
- * write $r = 2^k \cdot m$ with m odd \leftarrow

* choose a random *a*, $1 \le a \le n-1 \le a \le n-1 = n-1 \le n-1 = n-1 \le n-1 = n-1 =$

r must be even since we can take $a=-1 \ (-1)^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ requires *r* being even

 $a\equiv\pm 1$ do not work

- * if we have an exponent r, s.t. $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all $a \gcd(\overline{a,n}) \equiv 1$
- * write $r = 2^k \cdot m$ with m odd \leftarrow
- * choose a random *a*, $1 \le a \le n-1 \le a \le n-1 = n-1 \le n-1 = n-1 =$
- * if $gcd(a, n) \neq 1$, we have a factor

r must be even since we can take $a=-1 \ (-1)^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ requires *r* being even

 $a \equiv \pm 1$ do not work

- * if we have an exponent r, s.t. $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all $a \gcd(\overline{a,n}) \equiv 1$
- * write $r = 2^k \cdot m$ with m odd \leftarrow
- * choose a random *a*, $1 \le a \le n-1 \le a \le n-1 = n-1 \le n-1 = n-1 \le n-1 = n-1 =$
- * if $gcd(a, n) \neq 1$, we have a factor
- * else

r must be even since we can take $a=-1 \ (-1)^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ requires *r* being even

 $a \equiv \pm 1$ do not work

- * if we have an exponent r, s.t. $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all $a \gcd(a,n) = 1$
- * write $r = 2^k \cdot m$ with m odd \leftarrow
- * choose a random *a*, $1 \le a \le n-1 \longleftarrow$
- * if $gcd(a, n) \neq 1$, we have a factor
- * else

 \Rightarrow let b₀ ≡ $a^m \pmod{n}$, if $b_0 \equiv \pm 1$ stop, choose another a

r must be even since we can take $a=-1 \ (-1)^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ requires *r* being even

 $a \equiv \pm 1$ do not work

41

- * if we have an exponent r, s.t. $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all $a \gcd(\overline{a,n}) \equiv 1$
- * write $r = 2^k \cdot m$ with m odd \leftarrow
- * choose a random *a*, $1 \le a \le n-1 \longleftarrow$
- * if $gcd(a, n) \neq 1$, we have a factor
- * else

★ let b₀ ≡ a^m (mod n), if b₀ ≡±1 stop, choose another a
★ compute b_{u+1} ≡ b_u² (mod n) for 0≤ u ≤k-1,

r must be even since we can take $a=-1 \ (-1)^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ requires *r* being even

a≡±1 do not work

- * if we have an exponent r, s.t. $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all $a \gcd(a,n) \equiv 1$
- * write $r = 2^k \cdot m$ with m odd \leftarrow
- * choose a random *a*, $1 \le a \le n-1 \longleftarrow$
- * if $gcd(a, n) \neq 1$, we have a factor
- * else

r must be even since we can take $a=-1 \ (-1)^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ requires *r* being even

 $a \equiv \pm 1$ do not work

 \Rightarrow let b₀ ≡ $a^m \pmod{n}$, if $b_0 \equiv \pm 1$ stop, choose another a

- \Leftrightarrow compute $b_{u+1} \equiv b_u^2 \pmod{n}$ for $0 \le u \le k-1$,
- * if $b_{u+1} \equiv -1$, stop, choose another *a*

- * if we have an exponent r, s.t. $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all $a \gcd(a,n) \equiv 1$
- * write $r = 2^k \cdot m$ with m odd \leftarrow
- * choose a random *a*, $1 \le a \le n-1 \longleftarrow$
- * if $gcd(a, n) \neq 1$, we have a factor
- * else

r must be even since we can take $a=-1 \ (-1)^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ requires *r* being even

 $a=\pm 1$ do not work

- \Rightarrow let $\overline{b}_0 \equiv a^m \pmod{n}$, if $b_0 \equiv \pm 1$ stop, choose another a
- \Leftrightarrow compute $b_{u+1} \equiv b_u^2 \pmod{n}$ for $0 \le u \le k-1$,
- \Rightarrow if $b_{u+1} \equiv -1$, stop, choose another *a*
- \Rightarrow if $b_{u+1} \equiv 1$ then gcd(b_u -1, *n*) is a factor (basic factoring principle)

- * if we have an exponent r, s.t. $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all $a \gcd(a,n) \equiv 1$
- * write $r = 2^k \cdot m$ with m odd \leftarrow
- * choose a random *a*, $1 \le a \le n-1 \longleftarrow$
- * if $gcd(a, n) \neq 1$, we have a factor
- * else

r must be even since we can take $a=-1 \ (-1)^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ requires *r* being even

 $a=\pm 1$ do not work

- \Rightarrow let b₀ ≡ $a^m \pmod{n}$, if $b_0 \equiv \pm 1$ stop, choose another a
- \Leftrightarrow compute $b_{u+1} \equiv b_u^2 \pmod{n}$ for $0 \le u \le k-1$,
- \Rightarrow if $b_{u+1} \equiv -1$, stop, choose another *a*
- \Rightarrow if $b_{u+1} \equiv 1$ then gcd(b_u -1, *n*) is a factor (basic factoring principle)
- * Question: How do we find a universal exponent r ??? Hard

- * if we have an exponent r, s.t. $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all $a \gcd(a,n) \equiv 1$
- * write $r = 2^k \cdot m$ with m odd \leftarrow
- * choose a random *a*, $1 \le a \le n-1 \longleftarrow$
- * if $gcd(a, n) \neq 1$, we have a factor
- * else

r must be even since we can take $a=-1 \ (-1)^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ requires *r* being even

 $a=\pm 1$ do not work

 \Rightarrow let b₀ ≡ $a^m \pmod{n}$, if $b_0 \equiv \pm 1$ stop, choose another a

- \Leftrightarrow compute $b_{u+1} \equiv b_u^2 \pmod{n}$ for $0 \le u \le k-1$,
- * if $b_{u+1} \equiv -1$, stop, choose another *a*

 \Rightarrow if $b_{u+1} \equiv 1$ then gcd(b_u -1, *n*) is a factor (basic factoring principle)

- * Question: How do we find a universal exponent r ??? Hard
- * Note: if know $\phi(n)$, then any $r = k \phi(n)$ will do, however, knowing factors of n is a prerequisite of know $\phi(n)$

- * if we have an exponent r, s.t. $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all $a \gcd(a,n) \equiv 1$
- * write $r = 2^k \cdot m$ with m odd \leftarrow
- * choose a random *a*, $1 \le a \le n-1 \longleftarrow$
- * if $gcd(a, n) \neq 1$, we have a factor
- * else

r must be even since we can take $a=-1 \ (-1)^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ requires *r* being even

 $a=\pm 1$ do not work

 \Rightarrow let b₀ ≡ $a^m \pmod{n}$, if $b_0 \equiv \pm 1$ stop, choose another a

- \Leftrightarrow compute $b_{u+1} \equiv b_u^2 \pmod{n}$ for $0 \le u \le k-1$,
- * if $b_{u+1} \equiv -1$, stop, choose another *a*
- \Rightarrow if $b_{u+1} \equiv 1$ then gcd(b_u -1, *n*) is a factor (basic factoring principle)
- * Question: How do we find a universal exponent r ??? Hard
- * Note: if know $\phi(n)$, then any $r = k \phi(n)$ will do, however, knowing factors of n is a prerequisite of know $\phi(n)$
- * Note: For RSA, if the private exponent d is recovered, then

- * if we have an exponent r, s.t. $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all $a \gcd(a,n) = 1$
- * write $r = 2^k \cdot m$ with m odd \leftarrow
- * choose a random *a*, $1 \le a \le n-1 \longleftarrow$
- * if $gcd(a, n) \neq 1$, we have a factor
- * else

r must be even since we can take $a=-1 \ (-1)^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ requires *r* being even

 $a=\pm 1$ do not work

 \Rightarrow let b₀ ≡ $a^m \pmod{n}$, if $b_0 \equiv \pm 1$ stop, choose another a

- \Leftrightarrow compute $b_{u+1} \equiv b_u^2 \pmod{n}$ for $0 \le u \le k-1$,
- \Rightarrow if b_{u+1} = -1, stop, choose another *a*
- \Rightarrow if $b_{u+1} \equiv 1$ then gcd(b_u -1, *n*) is a factor (basic factoring principle)
- * Question: How do we find a universal exponent r ??? Hard
- * Note: if know $\phi(n)$, then any $r = k \phi(n)$ will do, however, knowing factors of n is a prerequisite of know $\phi(n)$
- * Note: For RSA, if the private exponent *d* is recovered, then $\phi(n) \mid d \cdot e - 1, d \cdot e - 1$ is a universal exponent

n=211463707796206571; e=9007; d=116402471153538991

 \diamond E.g.

n=211463707796206571; e=9007; d=116402471153538991 r=e*d-1=1048437057679925691936; powermod(2,r,n)=1

 \diamond E.g.

n=211463707796206571; e=9007; d=116402471153538991 r=e*d-1=1048437057679925691936; powermod(2,r,n)=1 let r=2⁵*r1; r1=32763658052497677873

 \diamond E.g.

\diamond E.g.

n=211463707796206571; e=9007; d=116402471153538991r=e*d-1=1048437057679925691936; powermod(2,r,n)=1 let r=2⁵*r1; r1=32763658052497677873 powermod(2,r1,n)=187568564780117371 1

 \diamond E.g.

n=211463707796206571; e=9007; d=116402471153538991r=e*d-1=1048437057679925691936; powermod(2,r,n)=1 let r=2⁵*r1; r1=32763658052497677873 powermod(2,r1,n)=187568564780117371 1 powermod(2,2*r1,n)=113493629663725812 1

 \diamond E.g.

n=211463707796206571; e=9007; d=116402471153538991r=e*d-1=1048437057679925691936; powermod(2,r,n)=1 let r=2⁵*r1; r1=32763658052497677873 powermod(2,r1,n)=187568564780117371 1 powermod(2,2*r1,n)=113493629663725812 1 powermod(2,4*r1,n)=1 => gcd(2*r1-1,n)=885320963 is a factor

 \diamond E.g.

 $\begin{array}{rl} n=211463707796206571; \ e=9007; \ d=116402471153538991 \\ r=e^*d-1=1048437057679925691936; \ powermod(2,r,n)=1 \\ let \ r=2^{5*}r1; \ r1=32763658052497677873 \\ powermod(2,r1,n)=187568564780117371 1 \\ powermod(2,2*r1,n)=113493629663725812 1 \\ powermod(2,4*r1,n)=1 => \ gcd(2*r1-1,n)=885320963 \ is a \ factor \\ \diamond \ Note: \ n=211463707796206571=238855417 \cdot 885320963 \end{array}$
\diamond E.g.

n=211463707796206571; e=9007; d=116402471153538991 $r=e^{*}d-1=1048437057679925691936; powermod(2,r,n)=1$ $let r=2^{5*}r1; r1=32763658052497677873$ powermod(2,r1,n)=187568564780117371 1 $powermod(2,2^{*}r1,n)=113493629663725812 1$ $powermod(2,4^{*}r1,n)=1 \implies gcd(2^{*}r1-1,n)=885320963 \text{ is a factor}$ $\Rightarrow Note: n = 211463707796206571 = 238855417 \cdot 885320963$ $238855417 - 1 = 2^{3} 3 73 136333 = 2^{k_{1}} \cdot p_{1}$

 \diamond E.g. n=211463707796206571; e=9007; d=116402471153538991 r=e*d-1=1048437057679925691936; powermod(2,r,n)=1 let r=2⁵*r1; r1=32763658052497677873 powermod(2,r1,n)=187568564780117371 powermod(2,2*r1,n)=113493629663725812 $powermod(2,4*r1,n)=1 \implies gcd(2*r1-1,n)=885320963$ is a factor \Rightarrow Note: n = 211463707796206571 = 238855417 \cdot 885320963 $238855417 - 1 = 2^3 \qquad 3 \qquad 73 \qquad 136333 = 2^{k_1} \cdot p_1$ $885320963 - 1 = 2 \qquad 2069 \qquad 213949 = 2^{k_2} \cdot q_1$

 \diamond E.g. n=211463707796206571; e=9007; d=116402471153538991 r=e*d-1=1048437057679925691936; powermod(2,r,n)=1 let r=2⁵*r1; r1=32763658052497677873 powermod(2,r1,n)=187568564780117371 1 powermod(2,2*r1,n)=113493629663725812 $powermod(2,4*r1,n)=1 \implies gcd(2*r1-1,n)=885320963$ is a factor \Rightarrow Note: n = 211463707796206571 = 238855417 \cdot 885320963 $238855417 - 1 = 2^3$ 3 73 $136333 = 2^{k_1} \cdot p_1$ 885320963 - 1 = 2 2069 $213949 = 2^{k_2} \cdot q_1$ This method works only when k_1 does not equal k_2 .

 \diamond E.g. n=211463707796206571; e=9007; d=116402471153538991 r=e*d-1=1048437057679925691936; powermod(2,r,n)=1 let r=2⁵*r1; r1=32763658052497677873 powermod(2,r1,n)=187568564780117371 1 powermod(2,2*r1,n)=113493629663725812 $powermod(2,4*r1,n)=1 \implies gcd(2*r1-1,n)=885320963$ is a factor \Rightarrow Note: n = 211463707796206571 = 238855417 \cdot 885320963 $238855417 - 1 = 2^3$ 3 73 $136333 = 2^{k_1} \cdot p_1$ 885320963 - 1 = 2 2069 $213949 = 2^{k_2} \cdot q_1$ This method works only when k_1 does not equal k_2 . \Rightarrow Exponent factorization even if *r* is valid for one *a*, you can still try the above procedure

♦ If one of the prime factors of *n* has a special property, it is sometimes easier to factor *n*.

 \diamond If one of the prime factors of *n* has a special property, it is sometimes easier to factor *n*.

* e.g. if p-1 has only small prime factors

 \diamond If one of the prime factors of *n* has a special property, it is sometimes easier to factor *n*.

- * e.g. if p-1 has only small prime factors
- * Pollard 1974

♦ If one of the prime factors of *n* has a special property, it is sometimes easier to factor *n*.

- * e.g. if p-1 has only small prime factors
- * Pollard 1974
- ♦ Algorithm

* Choose an integer a > 1 (often a = 2 is used)

♦ If one of the prime factors of *n* has a special property, it is sometimes easier to factor *n*.

- * e.g. if p-1 has only small prime factors
- * Pollard 1974
- ♦ Algorithm
 - * Choose an integer a > 1 (often a = 2 is used)
 - * Choose a bound B

♦ If one of the prime factors of *n* has a special property, it is sometimes easier to factor *n*.

- * e.g. if p-1 has only small prime factors
- * Pollard 1974
- ♦ Algorithm
 - * Choose an integer a > 1 (often a = 2 is used)
 - * Choose a bound $B \leftarrow f$

have a chance of being larger than all the prime factors of p-1

♦ If one of the prime factors of *n* has a special property, it is sometimes easier to factor *n*.

- * e.g. if p-1 has only small prime factors
- * Pollard 1974
- ♦ Algorithm
 - * Choose an integer a > 1 (often a = 2 is used)
 - * Choose a bound B

* Compute $b \equiv a^{B!}$ as follows:

have a chance of being larger than all the prime factors of p-1

♦ If one of the prime factors of *n* has a special property, it is sometimes easier to factor *n*.

- * e.g. if p-1 has only small prime factors
- * Pollard 1974

♦ Algorithm

* Choose an integer a > 1 (often a = 2 is used)

* Choose a bound B

* Compute $b \equiv a^{B!}$ as follows:

have a chance of being larger than all the prime factors of p-1

 $a b_{I} \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and $b_{j} \equiv b_{j-1}^{j} \pmod{n}$ then $b \equiv b_{B} \pmod{n}$

♦ If one of the prime factors of *n* has a special property, it is sometimes easier to factor *n*.

- * e.g. if p-1 has only small prime factors
- * Pollard 1974

♦ Algorithm

* Choose an integer a > 1 (often a = 2 is used)

- * Choose a bound B
- * Compute $b \equiv a^{B!}$ as follows:

have a chance of being larger than all the prime factors of p-1

 $a b_{i} \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and $b_{j} \equiv b_{j-1}^{j} \pmod{n}$ then $b \equiv b_{B} \pmod{n}$

* Let d = gcd(b-1, n), if $1 \le d \le n$, we have found a factor of n

♦ If one of the prime factors of *n* has a special property, it is sometimes easier to factor *n*.

- * e.g. if p-1 has only small prime factors
- * Pollard 1974

♦ Algorithm

* Choose an integer a > 1 (often a = 2 is used)

- * Choose a bound B
- * Compute $b \equiv a^{B!}$ as follows:

have a chance of being larger than all the prime factors of p-1

 $a b_{I} \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and $b_{j} \equiv b_{j-I}^{j} \pmod{n}$ then $b \equiv b_{B} \pmod{n}$

* Let $d = \gcd(b-1, n)$, if $1 \le d \le n$, we have found a factor of nIf B is larger than all the prime factors of $p-1 \implies p-1|B!$ therefore $b \equiv a^{B!} \equiv (a^{p-1})^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, i.e. p|b-1

♦ If one of the prime factors of *n* has a special property, it is sometimes easier to factor *n*.

- * e.g. if p-1 has only small prime factors
- * Pollard 1974

♦ Algorithm

* Choose an integer a > 1 (often a = 2 is used)

- * Choose a bound B
- * Compute $b \equiv a^{B!}$ as follows:

have a chance of being larger than all the prime factors of p-1

 $a b_{i} \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and $b_{j} \equiv b_{j-1}^{j} \pmod{n}$ then $b \equiv b_{B} \pmod{n}$

* Let $d = \gcd(b-1, n)$, if $1 \le d \le n$, we have found a factor of n

If *B* is larger than all the prime factors of $p-1 \implies p-1|B!$ therefore $b \equiv a^{B!} \equiv (a^{p-1})^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, i.e. p|b-1 Fermat Little's Thm

♦ If one of the prime factors of *n* has a special property, it is sometimes easier to factor *n*.

- * e.g. if p-1 has only small prime factors
- * Pollard 1974

♦ Algorithm

* Choose an integer a > 1 (often a = 2 is used)

- * Choose a bound B
- * Compute $b \equiv a^{B!}$ as follows:

have a chance of being larger than all the prime factors of p-1

 $a b_{I} \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and $b_{j} \equiv b_{j-1}^{j} \pmod{n}$ then $b \equiv b_{B} \pmod{n}$

* Let $d = \gcd(b-1, n)$, if $1 \le d \le n$, we have found a factor of nIf B is larger than all the prime factors of $p-1 \xrightarrow{(very likely)}{\Rightarrow} p-1|B!$ therefore $b \equiv a^{B!} \equiv (a^{p-1})^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, i.e. p|b-1 Fermat Little's Thm

♦ If one of the prime factors of *n* has a special property, it is sometimes easier to factor *n*.

- * e.g. if p-1 has only small prime factors
- * Pollard 1974

♦ Algorithm

* Choose an integer a > 1 (often a = 2 is used)

- * Choose a bound B
- * Compute $b \equiv a^{B!}$ as follows:

have a chance of being larger than all the prime factors of p-1

 $a b_{i} \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and $b_{j} \equiv b_{j-1}^{j} \pmod{n}$ then $b \equiv b_{B} \pmod{n}$

* Let $d = \gcd(b-1, n)$, if $1 \le d \le n$, we have found a factor of nIf B is larger than all the prime factors of $p-1 \xrightarrow{(very likely)}{\Rightarrow} p-1|B!$ therefore $b \equiv a^{B!} \equiv (a^{p-1})^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, i.e. p|b-1 Fermat Little's Thm

If $n=p \cdot q$, p-1 and q-1 both have small factors that are less than *B*, then gcd(b-1,n)=n, (useless) however, $b \equiv a^{B!} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and we can use the Universal exponent method ⁴³

♦ How do we choose B?

۲

♦ How do we choose B?

۲

* small B will be faster but fails often

♦ How do we choose B?

- * small B will be faster but fails often
- * large B will be very slow

 \diamond How do we choose B?

- * small B will be faster but fails often
- * large B will be very slow

 ◇ In RSA, Rabin, Paillier, or other systems based on integer factoring, usually n=p·q, we should ensure that p-1 has at least one large prime factor.

 \diamond How do we choose B?

- * small B will be faster but fails often
- * large B will be very slow

 ♦ In RSA, Rabin, Paillier, or other systems based on integer factoring, usually n=p·q, we should ensure that p-1 has at least one large prime factor.

* How do we do this?

 \diamond How do we choose B?

- * small B will be faster but fails often
- * large B will be very slow

 ♦ In RSA, Rabin, Paillier, or other systems based on integer factoring, usually n=p·q, we should ensure that p-1 has at least one large prime factor.

* How do we do this?

e.g. we want to choose p around 100 digits

 \diamond How do we choose B?

- * small B will be faster but fails often
- * large B will be very slow

 ◇ In RSA, Rabin, Paillier, or other systems based on integer factoring, usually n=p·q, we should ensure that p-1 has at least one large prime factor.

- * How do we do this?
 - e.g. we want to choose p around 100 digits

> choose a prime number p_0 around 40 digits

 \diamond How do we choose B?

- * small B will be faster but fails often
- * large B will be very slow

 ♦ In RSA, Rabin, Paillier, or other systems based on integer factoring, usually n=p·q, we should ensure that p-1 has at least one large prime factor.

- * How do we do this?
 - e.g. we want to choose p around 100 digits
 - > choose a prime number p_0 around 40 digits
 - > look at integer $k \cdot p_0 + 1$ with k around 60 digits and do primality test

 \diamond How do we choose B?

- * small B will be faster but fails often
- * large B will be very slow
- ♦ In RSA, Rabin, Paillier, or other systems based on integer factoring, usually n=p·q, we should ensure that p-1 has at least one large prime factor.
 - * How do we do this?
 - e.g. we want to choose p around 100 digits
 - > choose a prime number p_0 around 40 digits
 - > look at integer $k \cdot p_0 + 1$ with k around 60 digits and do primality test

♦ Generalization:

Elliptic curve factorization method, Lenstra, 1985

 \diamond How do we choose B?

- * small B will be faster but fails often
- * large B will be very slow
- ♦ In RSA, Rabin, Paillier, or other systems based on integer factoring, usually n=p·q, we should ensure that p-1 has at least one large prime factor.
 - * How do we do this?
 - e.g. we want to choose p around 100 digits
 - > choose a prime number p_0 around 40 digits
 - > look at integer $k \cdot p_0 + 1$ with k around 60 digits and do primality test

♦ Generalization:

Elliptic curve factorization method, Lenstra, 1985

♦ Best records: p-1: 34 digits (113 bits), ECM: 47 digits (143 bits)

\diamond Example: factor n = 3837523

۲

\Rightarrow Example: factor n = 3837523

* form the following relations

۲

 \Rightarrow Example: factor n = 3837523

* form the following relations $9398^2 \equiv 5^5 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$

\Rightarrow Example: factor n = 3837523

* form the following relations $9398^2 \equiv 5^5 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$

individual factors are small

\Rightarrow Example: factor n = 3837523

* form the following relations individual factors are small $9398^2 \equiv 5^5 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $19095^2 \equiv 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$

\Rightarrow Example: factor n = 3837523

* form the following relations individual factors are small $9398^2 \equiv 5^5 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $19095^2 \equiv 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$

> make the number of each factors even

 \Rightarrow Example: factor n = 3837523

* form the following relations individual factors are small $9398^2 \equiv 5^5 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $19095^2 \equiv 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $1964^2 \equiv 3^2 \cdot 13^3 \pmod{3837523}$

make the number of each factors even

 \Rightarrow Example: factor n = 3837523

* form the following relations individual factors are small $9398^2 \equiv 5^5 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $19095^2 \equiv 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $1964^2 \equiv 3^2 \cdot 13^3 \pmod{3837523}$ $17078^2 \equiv 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 11 \pmod{3837523}$ make the number of each factors even

 \Rightarrow Example: factor n = 3837523

* form the following relations individual factors are small $9398^2 \equiv 5^5 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $19095^2 \equiv 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $1964^2 \equiv 3^2 \cdot 13^3 \pmod{3837523}$ $17078^2 \equiv 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 11 \pmod{3837523}$ make the number of each factors even
\Rightarrow Example: factor n = 3837523

* form the following relations individual factors are small $9398^2 \equiv 5^5 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $19095^2 \equiv 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $1964^2 \equiv 3^2 \cdot 13^3 \pmod{3837523}$ $17078^2 \equiv 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 11 \pmod{3837523}$ * multiply the above relations make the number of each factors even

\Rightarrow Example: factor n = 3837523

* form the following relations individual factors are small $9398^2 \equiv 5^5 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $19095^2 \equiv 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $1964^2 \equiv 3^2 \cdot 13^3 \pmod{3837523}$ $17078^2 \equiv 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 11 \pmod{3837523}$ * multiply the above relations $(9398 \cdot 19095 \cdot 1964 \cdot 17078)^2 \equiv (2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 19)^2$

\Rightarrow Example: factor n = 3837523

* form the following relations individual factors are small $9398^2 \equiv 5^5 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $19095^2 \equiv 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $1964^2 \equiv 3^2 \cdot 13^3 \pmod{3837523}$ $17078^2 \equiv 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 11 \pmod{3837523}$ * multiply the above relations make the number of each factors even

 $(9398 \cdot 19095 \cdot 1964 \cdot 17078)^2 \equiv (2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 19)^2$ $2230387^2 \equiv 2586705^2$

\Rightarrow Example: factor n = 3837523

* multiply the above relations

* form the following relations individual factors are small $9398^2 \equiv 5^5 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $19095^2 \equiv 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $1964^2 \equiv 3^2 \cdot 13^3 \pmod{3837523}$

 $17078^2 \equiv 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 11 \pmod{3837523}$

make the number of each factors even

 $(9398 \cdot 19095 \cdot 1964 \cdot 17078)^2 \equiv (2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 19)^2$ $2230387^2 \equiv 2586705^2$

* since $2230387 \neq \pm 2586705 \pmod{3837523}$

\Rightarrow Example: factor n = 3837523

* multiply the above relations

* form the following relations individual factors are small $9398^2 \equiv 5^5 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $19095^2 \equiv 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $1964^2 \equiv 3^2 \cdot 13^3 \pmod{3837523}$ $17078^2 \equiv 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 11 \pmod{3837523}$ make the number

of each factors even

 $(9398 \cdot 19095 \cdot 1964 \cdot 17078)^{2} \equiv (2^{4} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 11 \cdot 13^{2} \cdot 19)^{2}$ 2230387² = 2586705² hope they are not equal

* since 2230387 ≠ ±2586705 (mod 3837523)

\Rightarrow Example: factor n = 3837523

* multiply the above relations

* form the following relations individual factors are small $9398^2 \equiv 5^5 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $19095^2 \equiv 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $1964^2 \equiv 3^2 \cdot 13^3 \pmod{3837523}$

 $17078^2 \equiv 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 11 \pmod{3837523}$

make the number of each factors even

 $(9398 \cdot 19095 \cdot 1964 \cdot 17078)^2 \equiv (2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 19)^2$ $2230387^2 \equiv 2586705^2$ hope they are not equal

- * since $2230387 \neq \pm 2586705 \pmod{3837523}$
- * gcd(2230387-2586705, 3837523) = 1093 is one factor of *n*

\Rightarrow Example: factor n = 3837523

* multiply the above relations

* form the following relations individual factors are small $9398^2 \equiv 5^5 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $19095^2 \equiv 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \pmod{3837523}$ $1964^2 \equiv 3^2 \cdot 13^3 \pmod{3837523}$

 $17078^2 \equiv 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 11 \pmod{3837523}$

make the number of each factors even

 $(9398 \cdot 19095 \cdot 1964 \cdot 17078)^2 \equiv (2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 19)^2$ $2230387^2 \equiv 2586705^2$ hope they are not equal

- * since 2230387 ≠ ±2586705 (mod 3837523)
- * gcd(2230387-2586705, 3837523) = 1093 is one factor of *n*
- * the other factor is 3837523/1093 = 3511

♦ Quadratic? $x^2 \equiv \text{product of small primes}$

۲

♦ Quadratic? x² = product of small primes
♦ How do we construct these useful relations systematically?

- ♦ Quadratic? $x^2 \equiv$ product of small primes
- ♦ How do we construct these useful relations systematically?
- ♦ Properties of these relations:
 - * product of small primes called factor base

- ♦ Quadratic? $x^2 \equiv \text{product of small primes}$
- ♦ How do we construct these useful relations systematically?
- ♦ Properties of these relations:
 - * product of small primes called factor base
 - * make all prime factors appear even times

- ♦ Quadratic? $x^2 \equiv \text{product of small primes}$
- ♦ How do we construct these useful relations systematically?
- ♦ Properties of these relations:
 - * product of small primes called factor base
 - * make all prime factors appear even times
- ♦ Put these relations in a matrix

- ♦ Quadratic? $x^2 \equiv \text{product of small primes}$
- ♦ How do we construct these useful relations systematically?
- ♦ Properties of these relations:
 - * product of small primes called factor base
 - * make all prime factors appear even times
- ♦ Put these relations in a matrix

	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19
9398	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	1
19095	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	1
1964	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0
17078	6	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
8077	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
3397	5	0	1	0	0	2	0	0
14262	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	0

- ♦ Quadratic? $x^2 \equiv \text{product of small primes}$
- ♦ How do we construct these useful relations systematically?
- ♦ Properties of these relations:
 - * product of small primes called factor base
 - * make all prime factors appear even times
- ♦ Put these relations in a matrix



- ♦ Quadratic? $x^2 \equiv \text{product of small primes}$
- ♦ How do we construct these useful relations systematically?
- ♦ Properties of these relations:
 - * product of small primes called factor base
 - * make all prime factors appear even times
- ♦ Put these relations in a matrix



Look for linear dependencies mod 2 among the rows

Look for linear dependencies mod 2 among the rows
★ 1st + 5th + 6th = (6, 0, 6, 0, 0, 2, 0, 2) ≡ 0 (mod 2)

♦ Look for linear dependencies mod 2 among the rows
★ 1st + 5th + 6th = (6, 0, 6, 0, 0, 2, 0, 2) = 0 (mod 2)

* $1 \text{st} + 2 \text{nd} + 3 \text{rd} + 4 \text{th} = (8, 4, 6, 0, 2, 4, 0, 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$

♦ Look for linear dependencies mod 2 among the rows

- * 1st + 5th + 6th = (6, 0, 6, 0, 0, 2, 0, 2) = **0** (mod 2)
- * $1 \text{st} + 2 \text{nd} + 3 \text{rd} + 4 \text{th} = (8, 4, 6, 0, 2, 4, 0, 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$
- * $3rd + 7th = (0, 2, 2, 2, 0, \overline{4}, 0, 0) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$

♦ Look for linear dependencies mod 2 among the rows

- * 1st + 5th + 6th = (6, 0, 6, 0, 0, 2, 0, 2) = **0** (mod 2)
- * 1st + 2nd + 3rd + 4th = (8, 4, 6, 0, 2, 4, 0, 2) = 0 (mod 2)
- * $3rd + 7th = (0, 2, 2, 2, 0, 4, 0, 0) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$
- When we have such a dependency, the product of the numbers yields a square.

♦ Look for linear dependencies mod 2 among the rows

- * 1st + 5th + 6th = (6, 0, 6, 0, 0, 2, 0, 2) = **0** (mod 2)
- * 1st + 2nd + 3rd + 4th = (8, 4, 6, 0, 2, 4, 0, 2) = 0 (mod 2)
- * $3rd + 7th = (0, 2, 2, 2, 0, 4, 0, 0) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$
- When we have such a dependency, the product of the numbers yields a square.

 $* (9398 \cdot 8077 \cdot 3397)^2 \equiv 2^6 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 13^2 \cdot \overline{19^2} \equiv (2^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 13 \cdot 19)^2$

♦ Look for linear dependencies mod 2 among the rows

- * 1st + 5th + 6th = (6, 0, 6, 0, 0, 2, 0, 2) = **0** (mod 2)
- * 1st + 2nd + 3rd + 4th = (8, 4, 6, 0, 2, 4, 0, 2) = 0 (mod 2)
- * $3rd + 7th = (0, 2, 2, 2, 0, 4, 0, 0) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$
- When we have such a dependency, the product of the numbers yields a square.

* $(9398 \cdot 8077 \cdot 3397)^2 \equiv 2^6 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 19^2 \equiv (2^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 13 \cdot 19)^2$

* $(9398 \cdot 19095 \cdot 1964 \cdot 17078)^2 \equiv (2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 19)^2$

♦ Look for linear dependencies mod 2 among the rows

- * 1st + 5th + 6th = (6, 0, 6, 0, 0, 2, 0, 2) = **0** (mod 2)
- * 1st + 2nd + 3rd + 4th = (8, 4, 6, 0, 2, 4, 0, 2) = 0 (mod 2)
- * $3rd + 7th = (0, 2, 2, 2, 0, 4, 0, 0) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$
- When we have such a dependency, the product of the numbers yields a square.

* $(9398 \cdot 8077 \cdot 3397)^2 \equiv 2^6 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 19^2 \equiv (2^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 13 \cdot 19)^2$

* $(9398 \cdot 19095 \cdot 1964 \cdot 17078)^2 \equiv (2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 19)^2$

* $(1964 \cdot 14262)^2 \equiv (3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13^2)^2$

♦ Look for linear dependencies mod 2 among the rows

- * 1st + 5th + 6th = (6, 0, 6, 0, 0, 2, 0, 2) = **0** (mod 2)
- * 1st + 2nd + 3rd + 4th = (8, 4, 6, 0, 2, 4, 0, 2) = 0 (mod 2)
- * $3rd + 7th = (0, 2, 2, 2, 0, \overline{4}, 0, 0) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$
- When we have such a dependency, the product of the numbers yields a square.

* $(9398 \cdot 8077 \cdot 3397)^2 \equiv 2^6 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 19^2 \equiv (2^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 13 \cdot 19)^2$

* $(9398 \cdot 19095 \cdot 1964 \cdot 17078)^2 \equiv (2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 19)^2$

* $(1964 \cdot 14262)^2 \equiv (3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13^2)^2$

♦ Looking for those $x^2 \equiv y^2$ but $x \neq \pm y$

♦ How do we find numbers x s.t. $x^2 \equiv \text{product of small primes?}$

۲

\diamond How do we find numbers x s.t.

 $x^2 \equiv$ product of small primes?

* produce squares that are slightly larger than a multiple of n

\diamond How do we find numbers x s.t.

 $x^2 \equiv$ product of small primes?

* produce squares that are slightly larger than a multiple of n

e.g. $\left| \sqrt{i \cdot n} + j \right|$ for small j

\diamond How do we find numbers x s.t.

 $x^2 \equiv$ product of small primes?

* produce squares that are slightly larger than a multiple of n

e.g.
$$|\sqrt{i \cdot n} + j|$$
 for small j

the square is approximately $i \cdot n + 2 j \sqrt{i \cdot n + j^2}$

\diamond How do we find numbers x s.t.

 $x^2 \equiv$ product of small primes?

* produce squares that are slightly larger than a multiple of n

e.g.
$$|\langle i \cdot n + j |$$
 for small j

the square is approximately $i \cdot n + 2 j\sqrt{i \cdot n} + j^2$ which is approximately $2 j\sqrt{i \cdot n} + j^2 \pmod{n}$

\diamond How do we find numbers x s.t.

 $x^2 \equiv$ product of small primes?

- * produce squares that are slightly larger than a multiple of n
 - e.g. $\left| \sqrt{i \cdot n} + j \right|$ for small j

the square is approximately $i \cdot n + 2 j\sqrt{i \cdot n} + j^2$ which is approximately $2 j\sqrt{i \cdot n} + j^2 \pmod{n}$

> Probably because this number is small, the factors of it should not be too large. However, there are a lot of exceptions. So it takes time. Also, there are a lot of other methods to generate qualified x values.

\diamond How do we find numbers x s.t.

 $x^2 \equiv$ product of small primes?

* produce squares that are slightly larger than a multiple of n

e.g. $\left| \sqrt{i \cdot n} + j \right|$ for small j

the square is approximately $i \cdot n + 2 j\sqrt{i \cdot n} + j^2$ which is approximately $2 j\sqrt{i \cdot n} + j^2 \pmod{n}$

 $8077 = \left\lfloor \sqrt{17n} + 1 \right\rfloor$

Probably because this number is small, the factors of it should not be too large. However, there are a lot of exceptions. So it takes time. Also, there are a lot of other methods to generate qualified x values.

\diamond How do we find numbers x s.t.

 $x^2 \equiv$ product of small primes?

* produce squares that are slightly larger than a multiple of n

e.g. $\left| \sqrt{i \cdot n} + j \right|$ for small j

the square is approximately $i \cdot n + 2 j\sqrt{i \cdot n} + j^2$ which is approximately $2 j\sqrt{i \cdot n} + j^2 \pmod{n}$

 $8077 = \left\lfloor \sqrt{17n} + 1 \right\rfloor$ $9398 = \left\lfloor \sqrt{23n} + 4 \right\rfloor$

Probably because this number is small, the factors of it should not be too large. However, there are a lot of exceptions. So it takes time. Also, there are a lot of other methods to generate qualified x values.

 \bullet

- ♦ 1977 Rivest, Shamir, Adleman US\$100
 - * given RSA modulus n, public exponent e, ciphertext c n = 114381625757888867669235779976146612010218296721242362 562561842935706935245733897830597123563958705058989075 147599290026879543541
 - e = 9007
 - c = 968696137546220614771409222543558829057599911245743198 746951209308162982251457083569314766228839896280133919 90551829945157815154

- ♦ 1977 Rivest, Shamir, Adleman US\$100
 - * given RSA modulus n, public exponent e, ciphertext c n = 114381625757888867669235779976146612010218296721242362 562561842935706935245733897830597123563958705058989075 147599290026879543541
 - e = 9007
 - c = 968696137546220614771409222543558829057599911245743198 746951209308162982251457083569314766228839896280133919 90551829945157815154

* Find the plaintext message

- ♦ 1977 Rivest, Shamir, Adleman US\$100
 - * given RSA modulus n, public exponent e, ciphertext c n = 114381625757888867669235779976146612010218296721242362 562561842935706935245733897830597123563958705058989075 147599290026879543541
 - e = 9007
 - c = 968696137546220614771409222543558829057599911245743198 746951209308162982251457083569314766228839896280133919 90551829945157815154
 - * Find the plaintext message
- ♦ 1994 Atkins, Lenstra, and Leyland
 - * use 524339 small primes (less than 16333610)
The RSA Challenge

- ♦ 1977 Rivest, Shamir, Adleman US\$100
 - * given RSA modulus n, public exponent e, ciphertext c n = 114381625757888867669235779976146612010218296721242362 562561842935706935245733897830597123563958705058989075 147599290026879543541
 - e = 9007
 - c = 968696137546220614771409222543558829057599911245743198 746951209308162982251457083569314766228839896280133919 90551829945157815154
 - * Find the plaintext message
- ♦ 1994 Atkins, Lenstra, and Leyland
 - * use 524339 small primes (less than 16333610)
 - * plus up to two large primes $(16333610 \sim 2^{30})$

The RSA Challenge

- ♦ 1977 Rivest, Shamir, Adleman US\$100
 - * given RSA modulus n, public exponent e, ciphertext c n = 114381625757888867669235779976146612010218296721242362 562561842935706935245733897830597123563958705058989075 147599290026879543541
 - e = 9007
 - c = 968696137546220614771409222543558829057599911245743198 746951209308162982251457083569314766228839896280133919 90551829945157815154
 - * Find the plaintext message
- ♦ 1994 Atkins, Lenstra, and Leyland
 - * use 524339 small primes (less than 16333610)
 - * plus up to two large primes $(16333610 \sim 2^{30})$
 - * 1600 computers, 600 people, 7 months

The RSA Challenge

- ♦ 1977 Rivest, Shamir, Adleman US\$100
 - * given RSA modulus n, public exponent e, ciphertext c n = 114381625757888867669235779976146612010218296721242362 562561842935706935245733897830597123563958705058989075 147599290026879543541
 - e = 9007
 - c = 968696137546220614771409222543558829057599911245743198 746951209308162982251457083569314766228839896280133919 90551829945157815154
 - * Find the plaintext message
- ♦ 1994 Atkins, Lenstra, and Leyland
 - * use 524339 small primes (less than 16333610)
 - * plus up to two large primes $(16333610 \sim 2^{30})$
 - * 1600 computers, 600 people, 7 months
 - ★ found 569466 'x²=small products' equations, out of which only 205 linear dependencies were found

Factorization Records

•

Year	Number of digits	
1964	20	
1974	45	
1984	71	
1994	129	(429 bits)
1999	155	(515 bits)
2003	174	(576 bits)

Factorization Records

Year	Number of digits	
1964	20	
1974	45	
1984	71	
1994	129	(429 bits)
1999	155	(515 bits)
2003	174	(576 bits)

Next challenge RSA-640

 Break RSA means 'inverting <u>RSA function</u> without knowing the trapdoor'

♦ Break RSA means 'inverting RSA function without knowing the trapdoor' $v \equiv x^{e} \pmod{n}$

♦ Break RSA means 'inverting RSA function without knowing the trapdoor' $y \equiv x^e \pmod{n}$ ♦ Factor the modulus ⇒ Break RSA

- ♦ Break RSA means 'inverting RSA function without knowing the trapdoor' $v \equiv x^{e} \pmod{n}$
- \diamond Factor the modulus \Rightarrow Break RSA
 - * If we can factor the modulus, we can break RSA



- ♦ Break RSA means 'inverting RSA function without knowing the trapdoor' $v \equiv x^{e} \pmod{n}$
- \Rightarrow Factor the modulus \Rightarrow Break RSA
 - * If we can factor the modulus, we can break RSA
 - If we can break RSA, we don't know whether we can factor the modulus...open problem (with negative evidences)

- ♦ Break RSA means 'inverting RSA function without knowing the trapdoor' $v \equiv x^{e} \pmod{n}$
- \Rightarrow Factor the modulus \Rightarrow Break RSA
 - * If we can factor the modulus, we can break RSA
 - * If we can break RSA, we don't know whether we can factor the modulus...open problem (with negative evidences)
- \diamond Factor the modulus \Leftrightarrow Calculate private key d

- ♦ Break RSA means 'inverting RSA function without knowing the trapdoor' $v \equiv x^{e} \pmod{n}$
- \diamond Factor the modulus \Rightarrow Break RSA
 - * If we can factor the modulus, we can break RSA
 - If we can break RSA, we don't know whether we can factor the modulus...open problem (with negative evidences)
- \diamond Factor the modulus \Leftrightarrow Calculate private key d
 - * If we can factor the modulus, we can calculate the private exponent d (the trapdoor information).

♦ Break RSA means 'inverting RSA function without knowing the trapdoor' $v \equiv x^{e} \pmod{n}$

 \diamond Factor the modulus \Rightarrow Break RSA

- * If we can factor the modulus, we can break RSA
- If we can break RSA, we don't know whether we can factor the modulus...open problem (with negative evidences)

 \diamond Factor the modulus \Leftrightarrow Calculate private key d

- * If we can factor the modulus, we can calculate the private exponent d (the trapdoor information).
- * If we have the private exponent d, we can factor the modulus.

 DeLaurentis, "A Further Weakness in the Common Modulus Protocol for the RSA Cryptosystem," Cryptologia, Vol. 8, pp. 253-259, 1984

- DeLaurentis, "A Further Weakness in the Common Modulus Protocol for the RSA Cryptosystem," Cryptologia, Vol. 8, pp. 253-259, 1984
 - ★ If you have a pair of RSA public-key/private-key, you can factoring n=p·q with a probabilistic algorithm.

- DeLaurentis, "A Further Weakness in the Common Modulus Protocol for the RSA Cryptosystem," Cryptologia, Vol. 8, pp. 253-259, 1984
 - ★ If you have a pair of RSA public-key/private-key, you can factoring n=p·q with a probabilistic algorithm.
 - * An example of the Universal Exponent Factorization method

- DeLaurentis, "A Further Weakness in the Common Modulus Protocol for the RSA Cryptosystem," Cryptologia, Vol. 8, pp. 253-259, 1984
 - ★ If you have a pair of RSA public-key/private-key, you can factoring n=p·q with a probabilistic algorithm.
 - * An example of the Universal Exponent Factorization method
- \diamond Basic idea: find a number b, 0<b<n s.t.

- DeLaurentis, "A Further Weakness in the Common Modulus Protocol for the RSA Cryptosystem," Cryptologia, Vol. 8, pp. 253-259, 1984
 - ★ If you have a pair of RSA public-key/private-key, you can factoring n=p·q with a probabilistic algorithm.
 - * An example of the Universal Exponent Factorization method
- \diamond Basic idea: find a number b, 0<b<n s.t.

 $b^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and $b \neq \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ i.e. $1 \le n-1$

- DeLaurentis, "A Further Weakness in the Common Modulus Protocol for the RSA Cryptosystem," Cryptologia, Vol. 8, pp. 253-259, 1984
 - ★ If you have a pair of RSA public-key/private-key, you can factoring n=p·q with a probabilistic algorithm.
 - * An example of the Universal Exponent Factorization method
- \diamond Basic idea: find a number b, 0<b<n s.t.
 - $b^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and $b \neq \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ i.e. $1 \le n-1$
 - ★ Note: There are four roots to the equation b² ≡ 1 (mod n), ±1 are two of them, all satisfy (b+1)(b-1) = k · n = k · p · q, since 0<b-1<b+1<n, we have either (p | b-1 and q | b+1) or (q | b-1 and p | b+1), therefore, one of the factor can be found by gcd(b-1,n) and the other by n/gcd(b-1,n) or gcd(b+1,n)

♦ Algorithm to find b: $Pr{success per repetition} = \frac{1}{2}$

Algorithm to find b: Pr{success per repetition} = 1/2
1. Randomly choose a, 1<a<n-1, such that gcd(a, n) = 1

Factoring reduces to RSA key recovery
Algorithm to find b: Pr{success per repetition} = ½
1. Randomly choose a, 1<a<n-1, such that gcd(a, n) = 1

2. Find minimal j, $a^{2jh} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ (where h satisfies $e \cdot d - 1 = 2^{t}h$)

Factoring reduces to RSA key recovery
Algorithm to find b: Pr{success per repetition} = ½
1. Randomly choose a, 1<a<n-1, such that gcd(a, n) = 1

2. Find minimal j, $a^{2jh} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ (where h satisfies $e \cdot d - 1 = 2^{t}h$) 3. $b = a^{2j-1h}$, if $b \neq -1 \pmod{n}$, then gcd(b-1, n) is the result, else repeat 1-3

Factoring reduces to RSA key recovery Algorithm to find b: Pr{success per repetition} = 1/2

1. Randomly choose a, $1 \le a \le n-1$, such that gcd(a, n) = 1

2. Find minimal j, ^{2jh} ≡ 1 (mod n) (where h satisfies e · d - 1 = 2^th)
3. b = ^{2j-1h}_a, if b ≠ -1 (mod n), then gcd(b-1, n) is the result, else repeat 1-3

♦ Note: If we randomly choose b∈Z_n^{*} and find out that b² = 1 (mod n), the probability that b=1, b=-1, b=c(≠±1), or b=-c(≠±1) would be equal; Pr{success}=Pr{^{2j-1h}_a≠±1}=1/2

Factoring reduces to RSA key recovery Algorithm to find b: Pr{success per repetition} = 1/2

1. Randomly choose a, $1 \le a \le n-1$, such that gcd(a, n) = 1

2. Find minimal j, ^{2jh} ≡ 1 (mod n) (where h satisfies e · d - 1 = 2^th)
3. b = ^{2j-1h}_a, if b ≠ -1 (mod n), then gcd(b-1, n) is the result, else repeat 1-3

- ♦ Note: If we randomly choose b∈Z_n^{*} and find out that b² ≡ 1 (mod n), the probability that b=1, b=-1, b=c(≠±1), or b=-c(≠±1) would be equal; Pr{success}=Pr{^{2j-1h}_a≠±1}=1/2
- ♦ Ex: p=131, q=199, n=p q=26069, e=7, d=22063

- 1. Randomly choose a, $1 \le a \le n-1$, such that gcd(a, n) = 1
- 2. Find minimal j, ^{2jh} ≡ 1 (mod n) (where h satisfies e · d 1 = 2^th)
 3. b = ^{2j-1h}, if b ≠ -1 (mod n), then gcd(b-1, n) is the result, else repeat 1-3
- ♦ Note: If we randomly choose b∈Z^{*}_n and find out that b² ≡ 1 (mod n), the probability that b=1, b=-1, b=c(≠±1), or b=-c(≠±1) would be equal; Pr{success}=Pr{^{2j-1h}≠±1}=1/2
- Fightharpoints Ex: p=131, q=199, n=p•q=26069, e=7, d=22063 $φ(n)=(p-1)(q-1)=25740=2^{2}*6435$ | ed-1=154440 = 2³*19305,

♦ Algorithm to find b: $Pr\{success per repetition\} = \frac{1}{2}$ 1. Randomly choose a, 1 < a < n-1, such that gcd(a, n) = 1

2. Find minimal j, ^{2jh} = 1 (mod n) (where h satisfies e · d - 1 = 2^th)
3. b = ^{2j-1h}_a, if b ≠ -1 (mod n), then gcd(b-1, n) is the result, else repeat 1-3

♦ Note: If we randomly choose b∈Z^{*}_n and find out that b² ≡ 1 (mod n), the probability that b=1, b=-1, b=c(≠±1), or b=-c(≠±1) would be equal; Pr{success}=Pr{^{2j-1h}≠±1}=1/2

♦ Ex: p=131, q=199, n=p q=26069, e=7, d=22063 $\phi(n)=(p-1)(q-1)=25740=2^{2*}6435 | ed-1=154440 = 2^{3*}19305,$ choose a=3, try j=1 ($_{3}^{2119305}=1$), b= $_{a}^{2j-1h}=3^{19305}=5372$ (≠ ±1)

♦ Algorithm to find b: $Pr\{success per repetition\} = \frac{1}{2}$ 1. Randomly choose a, 1 < a < n-1, such that gcd(a, n) = 1

2. Find minimal j, ^{2jh} = 1 (mod n) (where h satisfies e · d - 1 = 2^th)
3. b = ^{2j-1h}_a, if b ≠ -1 (mod n), then gcd(b-1, n) is the result, else repeat 1-3

♦ Note: If we randomly choose b∈Z_n^{*} and find out that b² ≡ 1 (mod n), the probability that b=1, b=-1, b=c(≠±1), or b=-c(≠±1) would be equal; Pr{success}=Pr{^{2j-1h}_a≠±1}=1/2

♦ Ex: p=131, q=199, n=p·q=26069, e=7, d=22063 $\phi(n)=(p-1)(q-1)=25740=2^{2*}6435 \mid ed=1=154440=2^{3*}19305,$ $choose a=3, try j=1 (_{3}^{2119405}=1), b=_{a}^{2j-1h}=3^{19305}=5372 (\neq \pm 1)$ p = gcd(b=1,n) = gcd(5371,26069) = 131, q = n/p = 199

♦ The above result says that "if you can recover a pair of RSA keys, you can factoring the corresponding n=p · q" i.e. "once a private key d is compromised, you need to choose a new pair of (n, e) instead of changing e only"

♦ The above result says that "if you can recover a pair of RSA keys, you can factoring the corresponding n=p · q" i.e. "once a private key d is compromised, you need to choose a new pair of (n, e) instead of changing e only"

The above result suggests that a scheme using (n, e₁), (n, e₂), ... (n, e_k) with a common n for each k participants without giving each one the value of p, q is insecure. You should not use the same n as some others even though you are not explicitly told the value of p and q.

The above result also suggests that if you can <u>recover</u> <u>arbitrary RSA key pair</u>, you can solve the problem of factoring n. Whenever you get an **n**, you can form an RSA system with some *e* (assuming gcd(*e*, φ(n))=1), then use your method to solve the private exponent *d* without knowing p and q, after that you can factor n.

- The above result also suggests that if you can <u>recover</u> <u>arbitrary RSA key pair</u>, you can solve the problem of factoring n. Whenever you get an **n**, you can form an RSA system with some *e* (assuming gcd(*e*, φ(n))=1), then use your method to solve the private exponent *d* without knowing p and q, after that you can factor n.
- Although factoring is believed to be hard, and factoring breaks RSA, <u>breaking RSA</u> does not simplify factoring. Trivial non-factoring methods of breaking RSA could therefore exist. (What does it mean by breaking RSA? plaintext recovery? key recovery?...)

- The above result also suggests that if you can recover arbitrary RSA key pair, you can solve the problem of factoring n. Whenever you get an n, you can form an RSA system with some e (assuming gcd(e, φ(n))=1), then use your method to solve the private exponent d without knowing p and q, after that you can factor n.
- Although factoring is believed to be hard, and factoring breaks RSA, <u>breaking RSA</u> does not simplify factoring. Trivial non-factoring methods of breaking RSA could therefore exist. (What does it mean by breaking RSA? plaintext recovery? key recovery?...)

Deterministic Encryption

RSA Cryptosystem is a deterministic encryption scheme,
 i.e. a plaintext message is encrypted to a fixed ciphertext
 message

Deterministic Encryption

- RSA Cryptosystem is a deterministic encryption scheme,
 i.e. a plaintext message is encrypted to a fixed ciphertext
 message
- ♦ Suffers from chosen plaintext attack

Deterministic Encryption

- RSA Cryptosystem is a deterministic encryption scheme,
 i.e. a plaintext message is encrypted to a fixed ciphertext
 message
- Suffers from chosen plaintext attack
 - * an attacker compiles a large codebook which contains the ciphertexts corresponding to all possible plaintext messages
Deterministic Encryption

- RSA Cryptosystem is a deterministic encryption scheme,
 i.e. a plaintext message is encrypted to a fixed ciphertext
 message
- ♦ Suffers from chosen plaintext attack
 - * an attacker compiles a large codebook which contains the ciphertexts corresponding to all possible plaintext messages
 - in a two-message scheme, the attacker can always distinguish which plaintext was transmitted by observing the ciphertext (does not satisfy the Semantic Security Notation)

Deterministic Encryption

- RSA Cryptosystem is a deterministic encryption scheme,
 i.e. a plaintext message is encrypted to a fixed ciphertext
 message
- ♦ Suffers from chosen plaintext attack
 - * an attacker compiles a large codebook which contains the ciphertexts corresponding to all possible plaintext messages
 - in a two-message scheme, the attacker can always distinguish which plaintext was transmitted by observing the ciphertext (does not satisfy the Semantic Security Notation)
- Add randomness through padding

♦ E.g. k=128 bytes (1024 bits) PKCS#1 v1.5 RSA

E.g. k=128 bytes (1024 bits) PKCS#1 v1.5 RSA * plaintext message M (at most 128-3-8=117 bytes)

E.g. k=128 bytes (1024 bits) PKCS#1 v1.5 RSA * plaintext message M (at most 128-3-8=117 bytes) * pseudorandom nonzero string PS (at least 8 bytes)

♦ E.g. k=128 bytes (1024 bits) PKCS#1 v1.5 RSA
* plaintext message M (at most 128-3-8=117 bytes)
* pseudorandom nonzero string PS (at least 8 bytes)
* message to be encrypted m = 00||02||PS||00||M

♦ E.g. k=128 bytes (1024 bits) PKCS#1 v1.5 RSA

- * plaintext message M (at most 128-3-8=117 bytes)
- * pseudorandom nonzero string PS (at least 8 bytes)
- * message to be encrypted m = 00||02||PS||00||M
- * encryption: $c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$

♦ E.g. k=128 bytes (1024 bits) PKCS#1 v1.5 RSA

- * plaintext message M (at most 128-3-8=117 bytes)
- * pseudorandom nonzero string PS (at least 8 bytes)
- * message to be encrypted m = 00||02||PS||00||M
- * encryption: $c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$
- * decryption: $m \equiv c^d \pmod{n}$

♦ E.g. k=128 bytes (1024 bits) PKCS#1 v1.5 RSA

- * plaintext message M (at most 128-3-8=117 bytes)
- * pseudorandom nonzero string PS (at least 8 bytes)
- * message to be encrypted m = 00||02||PS||00||M
- * encryption: $c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$
- * decryption: $m \equiv c^d \pmod{n}$

 c is now random corresponding to a fixed m, however, this only adds difficulties to the compilation of ciphertexts (a factor of 2⁶⁴ times if PS is 8 bytes)

PKCS #1 v2 padding - OAEP



M: message (emLen-1-2hLen bytes) P: encoding parameters, an octet string MGF: mask generation function Hash: selected hash function (hLen is the output bytes) DB=Hash(P)||PS||01||M PS is length emLen-||M||-2hLen-1 null bytes Seed: hLen random bytes dbMask: MGF(seed, emLen-hLen) maskedDB = DB ⊕ dbMask seedMask:

MFG(maskedDB, hLen)maskedSeed = seed \oplus seedMask

EM: encoded message (emLen bytes) EM = maskedSeed||makedDB

PKCS #1 v2 padding - OAEP

Optimal Asymmetric Encryption (OAE)

* M. Bellare, "Optimal Asymmetric Encryption - How to Encrypt with RSA," Eurocrypt'94

Optimal Padding in the sense that

* RSA-OAEP is semantically secure against adaptive chosen ciphertext attackers in the random oracle model

* the message size in a k-bit RSA block is as large as possible (make the most advantage of the bandwidth)

Following by more efficient padding schemes:
* OAEP⁺, SAEP⁺, REACT

Hybrid system (public key and secret key)

۲

Hybrid system (public key and secret key)
 * RSA is about 1000 times slower than AES

Hybrid system (public key and secret key)
* RSA is about 1000 times slower than AES
* smaller exponent is faster (but more dangerous)

Hybrid system (public key and secret key)
 RSA is about 1000 times slower than AES
 smaller exponent is faster (but more dangerous)



Hybrid system (public key and secret key)
* RSA is about 1000 times slower than AES
* smaller exponent is faster (but more dangerous)



KEM/DEM

♦ Key/Data Encapsulation Mechnism, hybrid scheme
 ♦ k ⇔ K, in a digital envelope scheme, K is a session key, might get compromized, forward security, requires OAEP



KEM/DEM

♦ Key/Data Encapsulation Mechnism, hybrid scheme
 ♦ k ⇔ K, in a digital envelope scheme, K is a session key, might get compromized, forward security, requires OAEP



 \diamond Public key (n, e)

۲

 \Rightarrow Public key (n, e)

n=p·q, p and q are large prime integers gcd(e, $\phi(n)$) = 1 s.t. $\exists d, e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$ $3 \le e \le n-1$

 \diamond Public key (n, e)

n=p·q, p and q are large prime integers gcd(e, $\phi(n)$) = 1 s.t. $\exists d, e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$ $3 \le e \le n-1$

 \diamond Private Key (n, d) or

(n, p, q, dp, dq, qInv)

 \diamond Public key (n, e)

n=p·q, p and q are large prime integers gcd(e, $\phi(n)$) = 1 s.t. $\exists d, e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$ $3 \le e \le n-1$

 \diamond Private Key (n, d) or

(n, p, q, dp, dq, qInv)

 \diamond Public key (n, e)

n=p·q, p and q are large prime integers gcd(e, $\phi(n)$) = 1 s.t. $\exists d, e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$ $3 \le e \le n-1$

♦ Private Key (n, d) or
(n, p, q, dp, dq, qInv)
♦ Encryption $c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$

 \diamond Public key (n, e)

n=p·q, p and q are large prime integers gcd(e, $\phi(n)$) = 1 s.t. $\exists d, e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$ $3 \le e \le n-1$

♦ Private Key (n, d) or
(n, p, q, dp, dq, qInv)
♦ Encryption $c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$ ♦ Decryption $m \equiv c^d \pmod{n}$ or

 \diamond Public key (n, e)

n=p·q, p and q are large prime integers gcd(e, $\phi(n)$) = 1 s.t. $\exists d, e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$ $3 \le e \le n-1$

♦ Private Key (n, d) or (n, p, q, dp, dq, qInv) ♦ Encryption $c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$ ♦ Decryption $m \equiv c^d \pmod{n}$ or $m_1 \equiv c^{dp} \pmod{p}$

 \diamond Public key (n, e)

n=p·q, p and q are large prime integers gcd(e, $\phi(n)$) = 1 s.t. $\exists d, e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$ $3 \le e \le n-1$

♦ Private Key (n, d) or (n, p, q, dp, dq, qInv) ♦ Encryption $c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$ ♦ Decryption $m \equiv c^d \pmod{n}$ or $m_1 \equiv c^{dp} \pmod{p}$ $m_2 \equiv c^{dq} \pmod{q}$

 \diamond Public key (n, e)

n=p·q, p and q are large prime integers gcd(e, $\phi(n)$) = 1 s.t. $\exists d, e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$ $3 \le e \le n-1$

♦ Private Key (n, d) or (n, p, q, dp, dq, qInv)
♦ Encryption $c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$ ♦ Decryption $m \equiv c^d \pmod{n}$ or $m_1 \equiv c^{dp} \pmod{p}$ $m_2 \equiv c^{dq} \pmod{q}$ $h \equiv qInv \cdot (m_1-m_2) \pmod{p}$

 \diamond Public key (n, e)

n=p·q, p and q are large prime integers gcd(e, $\phi(n)$) = 1 s.t. $\exists d, e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$ $3 \le e \le n-1$

 \diamond Private Key (n, d) or (n, p, q, dp, dq, qInv) \Rightarrow Encryption $c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$ \diamond Decryption $m \equiv c^d \pmod{n}$ or $m_1 \equiv c^{dp} \pmod{p}$ $m_2 \equiv c^{dq} \pmod{q}$ $h \equiv qInv \cdot (m_1 - m_2) \pmod{p}$ $m \equiv m_2 + h \cdot q \pmod{n}$

 \diamond Public key (n, e)

n=p·q, p and q are large prime integers gcd(e, $\phi(n)$) = 1 s.t. $\exists d, e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$ $3 \le e \le n-1$

 \diamond Private Key (n, d) or (n, p, q, dp, dq, qInv) \Rightarrow Encryption $c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$ \diamond Decryption $m \equiv c^d \pmod{n}$ or $\mathbf{m}_1 \equiv \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{p}} \pmod{\mathbf{p}}$ $m_2 \equiv c^{dq} \pmod{q}$ $h \equiv qInv \cdot (m_1 - m_2) \pmod{p}$ $\mathfrak{E} \mathbf{m} \equiv \mathbf{m}_2 + \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{q} \pmod{\mathbf{n}}$

 \diamond Public key (n, e)

n=p·q, p and q are large prime integers gcd(e, $\phi(n)$) = 1 s.t. $\exists d, e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$ $3 \le e \le n-1$

 \diamond Private Key (n, d) or

(n, p, q, dp, dq, qInv)

 $e \cdot dp \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$ $e \cdot dq \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$ $q \cdot qInv \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$

 $\Rightarrow Encryption \quad c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$

 $\mathbf{m}_1 \equiv \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{p}} \pmod{\mathbf{p}}$

 $m_2 \equiv c^{dq} \pmod{q}$

 $\Rightarrow Decryption \quad m \equiv c^d \pmod{n} \text{ or }$

 $m_1 \equiv (m^e)^{dp} \equiv m^{e \cdot dp} \equiv m \pmod{p}$

 $h \equiv qInv \cdot (m_1 - m_2) \pmod{p}$ $m \equiv m_2 + h \cdot q \pmod{n}$

62

 \diamond Public key (n, e)

n=p·q, p and q are large prime integers gcd(e, $\phi(n)$) = 1 s.t. $\exists d, e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$ $3 \le e \le n-1$

 \diamond Private Key (n, d) or

 \Rightarrow Encryption $c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$

(n, p, q, dp, dq, qInv)

 $e \cdot dp \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$ $e \cdot dq \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$ $q \cdot qInv \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$

♦ Decryption $m \equiv c^{d} \pmod{n}$ or $m_{1} \equiv c^{dp} \pmod{p}$ $m_{2} \equiv c^{dq} \pmod{q}$ $m_{2} \equiv qInv \cdot (m_{1}-m_{2}) \pmod{p}$ $m_{2} \equiv c^{dq} \pmod{q}$ $m_{2} \equiv (m^{e})^{dq} \equiv m^{e \cdot dq} \equiv m \pmod{q}$

 $\operatorname{CRT}^{\frown} m \equiv m_2 + h \cdot q \pmod{n}$

 \diamond Public key (n, e)

n=p·q, p and q are large prime integers gcd(e, $\phi(n)$) = 1 s.t. $\exists d, e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$ $3 \le e \le n-1$

 \diamond Private Key (n, d) or

 \Rightarrow Encryption $c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$

(n, p, q, dp, dq, qInv)

 $e \cdot dp \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$ $e \cdot dq \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$ $q \cdot qInv \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$

 $\operatorname{CRT}^{\frown} m \equiv m_2 + h \cdot q \pmod{n}$

♦ RSA PKCS#1 v2.0 Amendment 1

۲

RSA PKCS#1 v2.0 Amendment 1
the modulus n may have more than two prime factors

♦ RSA PKCS#1 v2.0 Amendment 1
♦ the modulus n may have more than two prime factors
♦ only private key operations and representations are affected (p, q, dp, dq, qInv) (r_i, d_i, t_i)

RSA PKCS#1 v2.0 Amendment 1
 the modulus n may have more than two prime factors
 only private key operations and representations are affected (p, q, dp, dq, qInv) (r_i, d_i, t_i)
 n = r₁·r₂·...·r_k, k≥2, where r₁ = p, r₂=q
- ♦ RSA PKCS#1 v2.0 Amendment 1
- the modulus n may have more than two prime factors
 only private key operations and representations are affected (p, q, dp, dq, qInv) (r_i, d_i, t_i)
 - * $n = r_1 \cdot r_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot r_k$, $k \ge 2$, where $r_1 = p$, $r_2 = q$

* $e \cdot d_i \equiv 1 \pmod{r_i - 1}, i = 3, \dots k$

- ♦ RSA PKCS#1 v2.0 Amendment 1
- the modulus n may have more than two prime factors
 only private key operations and representations are
 - affected $(p, q, dp, dq, qInv) (r_i, d_i, t_i)$
 - * $n = r_1 \cdot r_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot r_k$, $k \ge 2$, where $r_1 = p$, $r_2 = q$
 - * $e \cdot d_i \equiv 1 \pmod{r_i 1}, i = 3, \dots k$
 - * $\mathbf{r}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i-1} \cdot \mathbf{t}_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathbf{r}_i} = 3, \ldots k$

- ♦ RSA PKCS#1 v2.0 Amendment 1
- \diamond the modulus n may have more than two prime factors
- only private key operations and representations are
 affected (p, q, dp, dq, qInv) (r_i, d_i, t_i)
 - * $n = r_1 \cdot r_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot r_k$, $k \ge 2$, where $r_1 = p$, $r_2 = q$
 - * $e \cdot d_i \equiv 1 \pmod{r_i 1}, i = 3, \dots k$
 - * $\mathbf{r}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i-1} \cdot \mathbf{t}_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathbf{r}_i} = 3, \ldots k$

♦ Decryption:

- ♦ RSA PKCS#1 v2.0 Amendment 1
- ♦ the modulus n may have more than two prime factors
- only private key operations and representations are
 affected (p, q, dp, dq, qInv) (r_i, d_i, t_i)
 - * $n = r_1 \cdot r_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot r_k$, k ≥ 2 , where $r_1 = p$, $r_2 = q$
 - * $e \cdot d_i \equiv 1 \pmod{r_i 1}, i = 3, \dots k$
 - * $\mathbf{r}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i-1} \cdot \mathbf{t}_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathbf{r}_i} = 3, \ldots k$

♦ Decryption:

1. $m_1 \equiv c^{dp} \pmod{p}$ 2. $m_2 \equiv c^{dq} \pmod{q}$ 3. if k>2 $m_i \equiv c^{d_i} \pmod{r_i}$, i=3,..., k 4. $h \equiv (m_1 - m_2) qInv \pmod{p}$

- ♦ RSA PKCS#1 v2.0 Amendment 1
- the modulus n may have more than two prime factors
- only private key operations and representations are
 affected (p, q, dp, dq, qInv) (r_i, d_i, t_i)
 - * $n = r_1 \cdot r_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot r_k$, $k \ge 2$, where $r_1 = p$, $r_2 = q$
 - * $e \cdot d_i \equiv 1 \pmod{r_i 1}, i = 3, \dots k$
 - * $\mathbf{r}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i-1} \cdot \mathbf{t}_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathbf{r}_i} = 3, \ldots k$

♦ Decryption:

1. $m_1 \equiv c^{dp} \pmod{p}$ 2. $m_2 \equiv c^{dq} \pmod{q}$ 3. if k>2 $m_i \equiv c^{d_i} \pmod{r_i}$, i=3,..., k 4. $h \equiv (m_1 - m_2) qInv \pmod{p}$

```
5. m = m_2 + q \cdot h

6. if k>2, R= r<sub>1</sub>, for k=3 to k do

a. R = R · r<sub>i-1</sub>

b. h = (m<sub>i</sub>-m) · t<sub>i</sub> (mod r<sub>i</sub>)

c. m = m + R · h
```

- ♦ RSA PKCS#1 v2.0 Amendment 1
- the modulus n may have more than two prime factors
- only private key operations and representations are
 affected (p, q, dp, dq, qInv) (r_i, d_i, t_i)
 - * $n = r_1 \cdot r_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot r_k$, $k \ge 2$, where $r_1 = p$, $r_2 = q$
 - * $e \cdot d_i \equiv 1 \pmod{r_i 1}, i = 3, \dots k$
 - * $\mathbf{r}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i-1} \cdot \mathbf{t}_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathbf{r}_i} = 3, \ldots k$

♦ Decryption:

- 1. $m_1 \equiv c^{dp} \pmod{p}$ 2. $m_2 \equiv c^{dq} \pmod{q}$ 3. if $k \ge 2$ $m_i \equiv c^{d_i} \pmod{r_i}$, i=3,..., k4. $h \equiv (m_1 - m_2) \operatorname{qInv} \pmod{p}$
- 5. $m = m_2 + q \cdot h$ 6. if k>2, R= r₁, for k=3 to k do a. R = R · r_{i-1} b. h = (m_i-m) · t_i (mod r_i) c. m = m + R · h

 advantages: lower computational cost for the decryption (and signature) primitives if CRT is used (also see 6.8.14)

Factoring & RSA Timeline

 \bullet



ElGamal Cryptosystem (Discrete-log based)

۲

- ElGamal Cryptosystem (Discrete-log based)
 - * Also suffers from long keys

- ElGamal Cryptosystem (Discrete-log based)
 - * Also suffers from long keys
- ♦ NTRU (Lattice based)

- ElGamal Cryptosystem (Discrete-log based)
 - * Also suffers from long keys
- ♦ NTRU (Lattice based)
 - * Utilizes short keys

- ElGamal Cryptosystem (Discrete-log based)
 - * Also suffers from long keys
- ♦ NTRU (Lattice based)
 - * Utilizes short keys
 - * Proprietary (License issues prevent from wide implementation)

- ElGamal Cryptosystem (Discrete-log based)
 - * Also suffers from long keys
- ♦ NTRU (Lattice based)
 - * Utilizes short keys
 - * Proprietary (License issues prevent from wide implementation)
 - * Recently, a weakness found in the signature scheme

- ElGamal Cryptosystem (Discrete-log based)
 - * Also suffers from long keys
- ♦ NTRU (Lattice based)
 - * Utilizes short keys
 - * Proprietary (License issues prevent from wide implementation)
 - * Recently, a weakness found in the signature scheme

♦ Elliptic Curve Cryptosystems

- ElGamal Cryptosystem (Discrete-log based)
 - * Also suffers from long keys
- ♦ NTRU (Lattice based)
 - Utilizes short keys
 - * Proprietary (License issues prevent from wide implementation)
 - * Recently, a weakness found in the signature scheme
- ♦ Elliptic Curve Cryptosystems
 - * Emerging public key cryptography standard for constrained devices.

- ElGamal Cryptosystem (Discrete-log based)
 - * Also suffers from long keys
- ♦ NTRU (Lattice based)
 - * Utilizes short keys
 - * Proprietary (License issues prevent from wide implementation)
 - * Recently, a weakness found in the signature scheme
- ♦ Elliptic Curve Cryptosystems
 - * Emerging public key cryptography standard for constrained devices.
- ♦ Paillier Cryptosystem (High order composite residue based)

- ElGamal Cryptosystem (Discrete-log based)
 - * Also suffers from long keys
- ♦ NTRU (Lattice based)
 - * Utilizes short keys
 - * Proprietary (License issues prevent from wide implementation)
 - * Recently, a weakness found in the signature scheme
- ♦ Elliptic Curve Cryptosystems
 - * Emerging public key cryptography standard for constrained devices.
- Paillier Cryptosystem (High order composite residue based)
- Goldwasser-Micali Cryptosystem (QR based)

- ElGamal Cryptosystem (Discrete-log based)
 - * Also suffers from long keys
- ♦ NTRU (Lattice based)
 - * Utilizes short keys
 - * Proprietary (License issues prevent from wide implementation)
 - * Recently, a weakness found in the signature scheme
- ♦ Elliptic Curve Cryptosystems
 - * Emerging public key cryptography standard for constrained devices.
- Paillier Cryptosystem (High order composite residue based)
- Goldwasser-Micali Cryptosystem (QR based)
 - very low efficiency