## Number Theory for Cryptography



## 密碼學與應用 <br> 海洋大學資訊工程系 <br> 丁培毅

## Congruence

## $\triangleleft$ Modulo Operation:

* Question: What is $12 \bmod 9$ ?
* Answer: $12 \bmod 9 \equiv 3$ or $12 \equiv 3(\bmod 9)$
" 12 is congruent to 3 modulo 9 "
$\triangleleft$ Definition: Let $a, r, m \in \mathrm{Z}$ (where Z is the set of all integers) and $m>0$. We write
* $\quad a \equiv r(\bmod m)$ if $m$ divides $a-r$ (i.e. $\mathrm{m} \mid a-r)$
* $m$ is called the modulus
* $r$ is called the remainder
* $a=q \cdot m+r \quad 0 \leq r<m$
$\diamond$ Example: $a=42$ and $m=9$
* $42=4 \cdot 9+6$ therefore $42 \equiv 6(\bmod 9)$


## Greatest Common Divisor

$\triangleleft \mathrm{GCD}$ of a and b is the largest positive integer dividing both a and b
$\diamond \operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ or $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$
$\diamond e x . \operatorname{gcd}(6,4)=2, \operatorname{gcd}(5,7)=1$
$\diamond$ Euclidean algorithm remainder $\rightarrow$ divisor $\rightarrow$ dividend $\rightarrow$ ignore

* ex. $\operatorname{gcd}(482,1180)$ $1180=2: 482+$
$482=2 \cdot 216+50$
Why does it work?
Let $d=\operatorname{gcd}(482,1180)$
d | 482 and d $|1180 \Rightarrow d| 216$
because $216=1180-2 \cdot 482$
d| 216 and d| $482 \Rightarrow d \mid 50$
d | 50 and d $\mid 216 \Rightarrow$ d | 16
d | 16 and d | $50 \Rightarrow$ d | 2
$2 \mid 16 \Rightarrow d=2$


## Greatest Common Divisor（cont＇d）

« Euclidean Algorithm：calculating GCD $\operatorname{gcd}(1180,482)$
（䡙轉相除法）

| 2 | 482 | 1180 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 432 | 964 |  |
| 3 | 50 | 216 | 4 |
|  | 48 | 200 |  |
|  | 2 | 16 | 8 |
|  |  | 16 |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |

## Greatest Common Divisor (cont'd)

$\diamond$ Def: a and b are relatively prime: $\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})=1$
$\triangleleft$ Theorem: Let a and b be two integers, with at least one of $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ nonzero, and let $\mathrm{d}=\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$. Then there exist integers $x, y, \operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$ such that $a \cdot x+b \cdot y=d$

* Constructive proof: Using Extended Euclidean Algorithm to find $x$ and $y$

$$
d=2=50-3 \cdot 16
$$

$$
=(482-2 \cdot 216)-3 \cdot(216-4 \cdot 50) \cdots 50=482-2 .
$$

$$
=\cdots \cdot=1180 \cdot(-29)+482 \cdot 71 \quad \because 16=216-4 \cdot 50
$$

$$
\frac{1}{t} x^{\prime}
$$

## Extended Euclidean Algorithm

Let $\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})=\mathrm{d}$
$\diamond$ Looking for $s$ and $t, \operatorname{gcd}(s, t)=1$ s.t. $a \cdot s+b \cdot t=d$
$\diamond$ When $\mathrm{d}=1, \mathrm{t} \equiv \mathrm{b}^{-1}(\bmod \mathrm{a})$
Ex. $\quad 1180=2 \cdot 482+$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{q}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~b}+\mathrm{r} \\
& \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{q}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{r}_{1}+\mathrm{r}_{2} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1180-2 \cdot 482= \\
& 482=2 \cdot 216+50 \\
& 482-2 \cdot(1180-2 \cdot 482)=50 \\
& -2 \cdot 1180+5 \cdot 482=50 \\
& 216=4 \cdot 50+16 \\
& 4 \cdot(-2 \cdot 1180+5 \cdot 482)=16 \\
& 9 \cdot 1180-22 \cdot 482=16 \\
& 50=3 \cdot 16+2 \\
& (-2 \cdot 1180+5 \cdot 482)- \\
& 3 \cdot(9 \cdot 1180-22 \cdot 482)=2 \\
& \mathrm{r}_{3}=\mathrm{q}_{5} \cdot \mathrm{~d}+0 \\
& -29 \cdot 1180+71 \cdot 482=26
\end{aligned}
$$

## Greatest Common Divisor (cont'd)

* The above proves only the existence of integers x and y
* How about gcd(x, y)?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{b} \cdot \mathrm{y} \\
\mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})
\end{array} \\
& \text { If } \mathrm{gcd}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{r} \text { then } \quad 1=\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{d} \cdot\left(\mathrm{x}^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{r}\right)+\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{d} \cdot\left(\mathrm{y}^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{r}\right) \\
& \text { i.e. } 1=\mathrm{r} \cdot\left(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{d} \cdot \mathrm{x}^{\prime}+\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{d} \cdot \mathrm{y}^{\prime}\right)^{4}-\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that $r \mid 1$ i.e. $r=1$

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1
$$

Note: $\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=1$ but $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ is not unique

$$
\text { e.g. } d=a x+b y=a(x-k b)+b(y+k a)
$$

## Greatest Common Divisor (cont'd)

Lemma: $\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})=\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y})=\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{b})=1 \Leftrightarrow$

$$
\exists \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \text { s.t. } 1=\mathrm{ax}+\mathrm{by}
$$

pf: $\Rightarrow$ )
following the previous theorem
$(\Leftarrow)$
Given a, b, z, if $\exists \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=1$ s.t. $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{ax}+\mathrm{by}$ then $\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}) \mid \mathrm{z}(\operatorname{also} \operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y})|\mathrm{z}, \operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{b})| \mathrm{z})$

$$
(\operatorname{let} \mathrm{d}=\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}) \Rightarrow \mathrm{d} \mid \mathrm{a} \text { and } \mathrm{d}|\mathrm{~b} \Rightarrow \mathrm{~d}| \mathrm{ax}+\mathrm{b} \mathrm{y} \Rightarrow \mathrm{~d} \mid \mathrm{z})
$$

especially, given a, $b, \exists \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ s.t. $1=\mathrm{ax}+\mathrm{b} y$

$$
\Rightarrow \operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}) \mid 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})=1
$$

## Operations under mod n

$\star$ Proposition:
Let $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{n}$ be integers with $\mathrm{n} \neq 0$, suppose $\mathrm{a} \equiv \mathrm{b}(\bmod \mathrm{n})$ and $\mathrm{c} \equiv \mathrm{d}(\bmod \mathrm{n})$ then
$a+c \equiv b+d(\bmod n)$,
$\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c} \equiv \mathrm{b}-\mathrm{d}(\bmod \mathrm{n})$,
$\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{c} \equiv \mathrm{b} \cdot \mathrm{d}(\bmod \mathrm{n})$
$\diamond$ Proposition:
Let $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{n}$ be integers with $\mathrm{n} \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{n})=1$.
If $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{b} \equiv \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{c}(\bmod \mathrm{n})$ then $\mathrm{b} \equiv \mathrm{c}(\bmod \mathrm{n})$

## Operations under mod $n$

$\triangleleft$ What is the multiplicative inverse of a $(\bmod n)$ ?

$$
\text { i.e. } \begin{aligned}
a \cdot a^{-1} & \equiv 1(\bmod n) \quad \text { or } a \cdot a^{-1}=1+k \cdot n \\
\operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1 & \Rightarrow \exists s \text { and } t \text { such that } a \cdot s+n \cdot t=1 \\
& \Rightarrow a^{-1} \equiv s(\bmod n)
\end{aligned}
$$

This expression also implies $\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{n})=1$.

$$
\mathrm{x} \equiv \mathrm{~b} \cdot \mathrm{a}^{-1} \equiv \mathrm{~b} \cdot \mathrm{~s}(\bmod \mathrm{n})
$$

$\diamond \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{x} \equiv \mathrm{b}(\bmod \mathrm{n}), \operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{n})=\mathrm{d}>1, \mathrm{x} \equiv$ ? Are there any solutions? if $\mathrm{d} \mid \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{d}) \cdot \mathrm{x} \equiv(\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{d})(\bmod \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}) \quad \operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d})=1$

$$
\mathrm{x}_{0} \equiv(\mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{d}) \cdot(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{d})^{-1}(\bmod \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d})
$$

$\Rightarrow$ there are d solutions to the equation $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{x} \equiv \mathrm{b}(\bmod \mathrm{n})$ :

$$
\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{0}+(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}), \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{0}+(\mathrm{d}-1) \cdot(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d})(\bmod \mathrm{n})
$$

## Matrix inversion under mod $n$

$\triangleleft$ A square matrix is invertible mod $n$ if and only if its determinant and n are relatively prime $\diamond e x$ : in real field R

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{a d-b c}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d & -b \\
-c & a
\end{array}\right)
$$

In a finite field $\mathrm{Z}(\bmod \mathrm{n})$ ? we need to find the inverse for ad-bc $(\bmod n)$ in order to calculate the inverse of the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=(a d-b c)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d & -b \\
-c & a
\end{array}\right)(\bmod n)
$$

## Group

$\triangleleft$ A group G is a finite or infinite set of elements and a binary operation $\times$ which together satisfy

1．Closure：$\quad \forall \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{G}$
2．Associativity：$\forall \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b}) \times \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a} \times(\mathrm{b} \times \mathrm{c})$
3．Identity：$\quad \forall \mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{G} \quad 1 \times \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{a} \times 1=\mathrm{a}$
4．Inverse：$\quad \forall a \in G$ $a \times a^{-1}=1=a^{-1} \times a$

封閉性
結合性
單位元素
反元素
$\triangleleft$ Abelian group 交換群 $\quad \forall \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{b} \times \mathrm{a}$

$$
- \text { means } \mathrm{g} \times \mathrm{g} \times \mathrm{g} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{g}
$$

$\diamond$ Cyclic group $G$ of order m：a groúp defined by an element $\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{G}$ such that $\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{g}^{2}, \mathrm{~g}^{3}, \ldots . \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{m}}$ are all distinct elements in $G$（thus cover all elements of $G$ ）and $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{m}}=1$ ， the element g is called a generator of G ． $\mathrm{Ex}: \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}($ or $\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{nZ})$

## Group (cont'd)

$\diamond$ The order of a group: the number of elements in a group G, denoted |G|. If the order of a group is a finite number, the group is said to be a finite group, note $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{G} \mid}=1$ (the identity element).
$\stackrel{\text { The order of an element } \mathbf{g} \text { of a finite group } G \text { is the }}{ }$
power m such that $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{m}}=1$ (the identity element), denoted by $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{g})$
$\triangleleft$ ex: $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ : additive group modulo n is the set $\{0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}-1\}$ binary operation: + $(\bmod n)$ identity: 0
inverse: $-\mathrm{x} \equiv \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{x}(\bmod \mathrm{n})$
size of $Z_{n}$ is $n$,
$\underbrace{\mathrm{g}+\mathrm{g}+\ldots+\mathrm{g}} \equiv 0(\bmod \mathrm{n})$
$\diamond$ ex: $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}$ : multiplicative group modulo n is the set $\{\mathrm{i}: 0<\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{n}, \operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{n})=1\}$ binary operation: $\times(\bmod n)$ identity: 1
size of $Z_{n}^{*}$ is $\phi(n)$,
$\mathrm{g}^{\phi(\mathrm{n})} \equiv 1(\bmod \mathrm{n})$
inverse: $\mathrm{x}^{-1}$ can be found using extended Euclidean Algorithm

## Ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}$

$\diamond$ Definition: The ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}$ consists of

* The set $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}=\{0,1,2, \ldots, m-1\}$
* Two operations "+ (mod m)" and " $\times(\bmod m)$ " for all $a, b \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}$ such that they satisfy the properties on the next slide
$\checkmark$ Example: $m=9 Z_{9}=\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6+8=14 \equiv 5(\bmod 9) \\
& 6 \times 8=48 \equiv 3(\bmod 9)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Properties of the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}$

$\triangleleft$ Consider the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}=\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$
\& The additive identity " 0 ": $a+0 \equiv a(\bmod m)$
女 The additive inverse of $a$ : $-a=m-a$ s.t. $a+(-a) \equiv 0(\bmod m)$
\& Addition is closed i.e if $a, b \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}$ then $a+b \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}$
\& Addition is commutative $a+b \equiv b+a(\bmod m)$

* Addition is associative $(a+b)+c \equiv a+(b+c)(\bmod m)$
\& Multiplicative identity " 1 ": $a \times 1 \equiv a(\bmod m)$
女 The multiplicative inverse of $a$ exists only when $\operatorname{gcd}(a, m)=1$ and denoted as $a^{-1}$ s.t. $a^{-1} \times a \equiv 1(\bmod m)$ might or might not exist
* Multiplication is closed i.e. if $a, b \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}$ then $a \times b \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}$

4 Multiplication is commutative $a \times b \equiv b \times a(\bmod m)$
Multiplication is associative $(a \times b) \times c \equiv a \times(b \times c)(\bmod m)$

## Some remarks on the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}$

$\triangleleft$ A ring is an Abelian group under addition and a semigroup under multiplication.
$\diamond$ A semigroup is defined for a set and a binary operator in which the multiplication operation is associative. No other restrictions are placed on a semigroup; thus a semigroup need not have an identity element and its elements need not have inverses within the semigroup.

## Some remarks on the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (cont'd)

$\diamond$ Roughly speaking a ring is a mathematical structure in which we can add, subtract, multiply, and even sometimes divide. (A ring in which every element has multiplicative inverse is called a field.)
\# Example: Is the division $4 / 15(\bmod 26)$ possible?
In fact, $4 / 15 \bmod 26 \equiv 4 \times 15^{-1}(\bmod 26)$
Does $15^{-1}(\bmod 26)$ exist ?
It exists only if $\operatorname{gcd}(15,26)=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 15^{-1} \equiv 7(\bmod 26) \quad \text { therefore, } \\
& 4 / 15 \bmod 26 \equiv 4 \times 7 \equiv 28 \equiv 2 \bmod 26
\end{aligned}
$$

## Some remarks on the group $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{*}$

$\star$ The modulo operation can be applied whenever we want under $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}$ $(a+b)(\bmod m) \equiv[(a(\bmod m))+((b \bmod m))](\bmod m)$ under $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{*}$
$(a \times b)(\bmod m) \equiv[(a(\bmod m)) \times((b \bmod m))](\bmod m)$ $a^{b}(\bmod m) \equiv(a(\bmod m))^{b}(\bmod m)$

Go Question? $a^{b}(\bmod m) ? a^{(b \bmod m)}(\bmod m)$

## Exponentiation in $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}$

$\triangleleft$ Example: $3^{8}(\bmod 7) \equiv$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
3^{8}(\bmod 7) & \equiv 6561(\bmod 7) \equiv 2 \text { since } 6561 \equiv 937 \times 7+2 \quad \text { or } \\
3^{8}(\bmod 7) & \equiv 3^{4} \times 3^{4}(\bmod 7) \equiv 3^{2} \times 3^{2} \times 3^{2} \times 3^{2}(\bmod 7) \\
& \equiv\left(3^{2}(\bmod 7)\right) \times\left(3^{2}(\bmod 7)\right) \times\left(3^{2}(\bmod 7)\right) \times\left(3^{2}(\bmod 7)\right) \\
& \equiv 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2(\bmod 7) \equiv 16(\bmod 7) \equiv 2
\end{aligned}
$$

$\diamond$ The cyclic group $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{*}$ and the modulo arithmetic is of central importance to modern public-key cryptography. In practice, the order of the integers involved in PKC are in the range of $\left[2^{160}, 2^{1024}\right]$. Perhaps even larger.

## Exponentiation in $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (cont'd)

$\diamond$ How do we do the exponentiation efficiently? $\triangleleft 3^{1234}(\bmod 789)$ many ways to do this
a. do 1234 times multiplication and then calculate remainder
b. repeat 1234 times (multiplication by 3 and calculate remainder)
c. repeated $\lfloor\log 1234\rfloor$ times (square, multiply and calculate remainder) ex. first tabulate

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
3^{2} \equiv 9(\bmod 789) & 3^{32} \equiv 459^{2} \equiv 18 & 3^{512} \equiv 732^{2} \equiv 93 \\
3^{4} \equiv 9^{2} \equiv 81 & 3^{64} \equiv 18^{2} \equiv 324 & 3^{1024} \equiv 93^{2} \equiv 759 \\
3^{8} \equiv 81^{2} \equiv 249 & 3^{128} \equiv 324^{2} \equiv 39 & \\
3^{16} \equiv 249^{2} \equiv 459 & 3^{256} \equiv 39^{2} \equiv 732 \\
1234=1024+128+64+16+2 & (10011010010)_{2} \\
3^{1234} \equiv 3^{(1024+128+64+16+2)} \equiv(((759 \cdot 39) \cdot 324) \cdot 459) \cdot 9 \equiv 105(\bmod 789)
\end{array}
$$

## Exponentiation in $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (cont ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ )

calculate $\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{Y}} \quad(\bmod \mathrm{m}) \quad$ where $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{b}_{0} \cdot 2^{2}+\mathrm{b}_{1} \cdot 2+\mathrm{b}_{2}$
$\diamond$ Method 1:
$\diamond$ Method 2:

square and multiply $\lfloor\log \mathrm{y}\rfloor$ times

## Exponentiation in $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (cont'd)

## Method 1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1234 & =1024+128+64+16+2 \quad(10011010010)_{2} \\
3^{1234} & \equiv 3^{0+2(1+2(0+2(0+2(1+2(0+2(1+2(1+2(0+2(0+2(1))))))))))} \\
& \equiv 9 \cdot 9^{2(0+2(0+2(1+2(0+2(1+2(1+2(0+2(0+2(1))))))))} \\
& \equiv 9 \cdot 81^{2(0+2(1+2(0+2(1+2(1+2(0+2(0+2(1))))))))} \\
& \equiv 9 \cdot 249^{2(1+2(0+2(1+2(1+2(0+2(0+2(1)))))))} \\
& \equiv 9 \cdot 459 \cdot 459^{2(0+2(1+2(1+2(0+2(0+2(1))))))} \\
& \equiv 9 \cdot 459 \cdot 18^{2(1+2(1+2(0+2(0+2(1)))))} \\
& \equiv 9 \cdot 459 \cdot 324 \cdot 324^{2(1+2(0+2(0+2(1))))} \\
& \equiv 9 \cdot 459 \cdot 324 \cdot 39 \cdot 39^{2(0+2(0+2(1))))} \\
& \equiv 9 \cdot 459 \cdot 324 \cdot 39 \cdot 732^{2(0+2(1))} \\
& \equiv 9 \cdot 459 \cdot 324 \cdot 39 \cdot 93^{2}(1) \\
& \equiv 9 \cdot 459 \cdot 324 \cdot 39 \cdot 759 \bmod 789
\end{aligned}
$$

## Exponentiation in $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (cont ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ )

Method 2: $1234=1024+128+64+16+2 \quad(10011010010)_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
3^{1234} & \equiv 3^{0+2(1+2(0+2(0+2(1+2(0+2(1+2(1+2(0+2(0+2(1))))))))))} \\
& \equiv\left(3 \cdot 3^{2(0+2(1+2(0+2(1+2(1+2(0+2(0+2(1))))))))}\right)^{2} \\
& \equiv\left(3 \cdot\left(3^{2(1+2(0+2(1+2(1+2(0+2(0+2(1)))))))}\right)^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \equiv\left(3 \cdot\left(\left(3 \cdot 3^{2(0+2(1+2(1+2(0+2(0+2(1))))))}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \equiv\left(3 \cdot\left(\left(3 \cdot\left(3^{2(1+2(1+2(0+2(0+2(1)))))}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \equiv\left(3 \cdot \left(\left(3 \cdot \left(\left(3 \cdot 3^{\left.\left.\left.\left.2(1+2(0+2(0+2(1)))))^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}}\right.\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \equiv\left(3 \cdot \left(\left(3 \cdot \left(\left(3 \cdot \left(3 \cdot 3^{\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.2(0+2(0+2(1))))^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}}\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \equiv\left(3 \cdot\left(\left(3 \cdot\left(\left(3 \cdot\left(3 \cdot\left(3^{2(0+2(1))}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \equiv\left(3 \cdot\left(\left(3 \cdot\left(\left(3 \cdot\left(3 \cdot\left(\left(3^{2(1)}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \equiv\left(3 \cdot\left(\left(3 \cdot\left(\left(3 \cdot\left(3 \cdot\left(\left(\left(3^{1}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Chinese Remainder Theorem（CRT）

$\stackrel{\forall}{ } \neq \mathrm{j} \in\{1,2, \ldots \mathrm{k}\}, \operatorname{gcd}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=1,0 \leq \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}$
Is there an m that satisfies simultaneously the following set of congruence equations？

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{m} & \equiv \mathrm{~m}_{1}\left(\bmod \mathrm{r}_{1}\right) \\
& \equiv \mathrm{m}_{2}\left(\bmod \mathrm{r}_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$


$\equiv \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\bmod \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$
Note： $\operatorname{gcd}(3,5)=1$

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(3,7)=1
$$

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(5,7)=1
$$

$\diamond$ 韓信點兵：三個一數餘一，五個一數餘二，七個一數餘三，請問隊伍中至少有幾名士兵？

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ex: } m \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \\
& \equiv 2(\bmod 5) \\
& \equiv 3(\bmod 7)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT)

$\diamond$ first solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{r}_{1} \mathrm{r}_{2} \cdots \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}} \\
& \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
& \exists!\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}}^{*} \text { s.t. } \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}=1\left(\bmod \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(\text { since } \operatorname{gcd}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=1\right) \\
& \mathrm{m} \equiv \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}}(\bmod \mathrm{n}) \quad \text { Unique solution in } \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}} \text { ? }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\triangleleft \mathrm{ex}: \mathrm{n}=3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{m}_{1}=1, \mathrm{~m}_{2}=2, \mathrm{~m}_{3}=3 \\
& \mathrm{r}_{1}=3, \mathrm{r}_{2}=5, \mathrm{r}_{3}=7 \\
& \mathrm{z}_{1}=35, \mathrm{z}_{2}=21, \mathrm{z}_{3}=15 \\
& \mathrm{~s}_{1}=2, \mathrm{~s}_{2}=1, \mathrm{~s}_{3}=1 \\
& \mathrm{~m} \equiv 35 \cdot 2 \cdot 1+21 \cdot 1 \cdot 2+15 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \equiv 157 \equiv 52(\bmod 105)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT)

« Uniqueness:

1. If there exists $m^{\prime} \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}(\neq \mathrm{m})$ also satisfies the previous k congruence relations, then

$$
\forall \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{~m}^{\prime}-\mathrm{m}=0\left(\bmod \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) .
$$

2. This is equivalent to $\forall \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{m}^{\prime}=\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}$

$\square \mathrm{m}^{\prime}=\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{k} \cdot \operatorname{lcm}\left(\mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{2} \ldots \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{n}$
$\longmapsto m^{\prime} \notin \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ for all $\mathrm{k} \neq 0$
contradiction!

## Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT)

$\triangleleft$ second solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{r}_{1} \mathrm{r}_{2} \cdots \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}-1} \\
& \exists!t_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}}^{*} \text { s.t. } \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}} \equiv 1\left(\bmod \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(\text { since } \operatorname{gcd}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=1\right) \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\hat{m}_{1}=m_{1} \\
\hat{m}_{i}=\hat{m}_{i-1}+R_{i} \cdot\left(m_{i}-\hat{m}_{i-1}\right) \cdot t_{i}\left(\bmod R_{i+1}\right) \quad i \geq 2
\end{array}\right. \\
& \mathrm{m}=\hat{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{k}} \\
& \text { Note that } \hat{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{i}} \equiv \mathrm{~m}_{1}\left(\bmod \mathrm{r}_{1}\right) \\
& m_{1}=1, m_{2}=2, m_{3}=3 \\
& r_{1}=3, \quad r_{2}=5, \quad r_{3}=7 \\
& \mathrm{R}_{2}=3, \mathrm{R}_{3}=15, \mathrm{R}_{4}=105 \\
& \equiv \mathrm{~m}_{2}\left(\bmod \mathrm{r}_{2}\right) \\
& \text { ex: } \hat{m}_{1} \equiv 1^{t_{2}}=2, \quad t_{3}=1 \\
& \equiv \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\bmod \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \\
& \hat{\mathrm{m}}_{2} \equiv 1+3 \cdot(2-1) \cdot 2=7 \\
& \widehat{\mathrm{~m}} \equiv \mathrm{~m}_{3} \equiv 7+15 \cdot(3-7) \cdot 1 \\
& \equiv-53 \equiv 52(\bmod 105)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT)

$\diamond$ special case:

$$
X \equiv m\left(\bmod r_{1}\right) \equiv m\left(\bmod r_{2}\right) \cdots \equiv m_{n}\left(\bmod r_{n}\right) \Rightarrow X \equiv m\left(\bmod r_{1} r_{2} \cdots r_{n}\right)
$$

$\diamond$ insight of the second solution: every step satisfies one more requirement
 $m_{1}$ is the only solution for $x$ in $Z_{R_{2}}^{*}$ general solution of $x$ must be $\hat{m}_{1}+k R_{2}$ for some $k$
$\sim\left(\begin{array}{rllll}x & \equiv m_{1}\left(\bmod r_{1}\right) & L & \hat{m}_{2} & r_{2} r_{1} \\ \equiv m_{2}\left(\bmod r_{2}\right) & \hat{m}_{2}+r_{2} r_{1} & 2 r_{2} r_{1}\end{array} \quad R_{3}=r_{2} r_{1}\right.$
號 let $\hat{m}_{2} \equiv \hat{m}_{1}+\mathrm{k}^{*} \mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\bmod \mathrm{R}_{3}\right)$ where $\mathrm{k}^{*}=\mathrm{t}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{2}-\hat{\mathrm{m}}_{1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2} \equiv 1\left(\bmod \mathrm{r}_{2}\right)$ $m_{2}$ is the only solution for $x$ in $Z_{R_{3}}^{*}$ general solution of $x$ must be $\hat{m}_{2}+k R_{3}$ for some $k$

## Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT)

$\diamond$ Applications: solve $x^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 35)$

* $35=5 \cdot 7$
* $\mathrm{x}^{*}$ satisfies $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}^{*}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 35) \Leftrightarrow$
$x^{*}$ satisfies both $f\left(x^{*}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$ and $f\left(x^{*}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 7)$
Proof:
$(\Leftarrow)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x^{*}\right)=k_{1} \cdot p \text { and } f\left(x^{*}\right)=k_{2} \cdot q \text { imply that } \\
& f\left(x^{*}\right)=k \cdot \operatorname{lcm}(p \cdot q)=k \cdot p \cdot q \text { i.e. } f\left(x^{*}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p \cdot q)
\end{aligned}
$$

$(\Rightarrow)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}^{*}\right)=\mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{q} \text { implies that } \\
& f\left(x^{*}\right)=(k \cdot p) \cdot q=(k \cdot q) \cdot p \quad \text { i.e. } f\left(x^{*}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p) \\
& \equiv 0(\bmod q)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT)

* since 5 and 7 are prime, we can solve

$$
x^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 5) \text { and } x^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 7)
$$

far more easily than $x^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 35)$

* $x^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 5)$ has exactly two solutions: $x \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 5)$
* $x^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$ has exactly two solutions: $x \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 7)$
* put them together and use CRT, there are four solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow x \equiv 1(\bmod 5) \equiv 1(\bmod 7) \Rightarrow x \equiv 1(\bmod 35) \\
& \Rightarrow x \equiv 1(\bmod 5) \equiv 6(\bmod 7) \Rightarrow x \equiv 6(\bmod 35) \\
& \Rightarrow x \equiv 4(\bmod 5) \equiv 1(\bmod 7) \Rightarrow x \equiv 29(\bmod 35) \\
& \Rightarrow x \equiv 4(\bmod 5) \equiv 6(\bmod 7) \Rightarrow x \equiv 34(\bmod 35)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Matlab tools


matrix inverse matrix determinant
$\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{qd}+\mathrm{r}$
$\mathrm{g}=\mathrm{a} \mathrm{s}+\mathrm{b} \mathrm{t}$
factoring
prime numbers $<\mathrm{N}$
test prime
mod exponentiation *
find primitive root *
crt *
$\phi(\mathrm{N})$ * eulerphi( N )

## Field

$\triangleleft$ Field: a set that has the operation of addition, multiplication, subtraction, and division by nonzero elements. Also, the associative, commutative, and distributive laws hold.
$\triangleleft$ Ex. Real numbers, complex numbers, rational numbers, integers mod a prime are fields
$\triangleleft$ Ex. Integers, $2 \times 2$ matrices with real entries are not fields
$\diamond \operatorname{Ex} \cdot \operatorname{GF}(4)=\left\{0,1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right\}$
$\star 0+x=x$
$x+x=0$$\quad$ - Addition and multiplication are commutative and

* $\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}=0$ associative, and the distributive law $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{xy}+\mathrm{xz}$

4. $1 \cdot x=x$

* $\omega+1=\omega^{2}$ holds for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$
- $x^{3}=1$ for all nonzero elements


## Galois Field

$\diamond$ Galois Field: A field with finite element, finite field
$\diamond$ For every power $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}$ of a prime, there is exactly one finite field with $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}$ elements (called GF( $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ), and these are the only finite fields.
$\diamond$ For $\mathrm{n}>1$, \{integers $\left.\left(\bmod \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\}$ do not form a field.

* Ex. $\mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{x} \equiv 1\left(\bmod \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ does not have a solution
(i.e. p does not have multiplicative inverse)


## How to construct a GF( $\left.\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ ?

$\diamond$ Def: $\mathrm{Z}_{2}[\mathrm{X}]$ : the set of polynomials whose coefficients are integers $\bmod 2$

* ex. $0,1,1+X^{3}+X^{6} \ldots$
* add/subtract/multiply/divide/Euclidean Algorithm: process all coefficients mod 2

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { \& }\left(1+X^{2}+X^{4}\right)+\left(X+X^{2}\right)=1+X+X^{4} & \text { bitwise } X O R \\
\left(1+X+X^{3}\right)(1+X)=1+X^{2}+X^{3}+X^{4} & \\
\text { \& } X^{4}+X^{3}+1=\left(X^{2}+1\right)\left(X^{2}+X+1\right)+X \quad \text { long division } \\
\text { can be written as } \\
X^{4}+X^{3}+1=X\left(\bmod X^{2}+X+1\right) &
\end{array}
$$

## How to construct GF( $\left.2^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ ?

$\stackrel{\text { Define }}{ } \mathrm{Z}_{2}[\mathrm{X}]\left(\bmod \mathrm{X}^{2}+\mathrm{X}+1\right)$ to be $\{0,1, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{X}+1\}$

* addition, subtraction, multiplication are done $\bmod \mathrm{X}^{2}+\mathrm{X}+1$
* $f(X) \equiv g(X)\left(\bmod X^{2}+X+1\right)$
\# if $f(X)$ and $g(X)$ have the same remainder when divided by $X^{2}+X+1$
* or equivalently $\exists \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{X})$ such that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{X})-\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{X})=\left(\mathrm{X}^{2}+\mathrm{X}+1\right) \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{X})$
\# ex. $X \cdot X=X^{2} \equiv X+1\left(\bmod X^{2}+X+1\right)$
* if we replace X by $\omega$, we can get the same $\mathrm{GF}(4)$ as before
* the modulus polynomial $\mathrm{X}^{2}+\mathrm{X}+1$ should be irreducible

Irreducible: polynomial does not factor into polynomials of lower degree with mod 2 arithmetic ex. $X^{2}+1$ is not irreducible since $X^{2}+1=(X+1)(X+1)$

## How to construct GF( $\left.\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ ?

$\diamond \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}}[\mathrm{X}]$ is the set of polynomials with coefficients mod p
$\leftrightarrow$ Choose $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X})$ to be any one irreducible polynomial mod p of degree n (other irreducible $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X})$ 's would result to isomorphisms)
$\stackrel{L e t}{\mathrm{GF}}\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ be $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}}[\mathrm{X}] \bmod \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X})$
$\triangleleft$ An element in $Z_{p}[X] \bmod P(X)$ must be of the form

$$
a_{0}+a_{1} X+\ldots+a_{n-1} X^{n-1}
$$

each $a_{i}$ are integers mod $p$, and have $p$ choices, hence there are $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}$ possible elements in $\mathrm{GF}\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$
$\diamond$ multiplicative inverse of any element in $\mathrm{GF}\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ can be found using extended Euclidean algorithm(over polynomial)

## $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{8}\right)$

\& AES (Rijndael) uses GF( $2^{8}$ ) with irreducible polynomial $\mathrm{X}^{8}+\mathrm{X}^{4}+\mathrm{X}^{3}+\mathrm{X}+1$
$\diamond$ each element is represented as

$$
b_{7} X^{7}+b_{6} X^{6}+b_{5} X^{5}+b_{4} X^{4}+b_{3} X^{3}+b_{2} X^{2}+b_{1} X+b_{0}
$$

each $b_{i}$ is either 0 or 1
$\diamond$ elements of $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{8}\right)$ can be represented as 8 -bit bytes $\mathrm{b}_{7} \mathrm{~b}_{6} \mathrm{~b}_{5} \mathrm{~b}_{4} \mathrm{~b}_{3} \mathrm{~b}_{2} \mathrm{~b}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{0}$
$\& \bmod 2$ operations can be implemented by XOR in H/W

## $\mathrm{GF}\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$

$\diamond$ Definition of generating polynomial $g(X)$ is parallel to the generator in $Z_{p}$ :

* every element in GF(pr) (except 0 ) can be expressed as a power of $g(X)$
* the smallest exponent k such that $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{X})^{\mathrm{k}}=1$ is $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}-1$
$\triangleleft$ Discrete $\log$ problem in GF( $\left.\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ :
* given $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{X})$, find an integer k such that

$$
h(X) \equiv g(X)^{k}(\bmod P(X))
$$

* believed to be very hard in most situations

